#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jesus Hernandez, Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT), Mexico

REVIEWED BY Roberto Rosales-Reyes, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico Tara G. McDaneld, Agricultural Research Service (USDA), United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Hanka Brangsch Hanka.Brangsch@fli.de

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Veterinary Infectious Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Veterinary Science

RECEIVED 29 September 2022 ACCEPTED 26 October 2022 PUBLISHED 14 November 2022

#### CITATION

Brangsch H, Singha H, Laroucau K and Elschner M (2022) Sequence-based detection and typing procedures for *Burkholderia mallei*: Assessment and prospects. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 9:1056996. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.1056996

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Brangsch, Singha, Laroucau and Elschner. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Sequence-based detection and typing procedures for *Burkholderia mallei*: Assessment and prospects

Hanka Brangsch<sup>1\*</sup>, Harisankar Singha<sup>2</sup>, Karine Laroucau<sup>3</sup> and Mandy Elschner<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Bacterial Infections and Zoonoses, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut – Federal Research Institute for Animal Health, Jena, Germany, <sup>2</sup>National Research Centre on Equines (ICAR), Hisar, India, <sup>3</sup>Bacterial Zoonosis Unit, Animal Health Laboratory, French Food Agency (Anses), Maisons-Alfort, France

Although glanders has been eradicated in most of the developed world, the disease still persists in various countries such as Brazil, India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Nepal, Iran, Bahrain, UAE and Turkey. It is one of the notifiable diseases listed by the World Organization for Animal Health. Occurrence of glanders imposes restriction on equestrian events and restricts equine movement, thus causing economic losses to equine industry. The genetic diversity and global distribution of the causing agent, Burkholderia (B.) mallei, have not been assessed in detail and are complicated by the high clonality of this organism. Among the identification and typing methods, PCR-based methods for distinguishing B. mallei from its close relative B. pseudomallei as well as genotyping using tandem repeat regions (MLVA) are established. The advent and continuous advancement of the sequencing techniques and the reconstruction of closed genomes enable the development of genome guided epidemiological tools. For achieving a higher genomic resolution, genotyping methods based on whole genome sequencing data can be employed, like genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphisms. One of the limitations in obtaining complete genomic sequences for further molecular characterization of *B. mallei* is its high GC content. In this review, we aim to provide an overview of the widely used detection and typing methods for *B. mallei* and illustrate gaps that still require development. The genomic features of Burkholderia, their high homology and clonality will be first described from a comparative genomics perspective. Then, the commonly used molecular detection (PCR systems) and typing systems (e.g., multilocus sequence typing, variable number of tandem repeat analysis) will be presented and put in perspective with recently developed genomic methods. Also, the increasing availability of B. mallei genomic sequences and evolution of the sequencing methods offers exciting prospects for further refinement of B. mallei typing, that could overcome the difficulties presently encountered with this particular bacterium.

#### KEYWORDS

Burkholderia mallei, detection, PCR, MLVA, SNP, cgMLST, genome sequencing, genotyping

## *B. mallei* genomic characteristics and relation to *B. pseudomallei*

The causative bacterial agent of glanders, *Burkholderia mallei*, is an obligate pathogen that can be transmitted between animals, but in rare cases also infects human, making glanders a zoonotic disease. However, the main hosts are equids, e.g., horses, donkeys and mules, wherefore glanders has an explicitly strong economic impact in rural areas of regions where *B. mallei* is endemic, e.g., Brazil, Tukey, Pakistan and India (1). Developed countries, where glanders has been eradicated by adopting strict control policies and regulations, are at risk of re-introduction of the disease due to import of infected animals (2, 3). Therefore, glanders incidences also affect international trade, equestrian events and economic losses to horse industry.

For the reliable diagnosis and molecular epidemiological investigation of glanders cases, knowledge about genomic features of this bacterium as well as the availability of reliable identification and typing methods is of utmost importance. One of the first challenges in the study of this bacterium was the differentiation of B. mallei from its close relatives B. pseudomallei and B. thailandensis. Based on genotyping data, it was hypothesized that B. mallei evolved from a single B. pseudomallei ancestor (4), which would explain the difficulty in differentiating these species because of their high genomic congruence. This assumption was further substantiated by comparative genomic analyses (5, 6). The split from B. pseudomallei was probably initiated by the introduction of the ancestral strain to an equine host, followed by adaption processes. This evolutionary process was characterized by a marked reduction of the genome size due to the loss of genes which were dispensable for survival in the host (4-6). Thereby, the ability of B. mallei to survive in versatile living environments decreased and restricted the bacterium to a limited host range as genomic islands coding corresponding functions in B. pseudomallei, e.g., the production of antibiotic compounds and metabolic pathways (5), were lost, deeming B. mallei an obligate pathogen with limited survival outside the host.

The genome of *B. mallei* has an average size of 5.7 Mb, which is about 1.5 Mb less than that of *B. pseudomallei* (Figure 1), and comprises two circular chromosomes. The main genetic divergence between both species results from this difference in genome size. Accordingly, the core genome of *B. mallei* is smaller and contains few species-specific genes, as 99% of the genome is identical to *B. pseudomallei*. However, the proportion of variable genes between *B. mallei* strains is higher than among *B. pseudomallei* strains, ranging between 33–41% and 11–22% of the genome content, respectively (5). Restriction of *B. mallei* to the host environment and the associated selection pressure also limits its genomic flux, which explains the small pangenome (5).

The high degree of congruence between B. mallei and B. pseudomallei genomes had been demonstrated in DNA-DNA re-association experiments, even before both organisms had been assigned to the same genus (8). As DNA-DNA relatedness of the whole genome traditionally serves as basis for genus and species delineation (9), it was proposed that both species belong to the same genus, firstly as Pseudomonas species (8), and to the genus Burkholderia in 1992 (10). Even using more advanced DNA-DNA hybridization techniques, like microarrays, the differentiation between B. pseudomallei and B. mallei is difficult due to comparably high cross-hybridization signals between B. pseudomallei DNA probes and B. mallei DNA and vice versa (11). However, the differentiation of B. mallei and B. pseudomallei is reasonable for practical aspects as they differ in phenotypic traits, epidemiology and their zoonotic significance, i.e., zoonotic infections are exceedingly rare for B. pseudomallei (10, 12).

The high clonality reflects in a high average nucleotide identity (ANI), which can be determined *in silico* based on whole genome sequences. There are several approaches for delineating species boundaries based on this value and most of the times 96–97% of ANI are required for the definition of a species. For *B. mallei* the threshold lies well above 99%, being one of the highest ANI values (13–15). These high ANI values between *B. mallei* strains, but also to *B. pseudomallei*, poses a challenge to typing systems.

Genome sequencing revealed numerous insertion sequences (IS) of various IS families including transposase-coding genes in the genome of *B. mallei* (Figure 1), which are hypothesized to be a major driver of genome alteration compared to *B. pseudomallei* by promoting deletions, insertions and inversion mutations. The loss of several metabolic pathways that would enable the survival of the pathogen in the environment can be attributed to frameshift mutations caused by IS elements, as the genes are intact but inactive in *B. mallei*. IS-mediated recombination enabled continuous genome rearrangement and gene (cluster) deletion; however, this process is still continuing (5, 6). An important feature of the *B. mallei* genome is the exceptionally high density of simple sequence repeats, that might be important during the infection cycle (6), but which also complicates *in silico* analysis of the genome sequence, as will be described below.

