1 High-fidelity annotated genome of the polyploid and

2 quarantine root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne enterolobii

3

4 Authors

Marine Poullet¹, Hemanth Gopal², Corinne Rancurel¹, Marine Sallaberry³, Celine Lopez Roques³, Joanna Lledo³, Sebastian Kiewnick^{2*}, Etienne GJ Danchin^{1*}

7

8 Affiliations

9 1. Institut Sophia Agrobiotech, INRAE, Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, 400 routes des Chappes,

10 06903 Sophia-Antipolis, France

11 2. Julius Kühn-Institut, Institute for Plant Protection in Field Crops and Grassland, Messeweg

12 11-12, 38104 Braunschweig, Germany

13 3. INRAE, GeT_PlaGe, Genotoul, 31326 Castanet – Tolosan, France. DOI:10.17180/nvxj-5333

14 *These authors jointly supervised this work

15 Corresponding author(s): Marine Poullet (marine.poullet@inrae.fr); Etienne G.J Danchin

- 16 (etienne.danchin@inrae.fr)
- 17

18 Abstract

19 Root-knot nematodes of the genus *Meloidogyne* are obligatory plant endoparasites that cause substantial economic losses to the agricultural production and impact the global food supply. 20 21 These plant parasitic nematodes belong to the most widespread and devastating genus 22 worldwide, yet few measures of control are available. The most efficient way to control root-23 knot nematodes (RKN) is deployment of resistance genes in plants. However, current 24 resistance genes that control other Meloidogyne species are mostly inefficient on M. 25 enterolobii. Consequently, M. enterolobii was listed as a European Union guarantine pest 26 implementing regulation. To gain insight into the molecular characteristics underlying its 27 parasitic success, exploring the genome of *M. enterolobii* is essential. Here, we report a high-28 quality genome assembly of Meloidogyne enterolobii using the high-fidelity long-read 29 sequencing technology developed by Pacific Biosciences, combined with a gap-aware 30 sequence transformer, DeepConsensus. The resulting genome assembly spans 273 Mbp with 31 556 contigs, a GC% of 30 ± 0.042 and an N50 value of 2.11Mb, constituting a useful platform 32 for comparative, population and functional genomics.

34 Background & Summary

35 Root-knot nematodes (RKN) belong to the genus *Meloidogyne*, and are among the most destructive plant-parasitic nematodes¹. Due to their extensive geographic distribution and 36 37 ability to infest a wide range of host plants, they have a detrimental impact on the yield and 38 quality of numerous economically valuable $crops^2$. At present, the Meloidogyne genus comprises more than 100 described species. However, M. arenaria, M. incognita, M. javanica 39 40 and M. hapla are considered the most widespread and damaging, RKN species³. In recent 41 years, *M. enterolobii* has received increasing attention due to its unique ability to overcome several sources of resistance against RKN^{2,4,5}. 42

The species *Meloidogyne enterolobii* was originally first described as *M. incognita* from a population obtained from the Pacara Earpod Tree (*Enterolobium contortisiliquum* [Vell.] Morong) in Hainan Island, China by Yang and Eisenback (1983)⁶. Later in 1988, Rammah and Hirschmann described a new species⁷, *M. mayaguensis*, sampled from eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) roots from Puerto Rico. However, this species was later synonymized with *M. enterolobii*, based on the same esterase phenotype and mitochondrial DNA sequence^{8,9}.

49 Meloidogyne enterolobii has an extremely high damage potential¹⁰, surpassing many of the other root-knot nematode species studied so far^{11,12}. The reports of severe damage in high-50 51 value crops have increased in the past years^{13,14}. In 2009, the European Plant Protection 52 Organization (EPPO) performed a risk analysis, which came to the conclusion that this species 53 was recommended for regulation and placed on the EPPO A2 list in 2010. Following numerous 54 interceptions over the years, it was concluded that M. enterolobii fulfilled the conditions provided in Article 3 and Section 1 of Annex I to Regulation (EU) 2016/2031 in respect of the 55 Union territory and therefore should be listed in Part A of Annex II to Implementing Regulation 56 57 (EU) 2019/2072 as Union quarantine pest¹⁵. However, once damage is detected, *M. enterolobii* 58 identification is challenging due to morphological resemblances it shares with other root-knot nematode species^{11,14,16,17}. 59

60 In that perspective, providing high-quality nuclear and mitochondrial genomes for this species 61 can accelerate the development of reliable molecular markers and the understanding of the 62 biology of *M. enterolobii*. A first version of the genome of *M. enterolobii* was published in 2017 as part of a comparative genomics analysis with other root-knot nematodes¹⁸. The population 63 64 named L30, originated from Burkina Faso and was sequenced using Illumina short reads. 65 Consequently, the assembled genome was quite fragmented with >46,000 contigs and an N50 66 length <9.3kb, precluding analyses of structural variants or conserved synteny with other 67 Meloidogyne species. Nevertheless, this initial genome allowed confirming that this species was likely polyploid, similarly to other tropical parthenogenetic root-knot nematodes¹⁹. More 68

69 recently, a *M. enterolobii* genome assembled from PacBio RS long reads and polished with Illumina short reads was published²⁰. The sequenced population named Mma-II, was isolated 70 from infected tomatoes in a Swiss organic farm²¹. With <4,500 contigs and an N50 length of 71 143kb, the genome assembly represented a substantial improvement compared to the only 72 73 other assembly available at this time. However, recent progress in the quality and data volume of long-read sequencing technologies²² promises even more contiguous and higher-quality 74 genomes even for complex polyploid species and including the Meloidogyne genus^{23,24}. 75 76 Therefore, we used the PacBio HiFi, highly accurate long-read sequencing technology to 77 produce a more contiguous and reliable reference genome for this guarantine plant-parasitic 78 nematode.

Using this technology and further improvement of the quality of the reads, we assembled the genome of the *Meloidogyne enterolobii* population (E1834), originally isolated from the roots of eggplant collected in Puerto Rico, in 556 contigs with an N50 length surpassing 2Mb and a genome assembly size of 273Mb, consistent with previous flow cytometry estimation on a population from Guadeloupe island (274.7 +- 18.52 Mb)²⁰. Compared to the previous longread version of the genome, this constitutes an improvement of the N50 contiguity by more than one order of magnitude, with the number of contigs divided by almost 10.

86 Further quality check of our genome assembly and comparison with previous assemblies 87 confirmed the correct species identification for population E1834 and for the isolate from 88 Burkina Faso previously sequenced with short reads. However, our study also revealed that 89 the Mma-II Swiss population previously sequenced with PacBio RS underwent a contamination 90 by *M. incognita*, which over several generations in a greenhouse, completely overtook 91 originally described *M. enterolobii* population M-ma-II. As this population was not maintained 92 as a single egg mass line, contamination by a highly virulent and equally pathogenic M. 93 incognita population remained undetected. Mis-identification among Meloidogyne species is 94 not uncommon as reported populations of *M. ethiopica* in Europe were later identified as *M. luci*²⁵. Consequently, the genome assembly in that publication²⁰ corresponded to *M. incognita* 95 96 implying no long-read-based contiguous genome for *M. enterolobii* was finally available so far. 97 This finding also motivated us to develop a methodology based on mitochondrial genomes reconstruction and relative coverage to detect contamination between closely related species 98 which are not detectable with standard Blobtools²⁶ approaches based on contigs GC content 99 100 and coverage. This methodology can be reused to confirm correct species identification in 101 sequencing projects.

Overall, we propose a high-quality contiguous genome for *M. enterolobii* constituting a reliable
 resource for within- and between-species comparative genomics. The contiguity of the

genome enables study of structural variations and conserved synteny, which will be essential
 towards comprehensive identification of genomic variations in relation with the host range of

106 this quarantine nematode species in Europe.