## B. mallei molecular detection

For the diagnosis of *B. mallei*, reliable and quick differentiation of this organism from *B. pseudomallei* is an important step in case of suspected glanders infections. Due to the usually low number of bacteria in infected material (16), PCR appears to be the method of choice for an initial analysis of samples. Thus, for reliable differentiation of the two species, stable and phylogenetically informative markers are required which can be divided in different groups, depending of the



type of approach used, e.g., SNP- or specific target gene-based (Figure 2). Differences in non-coding spacer regions have been identified (17), however, those regions can be assumed to be more prone to mutations, making them unsuitable for diagnostic purposes. Stable base substitutions that differentiate *B. mallei* from other *Burkholderia* sp. have been identified in the 23S ribosomal DNA and the phosphoserine phosphatase gene *serB*, based on which PCR assays have been developed, like the *serB*-based "BurkDiff" (18, 19) (Table 1). Further, the uneven distribution of genes encoding type III secretion systems (TTS) for the delivery of toxins to host cells can be employed in PCR assays. The absence of one out of three TTS-encoding genes, namely *orf11*, in *B. mallei* enabled the

differentiation from *B. pseudomallei* (23) (Table 1). However, such assays have to be carefully validated. Even protein-coding regions, like the gene for flagellin C (*fliC*), were found to be unreliable due to point mutations in *B. pseudomallei* sequences which could lead to an incorrect classification of *B. pseudomallei* as *B. mallei* in the restriction digestion of the PCR product (20). Further, a multiplex PCR employing primers flanking a 10-bp repetitive element of varying size (400–700 bp) was evaluated for differentiation of *B. mallei*, based on the presence of varying number of repeats found in different strains (24), which can also be used as a rough assessment of strain identity.



The World Organization for Animal Health (WOAH) recommends the usage of two PCR-assays, a conventional PCR assay by Scholz et al. (21) and the real-time PCR assay by Tomaso et al. (22), which both take advantage of the numerous IS elements present in the B. mallei genome by targeting the flagellin P gene, fliP. This gene is disrupted in B. mallei by the IS407A element (6, 22), causing the immobility of B. mallei. This trait was exploited to design a PCR system overlapping *fliP* and IS407A for the species-specific identification of B. mallei (Figure 3) (21, 22). However, recently, this PCR has failed to diagnose glanders cases (27), possibly due to mutations in the primer/probe binding sites, loss of the IS407A insertion in the strain, or new recombination in this region of the genome. As the B. mallei genome continues to evolve through random ISmediated recombination events (5, 28), vigilance is provided in the WOAH Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals (16), that such genetic evolutions within B. mallei could result in future variants that could escape detection by this PCR. Therefore, the development of robust, specific single-locus assays for diagnostics and the identification

of different markers is recommended (27). For tracing glanders infections, even more refined methods have to be used in order to identify genotypes and differentiate strains of this highly clonal organism.

## B. mallei diversity

The molecular diversity of *B. mallei* strains has not been studied as extensively as that of other species of the genus *Burkholderia* (29, 30). Different molecular typing methods, originally developed for typing *B. pseudomallei*, have been applied to *B. mallei* strains because of their close genetic proximity. However, the differentiation of *B. mallei* strains, and thus the identification and linkage of infection sources, remains a challenge due to the very high homogeneity of the strains (14) composing this species. With the advancement of technologies, and in particular the complete sequencing of genomes, new perspectives are opening up.

Target	Primers	Primers and probes	Type*	Remark	Source
fliC	fliC-1	5'-GAT CGG CGG CAT GGT TCA GA-3'	С	B. mallei and B. pseudomallei will	(20)
	fliC-2	5'-CCG AGC GTT GCC TGC AGA TTG TT-3'		both give a PCR product;	
				subsequent restriction analysis for	
				further differentiation is possible	
23S rDNA	CVMP 23-1	5'-AAA CCG ACA CAG GTG G-3'	С	Detection of a single distinctive	(18)
	M 23-2	5'- CAC CGA AAC TAG CA-3'		SNP in B. mallei 23S rDNA	
fliP	Bma-flip-f	5'-CCC ATT GGC CCT ATC GAA G-3'	C, RT	Specific for B. mallei, targeting	(21, 22)
	Bma-flip-r	5'-GCC CGA CGA GCA CCT GAT T-3'		insertion sequence in <i>fliP</i> gene	
	Bma-probe	5'-FAM-CAG GTC AAC GAG CTT CAC GCG GAT C-3'-BHO1			
serB	for	5'-CGA GCG CAT CGT ACT CGT A-3'	RT	Detection of a single SNP in ser $B$	(19)
3011	rev	5'-CAA GTC GTG GAT GCG CAT TA-3'	RI	distinctive for <i>B</i> mallei	(1))
	probe	5'-FAM-CTG AAA CGC GCA GCG-3'-MGB		distilletive for <i>D. maner</i>	
orf11	PM122	5'-ATC GCC A A A TGC CGG GTT TC-3'	ВД	Differential identification: B. mallei	(23)
orf13	orf11R	5'-CAA ATG GCC ATC GTG ATG TTC-3'	KI	is only positive for <i>orf13</i> but not	(23)
01915	orfllpro	5'-FAM-TCG GCG A AC GCG ATT TGA TCG		orf11 while B pseudomallei is	
	01111010	TTC-3'-TAMRA		positive for both	
	orf13f	5'-CAC CGG CAG TGA TGA GCC AC-3'		1	
	orf13R	5'-ATG CTC CGG CCT GAC AAA CG-3'			
	orf13pro	5'-FAM-ACG CCC GTC GAA GCC			
	-	CGA ATC-3'-TAMRA			
Intergeneric	SR1	5'-ACC GCG TAT GAA GGG ATG TC-3'	С	Differential identification: B. mallei	(24)
mprA	SR5	5'-ACG CGC ACG CAC CTG CTG AAC-3'		does not give an amplificated for	
	14F5	5'-ACC TGC TGC CGG GCT ACG ACT TCA-3'		14F5/14R5; B. mallei strains can be	
	14R5	5'-CAC CTT GCC GAC CCA CGA GAT GC-3'		differentiated based on amplicon	
				size of SR1/SR5 products	
BMA10229_0375	for	5'-CGT TCG AGC TCA GCA ACC TCG TTA-3'	QRT	Probe and primers used in	(25)
	rev	5'-AAG CGG TGA TGG ACC GCT GTA T-3'		combination with <i>orf11</i> and <i>fliC</i>	
	probe	5'-Cy5 -CAG TAT CCA GGT TTC ACC GCG		primers/probes; B. mallei is	
		CTC GAC-3'-IAbRQ		positive in <i>fliC</i> and	
				BMA10229_0375	
BMA10229_0375	F3	5'-TGC ACC GGT ATC AGT CGG-3'	LAMP	Specific for <i>B. mallei</i> as the target is	(26)
and downstream	B3	5'-GGA AGT CGG GAT TGT TCT CG-3'		absent in <i>B. pseudomallei</i>	
region	FIP	5'-TTC ACT GCA AGC GTC AGG CGG CGT TTT			
		ATC ACA AGC GGA C-3'			
	BIP	5'-ATC TGC CCG TCA TCG AAA TGC ACG ATG			
		GAA TGG GTC TCA CG-3'			
	LF	5'-GTT GCC GCG GCC GGG ATC-3'			
	LB	5'-CTG GTG ATC ATG AAA ACG-3'			

#### TABLE 1 PCR-based methods for differentiation of Burkholderia species.

\*C, conventional; RT, real-time; QRT, quadruplex real-time PCR; LAMP, real-time loop-mediated isothermal amplification.

### Pre-whole genome sequencing era

Various typing methods, including ribotyping, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), RAPD (random amplified polymorphic DNA), variable number of tandem repeat analysis (MLVA) and multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) were applied so far to *B. mallei* strains with varying degrees of discrimination. The latter, which comprises the sequence analysis of seven highly conserved genes (MLST-7) (4, 5), revealed only one sequence type (ST40) for nearly all *B. mallei* strains, e.g., out of 120 investigated *B. mallei* strains, 118 strains were classified as ST40 while merely two strains, NCTC 10247 and NCTC 10260 isolated in Turkey, were identified as ST100 (31). Thus, this method is unable to depict the global diversity of *B. mallei* 



strains, merely allowing an identification of the organism at the species level. However, other typing methods have revealed greater diversity between *B. mallei* strains. Indeed, RAPD analysis, which is based on random amplification of genomic fragments by PCR and comparison of amplified bands on agarose gels (32, 33), proved to have a higher discriminatory power than MLST-7 as it enabled the identification of *B. mallei* clusters. However, clustering, and thus also the conclusions drawn on the basis of this method, heavily depends on the primers used for the initial amplification (33). Genotyping by different region (DFR) PCR targeting species-specific DNA sequences segregated 18 *B. mallei* strains into 11 types, which could be further clustered into two groups (34).