107

108 Methods

109 Nematode collection and DNA extraction

110 The Meloidogyne enterolobii population (E1834) was originally isolated from the roots of eggplant collected in Puerto Rico and has been maintained since 2005 in the *Meloidogyne* sp. 111 112 reference collection at The Netherlands Institute for Vectors, Invasive plants and Plant health 113 (NIVIP) Wageningen, Netherlands. In 2020, this population was kindly provided by NRC, for 114 the research conducted in the framework of the project AEGONE (No . 431627824r) and has 115 been maintained at the Julius Kühn Institut (JKI) in Braunschweig, Germany in a greenhouse on the resistant tomato cultivar 'Phantasia'. Nematodes used for DNA extraction were 116 obtained from single egg mass (SEM) lines. To obtain these lines, 12 single females with egg 117 118 masses were carefully picked from the infected roots of tomato and second stage juveniles (J2) were allowed to hatch in six well plates (SARSTEDT AG & Co. KG, Nümbrecht, DE) with 5ml 119 120 molecular grade water per well. After one week at room temperature ($20 \pm 1^{\circ}C$) in the dark, 121 10 wells with the highest number of hatched J2s were selected for inoculation. In addition, 122 two J2s from each egg mass were collected for DNA extraction and species verification by Realtime PCR²⁷ and SCAR species-specific markers^{28,29}. For multiplication of the SEMLs, five-week-123 old tomato seedlings from the cultivar 'Phantasia' were transplanted into 1000 ml clay pots 124 125 (Risa Pflanzgefässe GmbH, Germany) containing 750ml quartz sand (0.3-1mm) supplemented 126 with slow-releasing fertilizer, Osmocote (1.5g/L). Afterwards, tomatoes were inoculated with J2s obtained from the respective egg masses. Tomato plants were maintained in a greenhouse 127 at 20 to 25°C with 16h of light and 8h of darkness. Plants were watered daily and fertilized 128 129 once per week with Wuxal[®] super solution (8:8:6; N: P: K, Hauert MANNA, Nürnberg, DE). After 130 8 weeks, the galled roots were carefully washed free of sand and the eggs and juveniles (E&J) were extracted with 0.7% chlorine solution³⁰. The resulting E&J suspension was counted to 131 132 identify the line with the highest reproduction rate. The SEML number 4 was therefore 133 selected (Table 1) for further experiments and production of DNA.

- 134
- 135
- 136

	Number of Egg & Juveniles			
	per root			
SEML 1	43,200 ± 577.35			
SEML 2	22,7800 ± 1285.82			
SEML 3	56,600 ± 1604.16			
SEML 4	454,800 ± 1442.22			
SEML 5	230,400 ± 945.16			
SEML 6	3000 ± 503.32			
SEML 7	187,800 ± 2457.64			
SEML 8	170,000 ± 416.33			
SEML 9	109,000 ± 1222.02			
SEML 10	189,800 ± 901.85			

137

Table 1: Number of newly produced eggs and juveniles per root system of 10 single eggs mass
lines of *Meloidogyne enterolobii* population (E1834). Tabulated values are the mean count of
Eggs and Juveniles with standard error for different SEML.

141

142 **DNA extraction**

143 The selected SEML 4 was multiplied on tomato plants to obtain J2 for DNA extraction. Galled 144 tomato roots were carefully washed free of sand and placed in a mist chamber to collect 145 freshly hatched J2 after 14 days. The J2 suspension was purified by the modified centrifugefloatation method³¹ with a 45% sugar solution to reduce contaminations such as root debris, 146 147 bacteria, fungal spores, etc... Afterwards, approximately 50,000-70,000 J2 were transferred 148 into 1.5ml Eppendorf tube and washed 3 times with molecular grade water. After freezing in 149 liquid nitrogen, DNA was extracted from the homogenized sample using the MasterPure 150 Complete DNA & RNA Purification Kit (Lucigen) following the manufacturer's protocol. The DNA was suspended in 10mM Tris-HCl buffer and the DNA concentration was determined with 151 either a Qubit[™] 4 fluorometer (Life Technologies, Singapore) or NanoDrop 2000 [™] 152 153 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The NanoDrop 2000™ was blanked using 154 the respective elution buffer for the method. DNA concentration was measured using Qubit[™] (1X dsDNA HS (High Sensitivity) Assay Kit, Invitrogen, #Q32853) and NanoDrop 2000[™]. Purity 155 156 was measured using the 260/280 nm and 260/230 nm absorbance ratios of NanoDrop 2000TM.

- 157
- 158
- 159

160 Genome sequencing and read processing

The long-fragment DNA libraries from the *M. enterolobii* population E1834 were constructed 161 162 at the GeT-PlaGe core facility, INRAE Toulouse according to the manufacturer's instructions "Preparing whole genome and metagenome libraries using SMRTbell® prep kit 3.0". At each 163 164 step, DNA was quantified using the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Life Technologies). DNA purity 165 was tested using the nanodrop (Thermofisher) and size distribution and degradation assessed 166 using the Femto pulse Genomic DNA 165 kb Kit (Agilent). Purification steps were performed 167 using AMPure PB beads (PacBio) and SMRTbell cleanup beads (PacBio). A DNA damage repair 168 step was performed using the « SMRTbell Damage Repair Kit SPV3 » (PacBio). A total of 9.4µg 169 of DNA was purified and then sheared at 20 kb using the Megaruptor system (Diagenode). 170 Using SMRTbell® prep kit 3.0, a Single strand overhangs removal, a DNA and END damage 171 repair step were performed on 8.3µg of sample. Subsequently, blunt hairpin adapters were 172 ligated to the library and a nuclease treatment was performed using the nuclease mix of 173 "SMRTbell[®] prep kit 3.0". A size selection step using a 6kb cutoff was performed on the BluePippin Size Selection system (Sage Science) with "0.75% DF Marker S1 6-10 kb vs3 174 175 Improved Recovery" protocol. Using Binding kit 2.2 kit and sequencing kit 2.0, the primer V5 176 annealed and polymerase 2.2 bounded library was sequenced by diffusion loading with the 177 adaptive loading method onto 1 on Sequel II instrument at 90pM with a 2 hours pre-extension 178 and a 30 hours movie.

179 The Sequel II sequencing system outputs 1To of raw data into a subread file. This contains 180 unaligned base calls from high-quality regions, the complete set of base quality values and 181 kinetic measurements from the sequencing instrument. This subread file is used as input for 182 the Circular Consensus Sequencing (CCS v6.4.0) analysis to generate a draft consensus sequence. Very low-quality reads (<Q9) were filtered out by using the parameter --min-183 184 rq=0.88. To further improve the quality of the PacBio Sequel II reads, we have used a gapaware sequence transformer, DeepConsensus³² (v1.1.0). As a final step, the previous subreads 185 were aligned to the draft consensus sequence using $ACTC^{33}$ with default parameters (v0.2.0) 186 187 and used as input to the DeepConsensus transformer-encoder. The Phred-scale read accuracy score (Qconcordance) has been calculated according to Baid et al.³² where Qconcordance = -188 189 10*log10 (1-identity) and identity = matches / (matches + mismatches + deletions + 190 insertions).