Methods based on enzymatic digestion of DNA fragments (ribotyping or PFGE), that were amplified in a targeted or non-targeted manner, have allowed the identification of distinct ribotypes or profiles within *B. mallei* strains. For example, ribotyping of 25 *B. mallei* isolates by gDNA digestion using the *Eco*RI and *PstI* restriction enzymes followed by labeling with a probed oligonucleotide derived from the ribosomal RNA operon of *E. coli* revealed 17 distinct ribotypes (35), while typing of 21 *B. mallei* isolates by PFGE, after enzymatic digestion of the whole genomic DNA with *SpeI*, revealed 13 distinct types according to the resulting banding patterns (36). Again, the outcome of these typing methods heavily depends on the restriction enzymes used and the protocol was not widely evaluated for *B. mallei*. 16S rDNA sequence and ITS-based genotyping were able to discriminate *B. mallei* isolates circulating in India (37).

Although these methods are cost-effective and less labor intensive, their precision is limited in comparison to MLVA, a typing method initially developed from the first available *B. pseudomallei* genomes and based on the analysis of PCR amplified tandem repeats of 32 loci (MLVA-32). The initial MLVA typing study conducted on *B. mallei* included 21 strains from different geographical regions and identified 19 genotypes (38). However, a set of these initial loci were found to be dispensable for *B. mallei* typing due to their conservation within the species. Therefore, the MLVA scheme was reduced for *B. mallei* analysis to 23 loci (MLVA-23) corresponding to the variable markers for *B. mallei* (39) (Figure 4). This method has been applied for molecular investigation of glanders outbreaks in different countries (39–42). Recently, a restricted panel consisting of only six MLVA markers (loci 993, 3,145, 3,652, 20, 2,862, and 1,217) has been proposed (43). MLVA profiles can subsequently be used for comparative analysis using different algorithms, e.g., neighbor joining or minimum spanning analysis, that provide a graphical representation of the results and facilitate interpretation (Figure 4).

Among the different typing methods MLVA has been used most often to study the diversity of *B. mallei* strains and/or establish epidemiological links between glanders outbreaks. However, this method is time-consuming, costly and difficult to standardize.

#### B. mallei whole genome sequencing

The advent of whole genome sequencing (WGS) techniques presented a new opportunity for gaining insight in genomes at an unprecedented resolution. The Illumina sequencing technology can be assumed to be currently the most frequently employed high-throughput genome sequencing method (46) as it allows sequencing at comparably low cost. The reads have lengths between 151 and 301 bp at a high accuracy (47), which is a major prerequisite for the correctness of the result generated by the sensitive genotyping methods in the subsequent analysis.

However, the short reads generated with this technology pose a challenge to the subsequent genome assembly process. The high number of repetitive sequences and the high GC content of *B. mallei* complicate the reconstruction of genomes: short reads cannot span long tandemly arrayed repeats that are longer than the read length, while genomic regions with a high GC content often exhibit low read coverage, primarily caused by PCR bias. These ambiguous genomic regions lead to gaps in the assemblies and thus to fragmented genomes comprising tens or hundreds of contigs (Table 2) (48–51).

The lack of contiguity in genome sequences based on Illumina reads can be overcome by sequencing technologies of the so-called third generation, that generate longer reads, like PacBio or nanopore sequencing technologies (47). Longer

		20k	389k	397k	857k	933k	1500k	1690k	1764k	1788k	1934k	2050k	2065k	2170k	2341k	2356k	2445k	2666k	2815k	3091k	3145k	3152k	3564k	3652k
	India3324	9	8	11	9	13	NULL	9	8	5	NULL	20	12	38	3	7	3	2	5	8	5	14	23	14
	India3076	9	8	11	9	13	NULL	9	8	5	NULL	20	12	38	3	7	3	2	5	8	5	14	23	14
	India3081	9	8	11	9	13	NULL	9	8	5	NULL	20	12	38	3	7	3	2	5	8	5	14	23	14
	India3880	8	7	11	9	5	NULL	9	8	5	NULL	19	11	22	3	6	3	2	5	8	5	23	23	16
	India3478	9	8	11	9	13	NULL	9	8	5	NULL	20	7	30	3	6	3	2	5	8	5	14	23	13
	India3711	9	8	11	9	9	NULL	9	8	5	NULL	21	5	24	3	6	3	2	5	8	5	14	23	10
H	India3701	9	8	11	9	10	NULL	9	8	5	NULL	21	5	23	3	6	3	2	5	8	5	14	23	10
	India3712	9	8	11	9	10	NULL	9	8	5	NULL	21	5	23	3	6	3	2	5	8	5	14	23	10
	India3897	9	9	10	9	15	NULL	9	8	5	NULL	16	8	27	3	7	3	2	5	8	5	16	23	14
India3595		9	9	11	9	13	NULL	9	8	5	NULL	17	12	38	3	7	3	2	4	8	5	21	23	9
	0.7																							
FIGURE 4																								
Example	e of MIVA-23 analysis of ten B	m	allei	strai	nc f	rom	India	a (42	) The		le nu	mhe	r of e	ach I	loci i	s aive	n in	the t	ahle	The	Neial	ahor	loini	na
troo wa	s created using GrapeTree (11)	100	allato	scrui	lore	nd in			arec	n ho	long	to H	MP_D			4 CNI	D aro		B2cF	22 In	dia_(	Groui	5 1 s	and
L 2B2cB	2 India-Group 2 respectively	( <u>/</u> 5		5 00		um	Diue	ano	gree	in be	long	1011	1*11\-1	CIV-1	Jaset		gro	սթե	DZSL	02_11	uia-v	arou	J_1 0	ina
LLDLSD	z_mala-aloup_z, respectively	(+)	<i>.</i> ,.																					

TABLE 2 Overview of the recent status of B. mallei sequencing data deposited in the NCBI RefSeq public repository since 2004.

Approach	Technology	Number of assemblies	Range of contig number	Mean contig number
Individual	Illumina	27	2-627	252
	PacBio	15	2	2
	Roche 454	1	706	706
Hybrid	Roche 454 and Illumina	10	2-301	37
	PacBio and Illumina	9	2-3	2
	PacBio and Illumina and Roche 454	6	1–2	2
	Nanopore and Illumina	4	1–19	12
Missing data	Missing data	27	2–283	129

Entries for which no sequencing method was recorded, are specified as "missing data".

sequencing reads enable the construction of more contiguous and complete genomes, which is especially important for the detection of biosynthetic gene clusters and antibiotic resistance genes (49, 52). Nanopore sequencing proved to be a valuable tool for the quick detection of pathogens from clinical and environmental samples within 1 h of sequencing. It is highly productive and generates enough sequencing reads in 2-3 h for genome assembly (52, 53). This is a large improvement compared to Illumina sequencing runs, that can take up to 56 h before the sequence reads become available for downstream processing. However, one drawback of long-read technologies is the inherently higher error rate of the reads (49, 54). Especially genomic regions with consecutive repetitions of the same base or an array of bases pose a challenge for the sequencing process. In the case of Oxford Nanopore technology, where the actual sequencing signal is a change in electric current caused by the translocation of DNA through a pore, the exact length of base repeats can hardly be determined due to minor variation in the electrical signal. This frequently results in deletions in the final sequence and accounts for almost half of the sequencing errors (49, 54). Similar to PacBio technology, Nanopore sequencing helps generating less-fragmented genomes. However, as in case of Illumina sequencing, nanopore-based technologies are sensitive to high genomic GC content, which shows in a 2% higher error rate of such sequences as compared to low-GC reads (54).

In case of *B. mallei*, WGS not only helped elucidating the genome structure of the pathogen on a fine scale, but was also employed for unambiguously revealing the evolutionary relationship between *B. mallei* and *B. pseudomallei* at the genome level. The first *B. mallei* whole genome sequence was published in 2004 (6) and represented the type strain ATCC 23344. Based on this sequence, the description of genomic features like insertion sequences and gene clusters, core genome predictions, and the identification of virulence factors was carried out *in silico* (5, 6).