191

192 Ploidy, heterozygosity, and genome size estimation

To infer the ploidy level of the *M. enterolobii* population E1834, a k-mer-based approach was
employed to profile the genome. The k-mer frequencies in DeepConsensus sequencing reads

were analyzed using KMC³⁴ (v3.0.0, kmc -k21 -m100 -ci1 -cs10000). In accordance with the
author's recommendations, canonical 21-mers were extracted using a hash and organized in
a histogram file using the kmc_tools transform option. To determine the appropriate coverage
thresholds required for the inference, the KMC histogram file is utilized as input for the cutoff
option in Smudgeplot³⁵ (v0.2.4). Subsequently, we generated a smudge plot using the
coverage of the identified k-mer pairs to determine ploidy.

To estimate genome size and heterozygosity prior to assembly, we used Genomescope³⁵ (v2.0) on the histogram file generated from Jellyfish³⁶ (v2.3.0, jellyfish histo -h 1000000) and the coverage thresholds produced by the Smudgeplot cutoff tool. The final genome size is therefore obtained by multiplying the haploid genome size by the previously estimated ploidy level.

206

207 Genome assembly, estimation of completeness and contamination

DeepConsensus reads were trimmed of remaining adapters using HifiAdapterFilt³⁷ (v2.0.0) with default parameters. The trimmed reads were then used as input to the Peregrine-2021 assembler^{38,39} (v0.4.11) while increasing the default number of best overlaps for each initial graph (parameter --bestn 8). This parameter is optimized for highly heterozygous genomes.

To further assess genome assembly completeness in a reference-free approach, we used Merqury's algorithm⁴⁰ (v1.3). This tool uses k-mer frequencies to evaluate a genome's base accuracy and completeness. This is achieved by counting and comparing the distribution of canonical 21-mers found in the assembled genome with those detected in the high-accuracy DeepConsensus read set. Merqury's k-mer analysis will therefore indicate whether the genome assembly has captured all the information present in the HiFi reads.

218 The screening of the contig assembly for potential contaminants by non-nematode sequences was done with the Blobtools²⁶ pipeline (v3.2.6). DeepConsensus polished long-reads were 219 aligned to the contigs with Minimap2⁴¹ (v2.24) and the map-hifi parameter. Each contig was 220 221 then assigned to a taxonomic group based on the $BLAST^{42}$ (v2.13.0+) analysis results against the NCBI nucleotide (nt) database⁴³. Particular attention was paid to contigs of non-nematode 222 223 taxa or contigs with a GC percentage deviating from the average GC content (around $30\%^{18}$) 224 of the M. enterolobii population E1834 to detect possible contamination. A total of 39 contigs 225 spanning ~2.5 Mbp were discarded from the assembly. The resulting assembly of 556 contigs 226 is used for downstream analyses.

227

228

230 Mitochondrion assembly and functional annotation

231 The circular mitochondrial genome sequence was reconstituted using the ALADIN⁴⁴ package 232 (v1.1) and DeepConsensus HiFi reads in input with default parameters. We employed as a reference seed sequence the complete mitochondrion of M. enterolobii previously 233 234 downloaded from the GenBank database (BioProject: PRJNA927338⁴⁵). The annotation was carried out using GeSeq⁴⁶, encompassing both the tRNA, the rRNA and the protein-coding 235 genes. We set the minimum threshold of 85% for the protein and non-coding DNA search 236 237 identity, and we used seven Meloidogyne mitochondrial genomes as third-party references 238 (Table 2). The tRNAs prediction was also performed using third-party predictors, such as 239 tRNAscan-SE⁴⁷ (v2.0.7), ARAGORN⁴⁸ (v1.2.38), and ARWEN⁴⁹ (v1.2.3), with codon usage 240 corresponding to Metazoan and Invertebrate Mitochondrial.

241

242 Gene prediction and genome structure determination

Gene models prediction was done with the fully automated pipeline EuGene-EP⁵⁰ (v1.6.5). 243 EuGene has been configured to integrate similarities with known proteins of Caenorhabditis 244 elegans (PRJNA13758) from WormBase Parasite⁵¹ and 245 "nematoda" section of 246 UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot library⁵², with the prior exclusion of proteins that were similar to those present in RepBase⁵³. The dataset of *Meloidogyne enterolobii* transcribed sequences²⁰ was 247 aligned on the genome and used by EuGene as transcription evidence. Only the alignments of 248 249 datasets on the genome spanning 30% of the transcript length with at least 97% identity were retained. The EuGene default configuration was edited to set the "preserve" parameter to 1 250 for all datasets, the "gmap_intron_filter" parameter to 1 and the minimum intron length to 251 252 35 bp. Finally, the Nematodes-specific Weight Array Method matrices were used to score the 253 splice sites (available at this URL: http://eugene.toulouse.inra.fr/Downloads/WAM_ 254 nematodes 20171017.tar.gz).

Genome structure analysis was conducted using MCScanX⁵⁴, with default settings. First, the 255 whole proteome of the M. enterolobii population E1834, predicted by EuGene, was self-256 257 blasted with an E-value cutoff of 1e-25, a maximum of 5 aligned sequences, and maximum 1 high-scoring pair (hsp). Subsequently, we used gene location information extracted from the 258 259 GFF3 annotation file of EuGene, along with homology information based on the all-versus-all 260 BLASTP analysis, to identify and categorize each duplicated protein-coding gene into one of five groups using the duplicate gene classifier program implemented in the MCScanX 261 262 package. These groups are: singleton, proximal, tandem, whole-genome or segmental duplications (WGD), and dispersed duplications. Singleton refers to cases where no duplicates 263

are found in the assembly. Proximal duplicates refer to gene duplications that are on the same

265 contig and separated by 1 to 10 genes. Tandem duplicates, on the other hand, are consecutive.

266 WGD are identified when they form collinear blocks with other pairs of duplicated genes.

Finally, dispersed duplicates are those that cannot be assigned to any of the above-mentionedcategories.

269 Species verification and validation

270 In the following step, we further screened the genomic reads for potential contamination, this 271 time by other root-knot nematode sequences. Blobtools allows the identification of potential 272 contamination in genome assemblies, but only at distant taxonomic levels between different 273 phyla (e.g., Chordata, Nematoda, Arthropoda, ...). Therefore, although contamination can be detected and cleaned at this level, it remains undetectable at the intra-phylum level (e.g. 274 275 within Nematoda). To allow the detection of contamination by other closely related 276 nematodes at the reads level, we adapted the Blobtools pipeline to work with mitochondrial 277 genomes. The polished long-reads were aligned against complete mitochondrial sequences 278 for seven Meloidogyne species downloaded from the NCBI database (Table 2), using the same 279 procedure as above. Since Blobtools only works at the phylum and not species rank, we used 280 a script to create an additional hits file and assign a custom NCBI phylum TaxID to each species. The seven *Meloidogyne* samples have been then temporarily assigned to a different phylum 281 282 for the BlobPlot visualization only (Table 2).

283

Species	Length (bp)	GenBank accession	Bank accession TaxIDs Custo	
M. graminicola ⁵⁵	19589	NC_056772	189291	4890(Ascomycota)
M. arenaria ⁵⁶	17580	NC_026554	6304	6340 (Annelida)
M. enterolobii ⁴⁵	17053	NC_026555	390850	390850
M. javanica ⁵⁷	18291	NC_026556	6303	10190 (Rotifera)
M. incognita ⁵⁸	17662	NC_02409	6306	6656 (Arthropoda)
M. chitwoodi ⁵⁸	18201	KJ476150	59747	6447 (Mollusca)
<i>M. oryzae</i> ⁵⁹	17066	MK507908	325757	7711 (Chordata)

284

Table 2: Mitochondrial sequence data statistics for different nematodes and their correspondence with the modified Blobtools analyses. Seven Meloidogyne mitochondrial sequences have been analyzed for this study. For each species, a specific custom TaxID corresponding to a different phylum has been used.