In an epidemiological context, the first study employing WGS for outbreak investigation was published in 2014 (40). Therein, isolates from the United Arab Emirates and Bahrain were compared attesting the close relationship of both populations.

In the NCBI RefSeq genome database, to date (September 2022), 99 *B. mallei* whole genome sequences are archived. Thirty-four of which are complete or on chromosome level, while 65 sequences comprise up to 706 scaffolds. These 99 sequences represent at most 74 unique strains. Due to missing comprehensive metadata, the exact number of strains remains elusive. Still, the impact of the sequencing technology on the

completeness of the chromosomes is obvious (Table 2), although for 27 sequences the technology is not indicated ("missing data"). For individual sequencing technologies, Illumina-based assemblies remain highly fragmented: with the exception of one assembly, these genomes comprise 172–627 contigs (fragments). The Roche 454 technology, like Illumina, belongs to the second generation of sequencing platforms and generates short reads with an average length of 450 bp (55). Combining Illumina data with PacBio sequences markedly improves the contiguity of assemblies. However, a combination of likewise short reads derived from Illumina and Roche 454 technologies has limited success in closing genome gaps. At the time writing, merely four assemblies combining Nanopore and Illumina technologies are available.

### Whole genome sequencing-based typing

Despite the shortcomings of next generation sequencing technologies, they are valuable tools for outbreak investigations as they enable in-depth genotyping (Figure 2). In general, the methods employed can be roughly divided in gene-by-gene and SNP-based approaches (56). To date, whole genome sequencing has been mostly employed in B. mallei outbreak studies in addition to conventional methods such as MLVA (39, 40). Using a WGS approach, information about the number of repeats for each of the MLVA markers can be theoretically inferred from the genomic sequences. While this is commonly done for some pathogens, such as Brucella sp. (57), this approach has limitations for other bacteria such as Bacillus anthracis (58) and also B. mallei (59). Indeed, MLVA profiles obtained in silico from genomes of Pakistani B. mallei strains differed markedly from previously published profiles, disqualifying this in silico approach from sequencing data obtained by Illumina technology. As noted above, the assembly of the repeat regions, which are the targets of the MLVA, is highly prone to sequencing and/or assembly errors due to the short reads.

In order to overcome the inherent problems of the sequencing techniques and to achieve highly contiguous and accurate assemblies for reliable *in silico* gene-by-gene typing approaches, a combination of short- and long-read sequencing techniques should be considered. Thereby, errors in the long nanopore-generated sequences can be corrected with highly accurate Illumina reads, while the long reads can span genomic regions which the short reads alone cannot disambiguate (49, 60). In that way, an *in silico* MLVA approach may become feasible, as was shown for *Bacillus anthracis* (58).

Recently, a novel method 'high-resolution melting' (HRM)-PCR which detects differences in the melting temperature of PCR amplified products due to single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in a target allele has gained attention for molecular epidemiological investigation of bacterial pathogens (61). It is developed based on whole genome sequences and combines the use of third generation DNA binding dyes, advanced real-time PCR platforms, and bioinformatics tools. The HRM-PCR is a single-step and closed-tube method thus offering simplicity, rapidity, versatility at low-cost.

Application of HRM-PCR for *B. mallei* typing was first introduced by Girault et al. (62) who described a set of 15 SNPs specific for each of the three phylogenetic clusters identified by Laroucau et al. (41). The HRM-PCR using this set of SNPs was validated with recently isolated *B. mallei* strains from India, Pakistan and Brazil (45, 62, 63) allowing further differentiation within the clusters. Recent *B. mallei* isolates from Dubai, UAE, Bahrain clustered in L2B1, strains from India and Pakistan clustered in L2B2sB2 whereas strains from Brazil clustered in L3B2. Thus, a connection between the detected HRM-PCR genotype and the geographic origin of the strains could be shown.

For a more in-depth phylogenetic analysis and in order to identify new informative SNPs for typing within the L2B2sB2 branch, a phylogenetic tree was reconstructed using WGS data of presently circulating strains in India and Pakistan. Four new SNP markers were selected, allowing to distinguish the Indian strains, and to differentiate two subgroups within this local group (45). This new set of markers was also applied for glanders positive clinical samples from Nepal (64). The results indicate that all samples clustered in the India\_group 2 (large), which includes most of the Indian strains typed so far with this new set of markers, all originating from the northern Indian states of Uttar Pradesh and Haryana.

The markers determined to date have been based on the study of a small number of sequenced genomes, including few contemporary strains. This panel is enriched as new sequences become available and each time the relevance of the initial markers selected must be re-examined. A difference in the discriminatory power of HRM-PCR compared to MLVA can be seen in Figure 4, as the two strains India3880 and India3897, which were both assigned to L2B2sB2\_India-Group\_1 by HRM-PCR (45), differed in 10 MLVA loci (42), attesting the importance of MLVA for typing of outbreak strains from a restricted geographic area. HRM-PCR is a rapid typing method, targeting a limited number of markers that must be followed and eventually changed when new genomic sequences would no longer fit in the initial clusters determined. Therefore, the technology demands availability of genome sequencing data from different spatiotemporal regions.

A different WGS-based typing method that profits from contiguous and correct genome assembly is core genome multi locus sequence typing (cgMLST). A survey investigating the implementation of WGS and thereon-based techniques in European national public health reference laboratories found that cgMLST was the most frequently used typing approach (46). For this method, the classic MLST scheme is upscaled to thousands of loci for which the genome assembly is screened. Numbers are assigned to the associated allele sequences and allelic profiles are generated for each strain, which can be compared (56). Due to the standardized nomenclature, results are comparable between different laboratories. The allelic differences can be used to create a distance matrix which is further analyzed e.g., by neighbor joining algorithm (56, 65). Core genome MLST has been employed in tracing the infection sources of numerous pathogens, like Listeria monocytogenes and Mycobacterium tuberculosis (66, 67). Although cgMLST typing usually provides a slightly lower discriminatory power than SNPs (68), it can work well in concordance with SNP typing, depending on the relatedness of investigated strains, and help defining potential phylogenetic clusters (68, 69). Regarding Burkholderia sp., the first cgMLST schemes have been developed for B. pseudomallei and B. stabilis (70, 71). Recently, two cgMLST schemes were published for B. mallei (31, 59). Both used the type strain genome B. mallei ATCC 23344 as seed genome, but different sets of query genomes, resulting in the identification of different numbers of target genes: 3,328 and 2,838 core genome genes (66.2 and 56.5 % of the seed genome genes), respectively. Nevertheless, the discriminatory power of both schemes was demonstrated for the global B. mallei diversity as well as for local glanders outbreaks in Bahrain/ Dubai and Pakistan, respectively. By employing cgMLST analysis, geographical clusters of B. mallei could be identified. For example, three different lineages were detected in India, as well as different clusters in Bahrain and Dubai. On the other hand, the B. mallei population in Pakistan proved to be highly homogenic, which reflects the movement of equids between provinces (31, 59). Appelt et al. (31) additionally defined a three-allele threshold as the number of maximum allele differences between strains of the same outbreak.

A drawback of cgMLST analysis is the necessity of assembled genomes as basis for the analysis. Although Appelt et al. (31) state that assembly strategy would not change the cgMLST analysis result, it can be assumed that sequencing quality has a major impact on the assembly quality and thus also on a number of cgMLST targets. This was merely tested for two strains and has to be further assessed with a large number of sequencing data.

In order to prevent bias introduced by processing of the sequencing data, typing strategies that do not require genome assembly can be employed. Sequence-based SNP typing can be considered the gold standard for genotyping as it discriminates highly similar strains enabling detailed phylogenetic analysis (56). For *B. pseudomallei* it was shown that SNP typing provided a better phylogenetic resolution than MLST by revealing that identical MLST types from Cambodia and Australia were caused by homoplasy (72).

SNPs can be determined by either mapping reads to or by comparing assemblies with a reference genome and screening for nucleotide differences. When comparing multiple strains, a core genome SNP matrix is generated that includes all SNP positions that are present in all investigated genomes (56). Due to the high error rate in nanopore reads, the number of artificial SNPs detected in these sequences was high (49). Thus, the highly accurate Illumina-reads are more suitable for this analysis.

Few studies employed WGS-based SNP typing to B. mallei outbreak analysis. The agreement of SNP analysis with conventional VNTR analysis and HRM-PCR was proven for regional glanders outbreak events (40, 63), attesting the accordance of both methods on a small scale. Laroucau et al. (41) provided one of the first SNP-based analysis of the global B. mallei population. They identified three main lineages (L1-3) that exhibited a certain connection between genotype and geographic distribution: strains from Turkey were found to be highly similar, while strains from India were present in two lineages and altogether three branches. Likewise, two strains from Iran were assigned to different lineages. Due to the lack of available data, only 45 strains were included in this analysis, wherefore it can be supposed that a high proportion of the B. mallei genetic diversity remains to be detected. However, when comparing the clustering patterns generated by PCR-based MLVA and WGS-based SNP analysis, discrepancies become apparent as both lineages that were identified by SNP analysis intermingle in the MLVA results.

The congruence of SNP and cgMLST analyses was demonstrated for a set of global *B. mallei* strains, which both methods clustered identically (59) (Figure 5). However, by employing SNP analysis, a more detailed strain differentiation is possible, as one cgMLST allele difference can reflect several base changes in the target.

SNP typing results depend immensely on various factors, like the choice of SNP calling strategy, reference strains and the strains included in the analysis, as they affect the number and location of detected SNPs. While one of the first studies merely included seven *B. mallei* strains and detected 515 SNPs, the inclusion of a larger number of strains and *B. pseudomallei* resulted in the detection of more than 9000 SNPs (5, 41).

# Perspectives for detection and typing

Taken together, several typing methods for *B. mallei* are at hand (Figure 2, Table 3), either based on PCR or WGS, providing different levels resolution. Whole genome sequencing has been implemented as a standard tool for outbreak investigations for many pathogens, nowadays. In contrast to PCR-based typing methods, no prior knowledge about the sample is required, as library preparation is mostly based on enzyme-based protocols, e.g., transposition, random PCR and ligation (47, 73). Using the DNA sequence reads generated by sequencing machines, bacterial genomes can be reconstructed and analyzed, e.g., for evolutionary and epidemiological studies. Thus, WGS enables in-depths comparative genomics where genome-wide variation can be detected at a high sensitivity and accuracy making it a valuable tool for molecular epidemiology.



This high-resolution typing is required for unambiguous attribution of infection sources, infection chains and tracing of pathogens as sequences types of different strains can be assessed, provided that sufficient isolates and information is available. Thus, *in silico* analysis might completely substitute classical PCR-based approaches in the future (56), as MLVA and MLST-7 could be conducted based on the genome sequence (57, 74). Whether this is applicable to *B. mallei* has to be carefully assessed yet, as the construction of highly accurate genomes is a prerequisite for the application of these methods. It remains to be evaluated to what extent the high fragmentation of genome assemblies generated from Illumina reads can be solved by including data generated using nanopore sequencing, as a high contiguity of genomes is a prerequisite for these *in silico* analyses.

Although, compared to PCR-based methods, WGS analyses are more expensive and more complex in terms of reagents and equipment as well as bioinformatics analysis effort, the obtained sequences provide a higher information value, also for future questions, than PCR-based approaches. In addition, WGS methods will certainly become faster and less expensive in the future as these technologies will routinely be applied and bioinformatic analysis pipelines become more userfriendly.

MLVA will certainly remain an important tool for the differentiation of strains originating from a single outbreak event or a restricted geographic area. However, for investigating the global phylogeny of *B. mallei* these markers are not suited, as the comparably quickly mutating tandem repeats are prone to homoplasy (75).

Typing method	Technique	Targets	Remark	References
MLST	PCR	Housekeeping genes	Low discriminatory power: B. mallei assigned	(4)
			to ST40 or ST100	
MLVA	PCR	Tandem repeats	Useful for differentiation among strains of	(38, 39)
			one outbreak, but targets are prone to	
			homoplasy	
HRM-PCR	PCR	Canonical SNPs	Three large genotype groups and several	(45, 62, 63)
			subgroups can be differentiated	
cgMLST	WGS	Nucleotide differences in core genome genes	In-depth differentiation based on assemblies	(31, 59)
cgSNP	WGS	Single nucleotide differences in core genome	In-depth differentiation based on sequencing	(41, 59)
		including intergeneric regions	reads or assemblies	

TABLE 3 Most important B. mallei typing techniques and relevant references of the methods or examples for application.

Thus, WGS-based methods should be preferred for phylogenetic analyses.

Different bioinformatic genotyping approaches have been developed for estimating the similarity between genomes. These can detect genome clusters and phylogenetic relationships based on which distance matrices and phylogenetic trees can be constructed allowing a quick and intuitive interpretation, even for non-bioinformaticians. A higher number of biomarkers can be detected at once and also new markers could be identified for refining the conventional typing methods (45, 62). Thus, WGS is an integral part for genotyping studies, especially for biothreat agents, and has been widely implemented in routine diagnostic analysis (46).

The on-going progress in sequencing technology development will surely provide the means for employing genome sequencing on a larger scale, even in low-budget settings. New algorithms for translating the electric current changes to base sequences ("basecalling") that improve the sequence accuracy of nanopore reads are developed (54) and also the chemistry will change and improve in the future. However, this also demands for a constant adaption of analysis methods, protocols and bioinformatic software. This progress in sequencing technology will certainly impact comparative studies on B. mallei and there is a need for re-sequencing of historic and reference strains for obtaining high quality data. Improving the quality of sequencing data will enable the assembly of more contiguous genomes and prevent the loss of SNP positions, which can be overlooked if reads have a low quality due to the quality filters in SNP analysis.

In other pathogens, genome data is employed for the development of more specific DNA-based diagnostics, e.g., the development of a robust, highly specific PCR assay for differentiation of *Shigella* sp. from the closely related *E. coli* (76). Regarding *B. mallei*, analysis of genome data already

has contributed to the improvement of conventional typing methods by revealing new phylogenetically informative SNPs that were incorporated in HRM-PCR analysis (45). Especially for the correct detection and identification of *B. mallei*, which is genetically homogenous and has high congruence with *B. pseudomallei*, WGS approaches might offer the possibility to develop assays with high specificity. However, a large set of diverse high-quality assemblies is required for ensuring the reliability of newly identified genomic targets and the targets have to be thoroughly validated in order to prevent false negative or false positive results (77).

Being a biothreat agent of the category B (78) and Tier 1 select agent, B. mallei is of special public concern as it could potentially be used in terrorist attacks. Thus, the possibility of the occurrence of genetically modified strains has to be considered. WGS would enable the quick detection of genetic manipulation leading to a gain of function, e.g., artificially introduced genes that increase virulence or pathogenicity. Further, the natural gain of resistances by mutations in housekeeping genes and the spread of genes can be detected and monitored by WGS, either based on assemblies or even on sequence reads (74, 79, 80). However, the prerequisite is the availability of a reliable database for quickly screening the WGS data, which has not been established for B. mallei, yet. There is a lack of studies on the connection between genomic features and phenotypic traits, that has to be addressed in future studies. Apart from genotyping, sequencing may allow insight in gene regulation and the prediction of connections between processes, e.g., by transcriptome sequencing, like quorum sensing in Burkolderia sp. (81).