290 Species-specific SCAR (sequence characterized amplified region) markers are routinely used to confirm species identity in plant-parasitic nematodes⁶⁰. SCAR markers are locus-specific 291 292 fragments of DNA that are amplified by PCR using specific 15-30bp primers. In this study, we 293 retrieved primer sequences of species-specific SCAR markers from the literature 294 (Supplementary Table 1) for four Meloidogyne species with genome assemblies publicly 295 available and belonging to the same clade (M. arenaria, M. incognita, M. javanica and M. 296 enterolobii). We aligned all the primers to all the above-mentioned genomes with BLAST and 297 when the primer pairs matched on the same contig, we retrieved from the genome the 'virtual' 298 PCR products. After verification of consistency with the lengths from the literature, the virtual 299 PCR products were then aligned to the two previous and present versions of *M. enterolobii* 300 genome assemblies with an E-value threshold of 1e-25.

301

302 Data Records

All the PacBio HiFi sequence data as well as the genome assemblies and gene predictions supporting the results of this paper have been deposited and are publicly available at the EMBL-EBI's European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under accession number PRJEB69523 (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB69523)⁶¹. All the processed data, including genome assemblies⁶², gene predictions⁶³, and all the structural annotation⁶⁴ results have been deposited and are publicly available at the Recherche Data Gouv institutional collection.

309

Technical Validation

311 Assessing read accuracy

After implementing the DeepConsensus³² sequence transformer procedure, statistical analysis 312 showed an increase in the number of high-quality reads obtained (Fig. 1, Table 3) with long 313 fragment DNA reads of up to 26kb in length. The average length of the reads is around 11kb 314 315 with a total number of 2.4M reads, and a higher average Phred-scale read accuracy score 316 (Qconcordance), which increased from 31.95 before to 34 after DeepConsensus. This 317 transformer has elevated the PacBio HiFi read yield to a minimum Q30 by 10% and a minimum 318 Q40 score (99.99% read accuracy) by 70%. Furthermore, we have retrieved 198,880 long-reads 319 that were initially dismissed prior to treatment in the filter, providing us with more chances to comprehensively capture the entire genome of *M. enterolobii*. 320

- 321
- 322
- 323

	Before DeepConsensus	After DeepConsensus		
Longest read	26 302 bp	26 302 bp		
Mean length	11 194 bp	11 187 bp		
Number of reads	2 250 199	2 449 079		
Number of bases	25 442 730 700	27 277 356 063		
Average Qscore	31.95	34.04		

324

Table 3: Read statistics before and after the use of DeepConsensus sequence transformer.

326 After DeepConsensus treatment, a higher number of reads with higher quality have been327 retrieved.

328



329

Figure 1. Distribution of raw PacBio HiFi reads before and after DeepConsensus treatment.
 Comparison of the Concordance Qscore before and after DeepConsensus. The average phred scale read accuracy score has increased by two points after treatment.

333

334 **Profiling genome ploidy level**, heterozygosity, and size.

335 Prior to assembling a genome, it is crucial to evaluate its ploidy and size. The distribution of k-336 mer frequencies within the DeepConsensus sequencing reads allows estimating major genome features such as ploidy level, genome size, and heterozygosity rate. As 337 GenomeScope2³⁵ can only precisely examine organisms when a definite ploidy is known, we 338 utilized first, the results of Smudgeplot³⁵ (Fig. 2a) to provide GenomeScope2 with estimated 339 340 ploidy level. Each smudge on the graph appears to be distinct, indicating sufficient sequencing 341 coverage for further analysis. The most prevalent smudge corresponds to a predicted triploid 342 AAB genome for the *M. enterolobii* population E1834 (Table 4). This result is consistent with

previous k-mer analysis performed on the short-reads for the L30 population from Burkina
 Faso^{18,65}.

Subsequently, we estimated the genome size using GenomeScope2 with a ploidy level of 3 (Fig. 2b). The genome size was determined by multiplying the estimated haploid genome length (85,887,712 bp) by the previously estimated ploidy level (p=3), providing an estimated genome size of 257.66 Mb.

349 Furthermore, the GenomeScope2 k-mer histogram of this polyploid population displays a 350 distinct multimodal profile, with a substantial first peak located at roughly 95X, a smaller 351 second peak at about 187X, and finally, an additional peak at 282X, typical for triploid 352 genomes. Finally, GenomeScope2 estimated a highly heterozygous genome (6.5 % estimated 353 on average), consistent with a previous estimation of ca. 6.1% on the L30 population from Burkina Faso¹⁸. It should be noted that the term heterozygosity does not exactly apply here as 354 we do not measure divergence between homologous chromosomes in a diploid genome but 355 356 between the AAB subgenomes in a triploid species. Therefore, we will refer to average 357 nucleotide divergence between subgenomes in the rest of the manuscript.







Figure 2. Genome profiling of *M. enterolobii*. A. Smudgeplot of *M. enterolobii* extracting 21mers from DeepConsensus reads. The color intensity of each smudge reflects the approximate
number of k-mers per bin. *M. enterolobii* E1834 population is proposed as a triploid organism.
B. GenomeScope2 k-mer profile and estimated parameters for the triploid nematode *M. enterolobii*. Coverage (kcov), error rate (err.), haploid genome size estimation (len.), k-mer size
(k) and ploidy level (p). The peak heights are proportional to the species' heterozygosity. *M. enterolobii* shows a high heterozygosity.

Peak	Kmers #	Kmers proportion	Summit B/ (A+B)	Summit A+B
AAB	5 952 405	0.60	0.34	309.70
AB	3 243 405	0.33	0.49	191.27
AAAB	508 560	0.05	0.24	451.82
AABB	213 490	0.02	0.49	404.45

Table 4. Summary of peaks detected by Smudgeplot. This result proposes that the *M. enterolobii* E1834 population is triploid.

370

371 De novo genome assembly

After filtering and elimination of the contaminated and mitochondrial contigs, the resulting genome of the *Meloidogyne enterolobii* population E1834 is assembled in 556 contigs with a total size of 273 Mbp. The corresponding contig N50 length is equal to 2.11Mb, with the longest being 8.3 Mb, long.

The genome assembly size is congruent with the total DNA content estimated by flow cytometry (274.69 \pm 18.52 Mb) on another population of *M. enterolobii* from Guadeloupe island²⁰, indicating that the assembly represents a complete *M. enterolobii* genome.

However, the genome size estimated by analysis of k-mer distribution (257.66 Mb) is lower than the assembly size and in the lower range of the flow cytometry evaluation. A previous study showed that the accuracy of genome size estimation based on k-mer frequencies can be affected by repeats, high heterozygosity and sequencing errors⁶⁶. This suggests that the high heterozygosity rate or repeat-richness in the *M. enterolobii* genome could have played a role in this underestimation.

385 To further assess genome assembly quality metrics and evaluate genome's base accuracy and completeness we used Mergury⁴⁰. In the Mergury spectrum produced (Fig. 3a), the first and 386 387 prominent 1-copy peak at a ~100X multiplicity corresponds to k-mers in the reads detected only one time in the assembly. This can be interpreted as heterozygous regions between the 388 389 three subgenomes. The second peak at twice this multiplicity (~200X) corresponds to 390 homozygous k-mers present in the reads and detected two times in the assembly. This most 391 likely represents regions identical between two of the three AAB subgenomes. Similarly, most 392 of the k-mers detected at 3 times in the assembly, probably represent regions identical 393 between the three AAB subgenomes. Conversely, the grey peak at low multiplicity represents 394 rare k-mers which solely exist within the read set and are probably due to sequencing errors. 395 This Mergury plot indicates a lack of missing content as there is no subsequent grey peak at 396 the 1-copy peak (~100X coverage). Additionally, the AAB subgenomes are divergent enough 397 to have been mostly separated (or unzipped) during the assembly, as there is no smaller 398 second grey peak beneath the 2-copy peak at twice the coverage (~200X). This can also be 399 observed in the coverage plot provided by bedtools⁶⁷ v2.29.0 (Fig. 3b), where the coverage 400 depth for each base on each contig has been computed. We can clearly see a prominent peak 401 located at roughly 101X corresponding to the haploid coverage found in the k-mers with 402 Smugeplot (Fig. 2a), and a shoulder at roughly twice the haploid coverage probably 403 represented few identical regions between sub-genomes that have not been completely 404 unzipped during assembly.