Likewise, the lack of publicly available *B. mallei* sequences prevents comprehensive investigation of the global *B. mallei* genotype distribution as well as tracing of infection sources. This is not caused by a lack of awareness for the disease. In fact, most countries have strict regulations and control measure for preventing glanders outbreaks and the disease is listed in the WOAH Terrestrial Animal Health Code, meaning member

Strain designation	Accession number	Stated origin	Closest match		
ATCC 23344	NZ_CP008704.1, NZ_CP008705.1	China	NCTC 3709 (Turkey)		
2000031063	NZ_CP008732.1, NZ_CP008731.1	Hungary	China5 (China)		
FDAARGOS_587	NZ_RKJX0000000.1	Hungary	China5 (China)		
KC_1092	NZ_CP009942.1, NZ_CP009943.1	Iran	China5 (China)		
Budapest	LUFQ0000000.1	Hungary	SAVP1 (India)		

TABLE 4 Strains with incorrect metadata deposited in public repositories (31).

states have to report cases to the organization. Nevertheless, isolation of strains is often not done or not successful due to a low bacterial load in clinical specimens (16). B. mallei strains are not systematically sequenced and if they are, sequence data is not systematically deposited in public databases and thus unavailable for comprehensive analyses. Likewise, incorrect database entries regarding strain identity and metadata have to be corrected. Appelt et al. (31) identified five entries where strain designation did not match the genotyping analysis result, which included two presumed type strains ATCC 23344 and Budapest (= NCTC 10229) (Table 4). This also applies to the metadata for raw sequencing reads deposited in the public repositories, as seen in Figure 5: one out of three sequencing datasets of strain NCTC 10230 does not cluster with the others. Further, strains isolated in Hungary were scattered across the trees in associations with strains from Turkey, China and India in this analysis, which rather points at incorrect metadata than veritable genotype diversity in Hungary. Further, the quality of the raw sequences as well as assemblies and annotations can be expected to be lower for older database entries. As the performance of bioinformatic tools is improving and new algorithms are being developed, a re-assessment of these old raw data is advisable.

To avoid the problems connected to isolation of bacteria, obtaining sequencing data directly from the sample material by employing a metagenomic approach could offer new possibilities in the future. A higher number and diversity of available sequences will allow a more detailed genotyping, as the choice of reference genome is of utmost importance for detection of informative SNPs. It is advised that instead of using a general reference genome, more detailed analysis can be enabled by utilizing a closely related strain as reference (82). Thus, it can be expected that WGS-based investigations of glanders outbreaks will give more reliable and detailed information if a suitable, i.e., closely related, reference is at hand, which will contribute to the understanding of *B. mallei* global genotype distribution.

## Author contributions

HS conceived the project. HB and ME coordinated the project, wrote the draft version of the manuscript, and prepared the figures. KL and HS also contributed to the text and revised the draft version. All authors approved the submitted version.

## Acknowledgments

We want to thank Vania Lucia de Assis Santana for the permission to use photographs taken by her.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

2. Elschner MC, Klaus CU, Liebler-Tenorio E, Schmoock G, Wohlsein P, Tinschmann O, et al. Burkholderia mallei infection in a horse imported

from Brazil. *Equine Vet Educ.* (2009) 21:147–50. doi: 10.2746/095777309X 401071

3. Kettle AN, Wernery U. Glanders and the risk for its introduction through the international movement of horses. *Equine Vet J.* (2016) 48:654–8. doi: 10.1111/evj.12599

<sup>1.</sup> Inglis TJJ, Merritt AJ. Burkholderia pseudomallei and Burkholderia mallei. In: Tang Y-W, Sussman M, Liu D, Poxton I, Schwartzman J, editors. Molecular Medical Microbiology. 2. London: Academic Press (2015). p. 769–91.

4. Godoy D, Randle G, Simpson AJ, Aanensen DM, Pitt TL, Kinoshita R, et al. Multilocus sequence typing and evolutionary relationships among the causative agents of melioidosis and glanders, *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and *Burkholderia mallei*. J Clin Microbiol. (2003) 41:2068–79. doi: 10.1128/JCM.41.5.2068-2079.2003

5. Losada L, Ronning CM, DeShazer D, Woods D, Fedorova N, Kim HS, et al. Continuing evolution of *Burkholderia mallei* through genome reduction and largescale rearrangements. *Genome Biol Evol.* (2010) 2:102–16. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evq003

6. Nierman WC, DeShazer D, Kim HS, Tettelin H, Nelson KE, Feldblyum T, et al. Structural flexibility in the Burkholderia mallei genome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* (2004) 101:14246–51. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0403306101

7. Carver T, Thomson N, Bleasby A, Berriman M, Parkhill J. Dnaplotter: circular and linear interactive genome visualization. *Bioinformatics*. (2009) 25:119–20. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btn578

8. Rogul M, Brendle JJ, Haapala DK, Alexander AD. Nucleic acid similarities among *Pseudomonas pseudomallei*, *Pseudomonas multivorans*, and *Actinobacillus mallei*. J Bacteriol. (1970) 101:827–35. doi: 10.1128/jb.101.3.827-835.1970

9. Stackebrandt E. Report of the ad hoc committee for the re-evaluation of the species definition in bacteriology. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol.* (2002) 52:1043–7. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.02360-0

10. Yabuuchi E, Kosako Y, Oyaizu H, Yano I, Hotta H, Hashimoto Y, et al. Proposal of Burkholderia gen. nov. and transfer of seven species of the genus Pseudomonas homology group II to the new genus, with the type species Burkholderia cepacia (Palleroni and Holmes 1981) comb. nov. *Microbiol Immunol.* (1992) 36:1251–75. doi: 10.1111/j.1348-0421.1992.tb02129.x

11. Ramisse V, Balandreau J, Thibault F, Vidal D, Vergnaud G, Normand P, et al. Hybridization study of burkholderia species using genomic DNA macroarray analysis coupled to reverse genome probing. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol.* (2003) 53:739–46. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.02483-0

12. Currie BJ. Burkholderia pseudomallei and Burkholderia mallei. Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier (2015). p. 2541–51.e2.

13. Varghese NJ, Mukherjee S, Ivanova N, Konstantinidis KT, Mavrommatis K, Kyrpides NC, et al. Microbial species delineation using whole genome sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2015) 43:6761–71. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv657

14. Ciufo S, Kannan S, Sharma S, Badretdin A, Clark K, Turner S, et al. Using average nucleotide identity to improve taxonomic assignments in prokaryotic genomes at the Ncbi. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol.* (2018) 68:2386–92. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.002809

15. Richter M, Rosselló-Móra R. Shifting the genomic gold standard for the prokaryotic species definition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* (2009) 106:19126– 31. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0906412106

16. World Organisation for Animal Health WOAH. *Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals.* 8 ed. Paris, France: WOAH (2018).

17. Tyler SD, Strathdee CA, Rozee KR, Johnson WM. Oligonucleotide primers designed to differentiate pathogenic pseudomonads on the basis of the sequencing of genes coding for 16s-23s Rrna internal transcribed spacers. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol.* (1995) 2:448–53. doi: 10.1128/cdli.2.4.448-453.1995

18. Bauernfeind A, Roller C, Meyer D, Jungwirth R, Schneider I. Molecular procedure for rapid detection of *Burkholderia mallei* and *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. J Clin Microbiol. (1998) 36:2737-41. doi: 10.1128/JCM.36.9.2737-2741.1998

19. Bowers JR, Engelthaler DM, Ginther JL, Pearson T, Peacock SJ, Tuanyok A, et al. Burkdiff: a real-time Pcr allelic discrimination assay for *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and *B. mallei*. *PLoS ONE*. (2010) 5:e15413. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0015413

20. Sprague LD, Zysk G, Hagen RM, Meyer H, Ellis J, Anuntagool N, et al. A possible pitfall in the identification of burkholderia mallei using molecular identification systems based on the sequence of the flagellin flic gene. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol.* (2002) 34:231–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-695X.2002.tb00629.x

21. Scholz HC, Joseph M, Tomaso H, Al Dahouk S, Witte A, Kinne J, et al. Detection of the reemerging agent *Burkholderia mallei* in a recent outbreak of glanders in the United Arab Emirates by a newly developed flip-based polymerase chain reaction assay. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* (2006) 54:241-7. doi: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2005.09.018

22. Tomaso H, Scholz HC, Al Dahouk S, Eickhoff M, Treu TM, Wernery R, et al. Development of a 5'-nuclease real-time Pcr assay targeting flip for the rapid identification of *Burkholderia mallei* in clinical samples. *Clin Chem.* (2006) 52:307–10. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2005.059196