405 Overall, the k-mer analysis with Mergury indicates that all the information present in the HiFi
406 reads has been captured in the genome assembly, further suggesting a complete genome.

407



⁴⁰⁸

Figure 3. Genome assembly spectra. A. The Merqury spectrum plot using DeepConsensus reads tracks the multiplicity of each k-mer detected in the read set. The plot is color-coded according to the number of times a k-mer is found in an assembly. B. Bedtools per-base reports coverage for the assembly. The three *M. enterolobii* AAB subgenomes were effectively separated during assembly using Peregrine.

414

415 Validating species identity and purity

We confirmed the purity of the *M. enterolobii* population E1834 and the correct species identification by using, first, the Blobtools²⁶ pipeline. The pipeline generated BlobPlots, which are two-dimensional plots depicting contigs presented as circles, whose diameters are proportional to the sequence length and are colored based on their taxonomic affiliation, determined by the BLAST similarity search results against the NCBI nt database⁴³. The relative positions of the circles are according to their GC content and coverage by the long reads. Following the removal of contaminant contigs, the resulting BlobPlot is shown in Figure 4a. Any contigs lacking taxonomic annotation are labeled as 'no-hit'. For the non-Nematoda contigs falling perfectly inside the range of *M. enterolobii* GC content estimates¹⁸ (around 30%), a manual verification was conducted and eight of these contigs were kept. The proposed assignments from Blobtools were disregarded. Instead, for each of them we retained the highest-ranking result proposed by BLAST if the calculated percentage of identity surpassed 90%, the e-value did not exceed 1e-⁵⁰, and the taxID belonged to the Nematoda phylum. This resulted in 556 final contigs for this assembly.

430 The Blobtools pipeline is a valuable tool for detecting possible contaminations in a genome 431 assembly, especially those originating from distant species of different phyla. However, if the 432 contamination comes from a closely related species with a comparable GC content or has been 433 sequenced at a similar coverage, the classical approach will not detect a contamination. For 434 this reason, we made slight adjustments to the methodology (Methods) to achieve a 435 taxonomic classification based on different species within the Nematoda phylum, instead of 436 between phyla only (Fig. 4b, 4c). We focused our analysis on different species within the Meloidogyne genus because (i) they are difficult to differentiate based on the morphology, (ii) 437 438 they live in the same environment, (iii) they have similar GC content. Therefore, a nonnegligible possibility for undetected contamination exists. 439

Using this modified BlobTools methodology, on the *M. enterolobii* population E1834 we have sequenced, we observed that the *M. enterolobii* reference mitochondrial genome from the NCBI was highly covered whereas all the other mitochondrial genomes from the other Meloidogyne species were not covered by our long reads. Hence, no evidence for contamination by other Meloidogyne species was found in the E1834 population (Fig. 4b).

445 For comparison, this method was applied to the previous long-read genome of M. enterolobii²⁰, and surprisingly, it was found to be heavily contaminated by another 446 447 Meloidogyne (Fig. 4c). Specifically, the M. enterolobii mitochondrial genome was not covered by the previous long reads while those of *M. incognita*, *M. javanica* and *M. arenaria* were all 448 449 substantially covered. Approximately 60%, 30%, and 10% of the mitochondrial reads aligned 450 with these mitochondrial genomes, respectively. Although this adjusted Blobtools approach 451 suggested contamination of the previous Mma-II Swiss population from other root-knot 452 nematodes, this alone was not sufficient to discriminate between these three closely related 453 species.

454 Consequently, we combined this approach with SCAR markers. All the pairs of primers for the 455 SCAR marker of the four Meloidogyne species of interest were aligned to the previous and 456 current assemblies of *M. enterolobii*. Both for the L30 population of Burkina Faso and the 457 E1834 population from Puerto Rico sequenced here, the pair of primers for the *M. enterolobii* 458 SCAR marker matched the genome assemblies with 100% identity in the correct orientation 459 on one single contig. This allowed identification of a virtual amplified sequence of 537bp, 460 which is consistent with the ~520bp estimated PCR product on the electrophoresis gel in Tigano et al²⁸. In contrast, neither the *M. enterolobii* SCAR primers nor the reconstructed 461 462 corresponding PCR product matched the previous Mma-II genome assembly, confirming the 463 genome was probably not M. enterolobii. To further determine the possible source of 464 contamination, we aligned the pairs of primers of the M. incognita, M. javanica and M. 465 arenaria SCAR markers on the Mma-II genome. The *M. incognita* pair of primers matched 466 perfectly on this previous assembly in the correct orientation and allowed reconstructing a 467 virtual PCR product of 1192 bp, consistent with the estimated size of the PCR product of ~1,200 bp for *M. incognita*⁶⁰. Neither the pair of *M. incognita* primers nor the reconstructed PCR 468 product matched the L30 or E1834 genome assemblies, and none of the M. javanica or M. 469 470 arenaria pairs of primers matched any of the previously published or current M. enterolobii 471 genomes.

Therefore, we can conclude that although no trace of contamination by closely related
Meloidogyne species could be identified in the L30 or E1834 genome, there is clear evidence
that the Mma-II population had been contaminated and replaced by *M. incognita*.

The combination of SCAR marker analysis and a modification of Blobtools, specifically for mitochondrion', has resulted in a powerful tool for the examination and the verification of species purity.





480 Figure 4. BlobPlot of different Meloidogyne genome assemblies. A. Blobplot showing 481 taxonomic affiliation at the phylum rank level for the E1834 population of *M. enterolobii*. After removing contamination and mitochondrion, 556 contigs were left. The average GC content 482 483 for *M. enterolobii* is equal to 30 ± 0.042 . **B**. Mitochondrion only for the E1834 population, 484 after removing contamination. No sign of other Nematoda within the assembly. C. Mitochondrion only for the previous *M. enterolobii* reference population provided by 485 Koutsovoulos et al.²⁰. No sign of *M. enterolobii* within the assembly. This BlobPlot revealed a 486 487 contamination by other Meloidogyne spp..

488

489 Genome completeness assessment

To evaluate the completeness of our genome assembly in terms of expected gene content 490 among related species, we benchmarked nearly universal single-copy orthologs (BUSCO⁶⁸ 491 492 v5.2.2) by using the eukaryote odb10 lineage dataset in fast mode. Despite the presence of a 493 nematode dataset in BUSCO, it only contains seven species and none of them belong to the 494 same clade as the root-knot nematodes. Therefore, we decided to use the more comprehensive Eukaryotic dataset, which encompasses 70 species. This procedure generates 495 496 a report that indicates the number of genes that are universally or mostly conserved within 497 the assembly and classifies them into several groups: complete, fragmented, single-copy, or

duplicated. The results show that 71.4% (182/255) of BUSCO genes are complete and 12.5%
are fragmented. This is a substantial improvement compared to the previously available
assemblies (Table 5). Indeed, the Burkina Faso isolate of *M. enterolobii* reached eukaryotic
BUSCO completeness score of 59.2% while the Mma-II assembly contaminated by *M. incognita*reached 69.4%.