23. Thibault FM, Valade E, Vidal DR. Identification and Discrimination of Burkholderia pseudomallei, B. mallei, and B. thailandensis by real-time Pcr

targeting type III secretion system genes. J Clin Microbiol. (2004) 42:5871– 4. doi: 10.1128/JCM.42.12.5871-5874.2004

24. Lee MA, Wang D, Yap EH. Detection and differentiation of Burkholderia pseudomallei, Burkholderia mallei and Burkholderia thailandensis by multiplex Pcr. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. (2005) 43:413-7. doi: 10.1016/j.femsim.2004.10.008

25. Lowe CW, Satterfield BA, Nelson DB, Thiriot JD, Heder MJ, March JK, et al. A quadruplex real-time PCR assay for the rapid detection and differentiation of the most relevant members of the *B. pseudomallei* complex: *B. mallei*, *B. pseudomallei*, and *B. thailandensis. PLoS One.* (2016) 11:e0164006. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0164006

26. Pal V, Saxena A, Singh S, Goel AK, Kumar JS, Parida MM, et al. Development of a real-time loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay for detection of *Burkholderia mallei*. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* (2018) 65:e32–9. doi: 10.1111/tbed.12665

27. Laroucau K, Aaziz R, Vorimore F, Varghese K, Deshayes T, Bertin C, et al. A Genetic variant of *Burkholderia mallei* detected in kuwait: consequences for the Pcr diagnosis of glanders. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* (2021) 68:960–3. doi:10.1111/tbed.13777

28. Song H, Hwang J, Yi H, Ulrich RL, Yu Y, Nierman WC, et al. The early stage of bacterial genome-reductive evolution in the host. *PLoS Pathog.* (2010) 6:e1000922. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000922

29. Arushothy R, Amran F, Samsuddin N, Ahmad N, Nathan S. Multi locus sequence typing of clinical burkholderia pseudomallei isolates from Malaysia. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* (2020) 14:e0008979. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0008979

30. Kenna DTD, Lilley D, Coward A, Martin K, Perry C, Pike R, et al. Prevalence of burkholderia species, including members of burkholderia cepacia complex, among UK cystic and non-cystic fibrosis patients. *J Med Microbiol.* (2017) 66:490–501. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.000458

31. Appelt S, Rohleder AM, Jacob D, von Buttlar H, Georgi E, Mueller K, et al. Genetic diversity and spatial distribution of burkholderia mallei by core genome-based multilocus sequence typing analysis. *PLoS ONE.* (2022) 17:e0270499. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0270499

32. Williams JG, Kubelik AR, Livak KJ, Rafalski JA, Tingey SV. DNA polymorphisms amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (1990) 18:6531–5. doi: 10.1093/nar/18.22.6531

33. Antonov VA, Altukhova VV, Savchenko SS, Zamaraev VS, Ilyukhin VI, Alekseev VV. The use of multilocus sequence typing (Mlst) and randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (Rapd) to differentiate among strains of the glanders pathogen *Burkholderia mallei*. *Mol Genet Microbiol Virol*. (2007) 22:87–94. doi: 10.3103/S0891416807030019

34. Bondareva OS, Savchenko SS, Tkachenko GA, Ledeneva ML, Lemasova LV, Antonov VA. *Burkholderia mallei* genotyping based on different region analysis. *Mol Genet Microbiol Virol.* (2016) 31:40–4. doi: 10.3103/S089141681601002X

35. Harvey SP, Minter JM. Ribotyping of Burkholderia mallei isolates. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. (2005) 44:91–7. doi: 10.1016/j.femsim.2004.12.002

36. Chantratita N, Vesaratchavest M, Wuthiekanun V, Tiyawisutsri R, Ulziitogtokh T, Akcay E, et al. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis as a discriminatory typing technique for the biothreat agent *Burkholderia mallei*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* (2006) 74:345–7. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.2006.74.345

37. Shanmugasundaram K, Singha H, Saini S, Tripathi BN. 16s Rdna and its sequence diversity of *Burkholderia mallei* isolated from glanders-affected horses and mules in India (2013-2019). *Curr Microbiol.* (2021) 79:31. doi: 10.1007/s00284-021-02701-8

38. Ren JM, Schupp JM, Pearson T, Hornstra H, Friedman CL, Smith KL, et al. Tandem repeat regions within the *Burkholderia pseudomallei* genome and their application for high resolution genotyping. *BMC Microbiol.* (2007) 7:23. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-7-23

39. Hornstra H, Pearson T, Georgia S, Liguori A, Dale J, Price E, et al. Molecular epidemiology of glanders, Pakistan. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2009) 15:2036-9. doi: 10.3201/eid1512.090738

40. Scholz HC, Pearson T, Hornstra H, Projahn M, Terzioglu R, Wernery R, et al. Genotyping of *Burkholderia mallei* from an outbreak of glanders in Bahrain suggests multiple introduction events. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* (2014) 8:e3195. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0003195

41. Laroucau K, Lucia de Assis Santana V, Girault G, Martin B, Miranda da Silveira PP, Brasil Machado M, et al. First molecular characterisation of a Brazilian *Burkholderia mallei* strain isolated from a Mule in 2016. *Infect Genet Evol.* (2018) 57:1176–120. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2017.11.014

42. Singha H, Elschner MC, Malik P, Saini S, Tripathi BN, Mertens-Scholz K, et al. Molecular typing of *Burkholderia mallei* isolates from equids with glanders, India. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2021) 27:1745–8. doi: 10.3201/eid2706.203232

43. Bondareva OS, Tkachenko GA, Ledeneva ML, Baturin AA, Lemasova LV, Shpak IM, et al. Development of genotyping method of the glanders causative agent based on multiple locus variable-number tandem repeat analysis. *Zh Mikrobiol Epidemiol Immunobiol.* (2019) 5:8–16. doi: 10.36233/0372-9311-2019-5-8-16

44. Zhou Z, Alikhan NF, Sergeant MJ, Luhmann N, Vaz C, Francisco AP, et al. Grapetree: visualization of core genomic relationships among 100,000 bacterial pathogens. *Genome Res.* (2018) 28:1395–404. doi: 10.1101/gr.232397.117

45. Singha H, Vorimore F, Saini S, Deshayes T, Saqib M, Tripathi BN, et al. Molecular epidemiology of *Burkholderia mallei* isolates from india (2015–2016): new snp markers for strain tracing. *Infect Genet Evol.* (2021) 95:105059. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2021.105059

46. Revez J, Espinosa L, Albiger B, Leitmeyer KC, Struelens MJ. Survey on the use of whole-genome sequencing for infectious diseases surveillance: rapid expansion of European National Capacities, 2015-2016. *Front Public Health.* (2017) 5:347. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2017.00347

47. Goodwin S, McPherson JD, McCombie WR. Coming of age: ten years of next-generation sequencing technologies. *Nat Rev Genet.* (2016) 17:333–51. doi: 10.1038/nrg.2016.49

48. Chen YC, Liu T, Yu CH, Chiang TY, Hwang CC. Effects of Gc bias in next-generation-sequencing data on de novo genome assembly. *PLoS ONE.* (2013) 8:e62856. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0062856

49. Goldstein S, Beka L, Graf J, Klassen JL. Evaluation of strategies for the assembly of diverse bacterial genomes using minion long-read sequencing. *BMC Genomics.* (2019) 20:23. doi: 10.1186/s12864-018-5381-7

50. Girault G, Woudstra C, Martin B, Vorimore F, Lucia de Assis Santana V, Fach P, et al. First draft genome for a *Burkholderia mallei* isolate originating from a glanderous mule from Brazil. *Genome Announc.* (2017) 5:e00579-17. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00579-17

51. Singha H, Malik P, Saini S, Khurana SK, Elschner MC, Mertens K, et al. Draft genome sequences of two clinical isolates of *Burkholderia mallei* obtained from nasal swabs of glanderous equines in India. *Genome Announc*. (2017) 5:e00063-17. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00063-17

52. Taxt AM, Avershina E, Frye SA, Naseer U, Ahmad R. Rapid identification of pathogens, antibiotic resistance genes and plasmids in blood cultures by nanopore sequencing. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:7622. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-64616-x