503 BUSCO is a valuable and robust tool for assessing completeness in a genome assembly in terms 504 of a widely conserved gene set. Nevertheless, in the case of less studied species, the analysis 505 may lack precision if the newly assembled genome comprises variations not included in the 506 initial BUSCO gene set, such as true copy number or sequence variants⁴⁰. We then used 507 Mergury once more to identify any copy-number errors and measure completeness and base 508 accuracy via k-mers. Consequently, Mergury determined the proportion of reliable k-mers in 509 the sequencing sample that were detected in the assembly, resulting in a completeness score 510 of 99.60%. To establish Mergury's base accuracy score, a binomial model for k-mer survival 511 was employed, resulting in a Qscore of 65.70. Higher Qscores indicate a more precise consensus. For instance, Q30 corresponds to an accuracy of 99.9%, Q40 to 99.99%, and so on. 512 513 In contrast, Burkina Faso and Swiss isolates have Qscores of 55.12 and 29.93, based 514 respectively on their own reads.

515

BUSCO	M. enterolobii	M. enterolobii*	M. enterolobii	
Categories	(L30 ⁶⁹)	(Swiss ²⁰)	(E1834) **	
Complete	59.2% (151)	69.4% (177)	71.4% (182)	
Single-copy	29.8% (76)	12.5% (32)	14.9% (38)	
Duplicated	29.4% (75)	56.9% (145)	56.5% (144)	
Fragmented	18.4% (47)	13.3% (34)	12.5% (32)	
Missing	22.4% (57)	17.3% (44)	16.1% (41)	

Table 5. Ortholog BUSCO completeness analysis for different *M. enterolobii* using lineage
dataset eukaryota_odb10. *Population contaminated by *M. incognita.* **This work.

518

519 Gene prediction

Using the automated Eugene-EP pipeline, a total of 49,870 genes were predicted, with 45,924
being protein-coding genes and 3,946 being non-protein-coding genes such as rRNA, tRNA,
and splice leader genes. These genes cover 84 Mb (approximately 29.48%) of the genome
assembly length, with the exons spanning 44.51 Mb (around 15.60%). On average, 5.26 exons
are predicted per gene, and the gene length varies from a minimum of 150 bp to a maximum
of 35,976 bp. The mean GC content is higher in either the protein-coding region (35.19%) or

in the non-protein-coding gene regions (44.19%) compared to that of the whole genome(30.34%).

528

529 Confirmation of genome structure and ploidy level

530 Although the *M. enterolobii* population E1834 genome has been predicted as a putative 531 triploid based on k-mer analyses and Smudgeplot, it is important to further confirm the ploidy 532 level of the genome assembly after annotation. The use of MCScanX reveals that a majority of 533 gene duplicates create whole duplicated blocks, rather than dispersed independent 534 duplications. Following the classification established by the duplicate gene classifier program 535 implemented in the MCScanX package, 39,532 of the protein-coding genes (around 86.10%) are predicted to be duplicated at least once. As evidenced by Table 6, a majority of these 536 537 coding genes (75.6%) show a duplication depth of two (meaning for these genes, two other copies exist), further reinforcing the idea that the genome is triploid. Furthermore, it was 538 539 found that 69.76% of the protein-coding genes fall under the whole-genome duplication 540 category of MCScanX, forming 516 syntenic blocks of collinear genes (see Fig. 5 for 541 visualization of multiple syntenic blocks between different contigs). In addition, 12.61% of the 542 genes are classified as dispersed duplicates, while 2.18% and 1.53% constitute proximal and 543 tandem duplicates, respectively. These findings strongly suggest that the genome of M. 544 enterolobii is triploid, confirming SmudgePlot results.

545

Duplication depth	0	1	2	3	4	5+
Gene numbers	1992	4917	34720	2700	769	826
Percentage (%)	4.34	10.71	75.60	5.88	1.67	1.80

546

Table 6. Duplicate gene classifier program of MCScanX for a self-comparison of *M. enterolobii*.
Genes with a duplication depth of 0 are not duplicated, while a depth of 1 indicates a maximum
of one copy, a depth of 2 indicates two copies, and so forth.



551

Figure 5. *M. enterolobii* exhibits a triploid genome. The circle plot produced by MCScanX shows
collinear gene pairs forming homologous duplicated regions between three contigs. All the
collinear gene pairs are linked with different curved colored lines between and within each
contig.

556

557 Mitochondrial genome assembly and annotation

Using the Aladin package⁴⁴, the mitochondrial genome of the *Meloidogyne enterolobii* population E1834 has been assembled and spanned a length of 19,193 bp with a GC content of 17.2% (Fig. 6). We have retrieved and annotated all the mitochondrially encoded subunits involved in the Mitochondrial respiratory chain, including the seven core subunits of the complex I, the cytochrome b of the complex III, the three cytochrome c, and the ATP synthase. We also obtained a full set of tRNAs, among which were in multiple copies (Ala, Ser, Leu and Asn) as well as ribosomal RNAs (rrnS, rrn12 and rrn16).

565 When blasted against the NCBI nt database, the reconstructed mitochondrial genome of the 566 *Meloidogyne enterolobii* population E1834 returned as first hit the complete mitochondrial 567 reference genome of *M. enterolobii*⁴⁵, with 99.526% identity and an alignment length of 568 13,067 bp, as the primary hsp. The second-best hit corresponds to an incomplete 569 mitochondrial genome from an *M. enterolobii* isolate discovered on sweet potatoes in the 570 state of Carolina in the USA (GenBank: MW246173.1).

In contrast, reconstruction of the mitochondrial genome using Aladin on the Swiss population
Mma-II yielded a ~23kb genome which returned as first hit the *M. incognita* reference
mitochondrial genome⁵⁸ with >99% identity covering >97% of the query while the *M. enterolobii* reference mitochondrial genome only emerged as the fifth hit with only 87%
identity covering 78% of the length.
These results further confirm the E1834 population we have sequenced is indeed *M.*

577 enterolobii.

578



579

Figure 6. Mitochondrial genome organization of *M. enterolobii*. The inner circle displays the
GC content while grey arrows denote the transcription direction. The rRNAs and tRNAs are
respectively colored in red and blue. The various complexes of the CRM are represented in
yellow, light green, pink, and dark green.

585 Acknowledgements

586 We are grateful to the colleagues from the Netherlands Institute for Vectors, Invasive Plants 587 and Plant Health (NIVIP) for providing the *M. enterolobii* population E1834 for this study. We 588 thank the genotoul bioinformatics platform Toulouse Occitanie (Bioinfo Genotoul, 589 https://doi.org/10.15454/1.5572369328961167E12) for providing computing resources. We are grateful to the bioinformatics and genomics platform, BIG, Sophia Antipolis (ISC plantBIOs, 590 https://doi.org/10.15454/qyey-ar89) for computing and storage resources. We thank Claire 591 592 Caravel for help in providing the primer sequences for SCAR identification of *Meloidogyne* 593 species. Our *M. enterolobii* genome research is financially supported by a Franco-German bilateral grant ANR-DFG "AEGONE", reference ANR-19-CE35-0017 and reference No 594 431627824. 595

596

597 Author contributions

598 E.G.J.D. and S.K. conceived the research idea and acquired the funding. E.G.J.D. supervised all 599 the bioinformatics analyzes, performed SCAR marker virtual PCRs, contributed to manuscript 600 writing and reviewing. S.K. supervised all the nematode rearing and DNA extraction 601 experiments, contributed to manuscript writing and reviewing. M.P. performed reads 602 processing, genome assembly, contamination and purity check, completeness assessment, ploidy and genome size and structure estimation and wrote the manuscript. H.G. generated 603 604 single egg mass lines, performed maintenance of the nematode collection, DNA extraction experiments, and contributed to manuscript writing. C.R. performed gene prediction and 605 606 wrote the corresponding method section. M.S., C.L.R., and J.L. performed library and PACBIO HiFi sequencing and contributed to manuscript writing. 607

608

609 **Competing interests**

610 The authors declare no competing interests.

611

612 **References**

613 1. Jones, J. T. *et al.* Top 10 plant-parasitic nematodes in molecular plant pathology.
614 *Molecular Plant Pathology* 14, 946–961 (2013).