53. McLaughlin HP, Bugrysheva JV, Conley AB, Gulvik CA, Cherney B, Kolton CB, et al. Rapid nanopore whole-genome sequencing for anthrax emergency preparedness. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2020) 26:358–61. doi: 10.3201/eid2602.191351

54. Delahaye C, Nicolas J. Sequencing DNA with nanopores: troubles and biases. *PLoS ONE.* (2021) 16:e0257521. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0257521

55. Luo C, Tsementzi D, Kyrpides N, Read T, Konstantinidis KT. Direct comparisons of illumina vs. roche 454 sequencing technologies on the same microbial community DNA sample. *PLoS ONE.* (2012) 7:e30087. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0030087

56. Uelze L, Grutzke J, Borowiak M, Hammerl JA, Juraschek K, Deneke C, et al. Typing methods based on whole genome sequencing data. *One Health Outlook.* (2020) 2:3. doi: 10.1186/s42522-020-0010-1

57. Holzer K, El-Diasty M, Wareth G, Abdel-Hamid NH, Hamdy MER, Moustafa SA, et al. Tracking the distribution of Brucella abortus in Egypt based on core genome SNP analysis and in silico MLVA-16. *Microorganisms*. (2021) 9:1942. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9091942

58. Brangsch H, Golovko A, Pinchuk N, Deriabin O, Kyselova T, Linde J, et al. Molecular typing of Ukrainian *Bacillus anthracis* strains by combining whole-genome sequencing techniques. *Microorganisms*. (2022) 10:461. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10020461

59. Brangsch H, Saqib M, Sial AUR, Melzer F, Linde J, Elschner MC. Sequencing-based genotyping of Pakistani *Burkholderia mallei* strains: a useful way for investigating glanders outbreaks. *Pathogens.* (2022) 11:614. doi: 10.3390/pathogens11060614

60. Rhie A, McCarthy SA, Fedrigo O, Damas J, Formenti G, Koren S, et al. Towards complete and error-free genome assemblies of all vertebrate species. *Nature*. (2021) 592:737–46. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03451-0

61. Tamburro M, Ripabelli G. High resolution melting as a rapid, reliable, accurate and cost-effective emerging tool for genotyping pathogenic bacteria and enhancing molecular epidemiological surveillance: a comprehensive review of the literature. *Ann Ig.* (2017) 29:293–316. doi: 10.7416/ai.2017.2153

62. Girault G, Wattiau P, Saqib M, Martin B, Vorimore F, Singha H, et al. Highresolution melting pcr analysis for rapid genotyping of *Burkholderia mallei*. *Infect Genet Evol*. (2018) 63:1–4. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2018.05.004

63. Falcão MVD, Laroucau K, Vorimore F, Deshayes T, Santana VLA, Silva KPC, et al. Molecular characterization of *Burkholderia mallei* strains

isolated from horses in Brazil (2014–2017). Infect Genet Evol. (2022) 99:105250. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2022.105250

64. Koirala P, Maharjan M, Manandhar S, Kr P, Deshayes T, Wang G, et al. First glanders cases detected in Nepal underscore the need for surveillance and border controls. *BMC Vet Res.* (2022) 18:132. doi: 10.1186/s12917-022-03233-4

65. Abdel-Glil MY, Thomas P, Linde J, Jolley KA, Harmsen D, Wieler LH, et al. Establishment of a publicly available core genome multilocus sequence typing scheme for clostridium perfringens. *Microbiol Spectr.* (2021) 9:e0053321. doi: 10.1128/Spectrum.00533-21

66. Kohl TA, Diel R, Harmsen D, Rothganger J, Walter KM, Merker M, et al. Whole-genome-based mycobacterium tuberculosis surveillance: a standardized, portable, and expandable approach. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2014) 52:2479–86. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00567-14

67. Ruppitsch W, Pietzka A, Prior K, Bletz S, Fernandez HL, Allerberger F, et al. Defining and evaluating a core genome multilocus sequence typing scheme for whole-genome sequence-based typing of listeria monocytogenes. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2015) 53:2869–76. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01193-15

68. Gona F, Comandatore F, Battaglia S, Piazza A, Trovato A, Lorenzin G, et al. Comparison of core-genome MLST, coreSNP and PFGE methods for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* cluster analysis. *Microb Genom.* (2020) 6:e000347. doi: 10.1099/mgen.0.000347

69. Neumann B, Prior K, Bender JK, Harmsen D, Klare I, Fuchs S, et al. A core genome multilocus sequence typing scheme for *Enterococcus faecalis. J Clin Microbiol.* (2019) 57:e01686–18. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01686-18

70. Lichtenegger S, Trinh Trung T, Assig K, Prior K, Harmsen D, Pesl J, et al. Development and validation of a *Burkholderia pseudomallei* core genome multilocus sequence typing scheme to facilitate molecular surveillance. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2021) 59:e00093-21. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00093-21

71. Sommerstein R, Führer U, Lo Priore E, Casanova C, Meinel DM, Seth-Smith HM, et al. Burkholderia stabilis outbreak associated with contaminated commercially-available washing Gloves, Switzerland, May 2015 to August 2016. *Euro Surveill.* (2017) 22:17-00213. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.49.1 7-00213

72. De Smet B, Sarovich DS, Price EP, Mayo M, Theobald V, Kham C, et al. Whole-genome sequencing confirms that *Burkholderia pseudomallei* multilocus sequence types common to both Cambodia and Australia are due to homoplasy. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2015) 53:323–6. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02574-14

73. Lamble S, Batty E, Attar M, Buck D, Bowden R, Lunter G, et al. Improved workflows for high throughput library preparation using the transposome-based nextera system. *BMC Biotechnol.* (2013) 13:104. doi: 10.1186/1472-6750-13-104

74. Khan AU, Melzer F, Sayour AE, Shell WS, Linde J, Abdel-Glil M, et al. Whole-genome sequencing for tracing the genetic diversity of *Brucella abortus* and *Brucella melitensis* isolated from livestock in Egypt. *Pathogens*. (2021) 10:759. doi: 10.3390/pathogens10060759

75. Keim P, Van Ert MN, Pearson T, Vogler AJ, Huynh LY, Wagner DM. Anthrax molecular epidemiology and forensics: using the appropriate marker for different evolutionary scales. *Infect Genet Evol.* (2004) 4:205–13. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2004.02.005

76. Sahl JW, Morris CR, Emberger J, Fraser CM, Ochieng JB, Juma J, et al. Defining the phylogenomics of shigella species: a pathway to diagnostics. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2015) 53:951–60. doi: 10.1128/JCM.03527-14

77. Sahl JW, Vazquez AJ, Hall CM, Busch JD, Tuanyok A, Mayo M, et al. The effects of signal erosion and core genome reduction on the identification of diagnostic markers. *MBio.* (2016) 7:e00846-16. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00 846-16

78. Rotz LD, Khan AS, Lillibridge SR, Ostroff SM, Hughes JM. Public health assessment of potential biological terrorism agents. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2002) 8:225–30. doi: 10.3201/eid0802.010164

79. Boolchandani M, D'Souza AW, Dantas G. Sequencing-based methods and resources to study antimicrobial resistance. *Nat Rev Genet.* (2019) 20:356–70. doi: 10.1038/s41576-019-0108-4

80. Müller E, Hotzel H, Linde J, Hanel I, Tomaso H. Antimicrobial resistance and in silico virulence profiling of aliarcobacter butzleri strains from German water poultry. *Front Microbiol.* (2020) 11:617685. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.61 7685

81. Majerczyk CD, Brittnacher MJ, Jacobs MA, Armour CD, Radey MC, Bunt R, et al. Cross-species comparison of the *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, *Burkholderia thailandensis*, and *Burkholderia mallei* quorum-sensing regulons. J Bacteriol. (2014) 196:3862–71. doi: 10.1128/JB.01974-14

82. Lüth S, Deneke C, Kleta S, Al Dahouk S. Translatability of Wgs typing results can simplify data exchange for surveillance and control of listeria monocytogenes. *Microb Genom.* (2021) 7:000491. doi: 10.1099/mgen.0.000491