615 2. Santos, D., Abrantes, I. & Maleita, C. The quarantine root-knot nematode Meloidogyne
616 enterolobii – a potential threat to Portugal and Europe. *Plant Pathology* 68, 1607–1615 (2019).

Moens, M., Perry, R. N. & Starr, J. L. Meloidogyne species - a diverse group of novel
and important plant parasites. in (eds. Perry, R. N., Starr, J. L. & Moens, M.) 1–17 (CABI

619 International, Wallingford, Oxon (CABI), 2009).

Kiewnick, S., Dessimoz, M. & Franck, L. Effects of the Mi-1 and the N root-knot
nematode-resistance gene on infection and reproduction of Meloidogyne enterolobii on tomato
and pepper cultivars. *J Nematol* 41, 134–139 (2009).

5. Elling, A. A. Major Emerging Problems with Minor Meloidogyne Species. *Phytopathology* 103, 1092–1102 (2013).

6. Yang, B. & Eisenback, J. D. Meloidogyne enterolobii n. sp. (Meloidogynidae), a Rootknot Nematode Parasitizing Pacara Earpod Tree in China. *J Nematol* 15, 381–391 (1983).

627 7. Rammah, A. & Hirschmann, H. Meloidogyne mayaguensis n. sp. (Meloidogynidae), a
628 Root-knot Nematode from Puerto Rico. *J Nematol* 20, 58–69 (1988).

Karssen, G., Liao, J., Kan, Z., van Heese, E. Y. & den Nijs, L. J. On the species status
of the root-knot nematode Meloidogyne mayaguensis Rammah & Hirschmann, 1988. *Zookeys*67–77 (2012) doi:10.3897/zookeys.181.2787.

632 9. Xu, J., Liu, P., Meng, Q. & Long, H. Characterisation of Meloidogyne species from
633 China using Isozyme Phenotypes and Amplified Mitochondrial DNA Restriction Fragment
634 Length Polymorphism. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 110, 309–315 (2004).

635 10. Sikandar, A., Jia, L., Wu, H. & Yang, S. Meloidogyne enterolobii risk to agriculture,
636 its present status and future prospective for management. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13, 1093657 (2023).

637 11. Castagnone-Sereno, P. Meloidogyne enterolobii (= M. mayaguensis): profile of an
638 emerging, highly pathogenic, root-knot nematode species. *Nematology* 14, 133–138 (2012).

639 12. Castillo, P. & Castagnone-Sereno, P. Meloidogyne enterolobii (Pacara earpod tree root640 knot nematode). *CABI Compendium* CABI Compendium, 33238 (2020).

641 13. Schwarz, T., Li, C., Ye, W. & Davis, E. Distribution of Meloidogyne enterolobii in
642 Eastern North Carolina and Comparison of Four Isolates. *Plant Health Progress* 21, 91–96
643 (2020).

Philbrick, A. N., Adhikari, T. B., Louws, F. J. & Gorny, A. M. Meloidogyne enterolobii,
a Major Threat to Tomato Production: Current Status and Future Prospects for Its Management. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 11, (2020).

15. THE **EUROPEAN** COMMISSION. COMMISSION **IMPLEMENTING** 647 648 REGULATION (EU) 2021/2285 of 14 December 2021 amending Implementing Regulation 649 (EU) 2019/2072 as regards the listing of pests, prohibitions and requirements for the introduction into, and movement within, the Union of plants, plant products and other objects, 650 and repealing Decisions 98/109/EC and 2002/757/EC and Implementing Regulations (EU) 651 652 2020/885 and (EU) 2020/1292. Official Journal of the European Union 653 doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2186.

Blok, V. C. & Powers, T. O. Biochemical and molecular identification. *Root-knot nematodes* 98–118 (2009) doi:10.1079/9781845934927.0098.

656 17. Min, Y. Y., Toyota, K. & Sato, E. A novel nematode diagnostic method using the direct

657 quantification of major plant-parasitic nematodes in soil by real-time PCR. Nematology 14, 658 265-276 (2012). 18. Szitenberg, A. et al. Comparative Genomics of Apomictic Root-Knot Nematodes: 659 660 Hybridization, Ploidy, and Dynamic Genome Change. Genome Biol Evol 9, 2844–2861 (2017). 661 19. Blanc-Mathieu, R. et al. Hybridization and polyploidy enable genomic plasticity 662 without sex in the most devastating plant-parasitic nematodes. PLOS Genetics 13, e1006777 663 (2017).20. 664 Koutsovoulos, G. D. et al. Genome assembly and annotation of Meloidogyne enterolobii, an emerging parthenogenetic root-knot nematode. Sci Data 7, 324 (2020). 665 21. Kiewnick, S., Karssen, G., Brito, J. A., Oggenfuss, M. & Frey, J.-E. First Report of 666 667 Root-Knot Nematode Meloidogyne enterolobii on Tomato and Cucumber in Switzerland. Plant Disease 92, 1370–1370 (2008). 668 669 22. Marx, V. Method of the year: long-read sequencing. Nat Methods 20, 6-11 (2023). 670 23. Mota, A. P. Z. et al. Unzipped genome assemblies of polyploid root-knot nematodes 671 reveal unusual and clade-specific telomeric repeats. Nat Commun 15, 773 (2024). Dai, D. et al. Unzipped chromosome-level genomes reveal allopolyploid nematode 672 24. origin pattern as unreduced gamete hybridization. Nat Commun 14, 7156 (2023). 673 Gerič Stare, B., Strajnar, P., Susič, N., Urek, G. & Širca, S. Reported populations of 674 25. 675 Meloidogyne ethiopica in Europe identified as Meloidogyne luci. Plant Disease 101, 1627-676 1632 (2017). 677 26. Laetsch, D. R. & Blaxter, M. L. BlobTools: Interrogation of genome assemblies. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.12232.1 (2017). 678 Kiewnick, S., Frey, J. E. & Braun-Kiewnick, A. Development and Validation of LNA-679 27. Based Quantitative Real-Time PCR Assays for Detection and Identification of the Root-Knot 680 681 Nematode Meloidogyne enterolobii in Complex DNA Backgrounds. Phytopathology® 105, 1245-1249 (2015). 682 Tigano, M. et al. Genetic diversity of the root-knot nematode Meloidogyne enterolobii 683 28. 684 and development of a SCAR marker for this guava-damaging species. Plant Pathology 59, 685 1054-1061 (2010). 686 29. Ye, W., Zeng, Y. & Kerns, J. Molecular Characterisation and Diagnosis of Root-Knot Nematodes (Meloidogyne spp.) from Turfgrasses in North Carolina, USA. PLOS ONE 10, 687 688 e0143556 (2015). 689 30. Gómez-González, G. et al. Meloidogyne enterolobii egg extraction in NaOCl versus 690 infectivity of inoculum on cucumber. J Nematol 53, e2021-57 (2021). 691 31. Jenkins, W. R. A rapid centrifugal-flotation technique for separating nematodes from 692 soil. Plant Dis. Rep. 48:692, 1964.

693 32. Baid, G. et al. DeepConsensus improves the accuracy of sequences with a gap-aware

694 sequence transformer. *Nat Biotechnol* **41**, 232–238 (2023).

- 695 33. Töpfter, A. PacificBiosciences/ACTC: Align subreads to CCS reads. Available at:
 696 https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/actc. PacBio (2022).
- 697 34. Kokot, M., Długosz, M. & Deorowicz, S. KMC 3: counting and manipulating k-mer
 698 statistics. *Bioinformatics* 33, 2759–2761 (2017).
- 699 35. Ranallo-Benavidez, T. R., Jaron, K. S. & Schatz, M. C. GenomeScope 2.0 and
 700 Smudgeplot for reference-free profiling of polyploid genomes. *Nat Commun* 11, 1432 (2020).
- 701
 36.
 Marçais, G. & Kingsford, C. A fast, lock-free approach for efficient parallel counting

of occurrences of k-mers. *Bioinformatics* 27, 764–770 (2011).

37. Sim, S. B., Corpuz, R. L., Simmonds, T. J. & Geib, S. M. HiFiAdapterFilt, a memory
efficient read processing pipeline, prevents occurrence of adapter sequence in PacBio HiFi reads
and their negative impacts on genome assembly. *BMC Genomics* 23, 157 (2022).

706 38. Chin, J. Peregrine-2021: A faster and minimum genome assembler. (2023).

- 707 39. Chin, C.-S. & Khalak, A. Human Genome Assembly in 100 Minutes. 705616 Preprint
 708 at https://doi.org/10.1101/705616 (2019).
- Rhie, A., Walenz, B. P., Koren, S. & Phillippy, A. M. Merqury: reference-free quality,
 completeness, and phasing assessment for genome assemblies. *Genome Biology* 21, 245 (2020).
- 41. Li, H. New strategies to improve minimap2 alignment accuracy. *Bioinformatics* 37,
 4572–4574 (2021).
- 42. McGinnis, S. & Madden, T. L. BLAST: at the core of a powerful and diverse set of
 sequence analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* 32, W20–W25 (2004).
- 715 43. Sayers, E. W. *et al.* Database resources of the National Center for Biotechnology
 716 Information. *Nucleic Acids Res* 50, D20–D26 (2021).
- 717 44. Koutsovoulos, G. GDKO/Aladin: Aladin (mitochondrial circular DNA reconstitution).
 718 Available at: https://github.com/GDKO/aladin. GitHub (2021).
- Humphreys-Pereira, D. A. & Elling, A. A. Meloidogyne enterolobii mitochondrion,
 complete genome. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_026555.1. NCBI.
 National Center for Biotechnology Information (2015).
- 722 46. Tillich, M. *et al.* GeSeq versatile and accurate annotation of organelle genomes.
 723 *Nucleic Acids Research* 45, W6–W11 (2017).
- 47. Chan, P. P. & Lowe, T. M. tRNAscan-SE: Searching for tRNA genes in genomic
 sequences. *Methods Mol Biol* 1962, 1–14 (2019).
- 72648.Laslett, D. & Canback, B. ARAGORN, a program to detect tRNA genes and tmRNA
- genes in nucleotide sequences. *Nucleic Acids Research* **32**, 11–16 (2004).
- 49. Laslett, D. & Canbäck, B. ARWEN: a program to detect tRNA genes in metazoan
 mitochondrial nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 24, 172–175 (2008).
- 730 50. Sallet, E., Gouzy, J. & Schiex, T. EuGene: An Automated Integrative Gene Finder for

731 Eukaryotes and Prokaryotes. in Gene Prediction: Methods and Protocols (ed. Kollmar, M.) 97-

732 120 (Springer, New York, NY, 2019). doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-9173-0_6.

733 51. Howe, K. L., Bolt, B. J., Shafie, M., Kersey, P. & Berriman, M. WormBase ParaSite –

a comprehensive resource for helminth genomics. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* **215**, 2–10 (2017).

735 52. UniProt Consortium, T. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. *Nucleic Acids*736 *Res* 46, 2699 (2018).

53. Bao, W., Kojima, K. K. & Kohany, O. Repbase Update, a database of repetitive
elements in eukaryotic genomes. *Mobile DNA* 6, 11 (2015).

739 54. Wang, Y. *et al.* MCScanX: a toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene
740 synteny and collinearity. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40, e49 (2012).

Sun, L., Zhuo, K., Lin, B., Wang, H., & Liao, J. Meloidogyne graminicola 55. 741 742 mitochondrion. complete genome. Available at: NCBI. 743 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC 056772.1. National Center for 744 Biotechnology Information (2014).

56. Humphreys-Pereira, D. A. & Elling, A. A. Meloidogyne arenaria mitochondrion,
complete genome. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_026554.1.
National Center for Biotechnology Information (2015).

57. Humphreys-Pereira, D. A. & Elling, A. A. Meloidogyne javanica mitochondrion,
complete genome. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_026556.1.
National Center for Biotechnology Information (2015).

58. Humphreys-Pereira, D. A. & Elling, A. A. Mitochondrial genomes of Meloidogyne
chitwoodi and M. incognita (Nematoda: Tylenchina): Comparative analysis, gene order and
phylogenetic relationships with other nematodes. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*194, 20–32 (2014).

59. Besnard, G. *et al.* On the close relatedness of two rice-parasitic root-knot nematode
species and the recent expansion of Meloidogyne graminicola in Southeast Asia. Genes, 10(2),
175. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10020175 (2019).

758 60. Zijlstra, C., Donkers-Venne, D. T. H. M. & Fargette, M. Identification of Meloidogyne
759 incognita, M. javanica and M. arenaria using sequence characterised amplified region (SCAR)
760 based PCR assays. *Nematology* 2, 847–853 (2000).

761 61. European Nucleotide Archive. https://identifiers.org/ena.embl:PRJEB69523. (2024).

762 62. Poullet Marine & Danchin Etienne G. J. Meloidogyne enterolobii assemblies.
763 Recherche Data Gouv https://doi.org/10.57745/5MXZSJ (2023).

764 63. Poullet Marine, Danchin Etienne G. J, & Rancurel Corinne. Meloidogyne enterolobii

765 E1834 gene prediction. Recherche Data Gouv https://doi.org/10.57745/Y0O2LP (2023).

766 64. Poullet Marine & Danchin Etienne G. J. Meloidogyne enterolobii E1834 structural
767 annotation. Recherche Data Gouv https://doi.org/10.57745/VEKHQS (2023).

768 65. Jaron, K. S. et al. Genomic Features of Parthenogenetic Animals. Journal of Heredity

112, 19–33 (2021).

66. Liu, B. *et al.* Estimation of genomic characteristics by analyzing k-mer frequency in de
novo genome projects. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1308.2012 (2020).

772 67. Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing
773 genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 26, 841–842 (2010).

774 68. Manni, M., Berkeley, M. R., Seppey, M., Simão, F. A. & Zdobnov, E. M. BUSCO

775 Update: Novel and Streamlined Workflows along with Broader and Deeper Phylogenetic

776 Coverage for Scoring of Eukaryotic, Prokaryotic, and Viral Genomes. *Molecular Biology and*

- 777 *Evolution* **38**, 4647–4654 (2021).
- Kunt, D. H. Genetic tests of ancient asexuality in Root Knot Nematodes reveal recent
 hybrid origins. *BMC Evol Biol* 8, 194 (2008).
- 780