# ESL 4: *Ex-situ* evaluation of morphological, agronomic and qualitative traits of a naturalized population of parsley (*Petroselinum crispum* (Mill) Nyman])

# Pietro Fusani, Fabrizio Scartezzini, Nicola Aiello



Consiglio per la ricerca in agricoltura e l'analisi dell'economia agraria, Unità di ricerca per il Monitoraggio e la Pianificazione Forestale (CREA-MPF), piazza Nicolini 6, 38123 Trento, Italy, e-mail: pietro.fusani@crea.gov.it (corresponding author)

DOI 10.5073/jka.2016.453.020

# Abstract

A naturalized population of parsley of the province of Trento, Italy, was evaluated *ex-situ* for its morphological and agronomic traits in a field trial in which it was compared with three commercial cultivars of the species. The naturalized population belongs to the smooth leaf type for the absence of curling, and differed from the other smooth leaf type accessions for the lower plant height, the smaller length of petiole and the prostrate attitude of the plant, all undesirable characteristics which make the harvest of plants more difficult. The yields of aerial parts and leaves were higher in the commercial cultivars, while the naturalized population had the highest yield and content of essential oil. Due to the high content and yield of essential oil, the naturalized population could be the object of an eventual breeding program aiming to improve the morphologic and agronomic undesirable characteristics.

Keywords: Petroselinum crispum, morphological traits, agronomic traits, essential oil.

# Introduction

Parsley (*Petroselinum crispum* (Mill) Nyman, *Apiaceae*) is a biennial herbaceous plant naturalized in much of Europe and cultivated worldwide as an aromatic and edible plant. The origin of the species is uncertain, but perhaps South Est Europe or West Asia (TUTIN *et al.*, 1976); in Italy it is present as cultivated or naturalized (PIGNATTI, 1982). A naturalized population of parsley is reported in the province of Trento, Italy, in the locality Cronil within the municipality of Ala (PROSSER *et al.*, 2009). Its presence is known since long times by the people living in the neighbouring localities, who traditionally use to collect its seeds and to cultivate it in their homegardens. The aim of this study was to compare the morphological characteristics and the main agronomic traits of the parsley naturalized population with that of three commercial cultivars: information about the distinctness and productive capacity could provide the necessary cognitive basis for its improvement by the starting of a breeding program.

# **Materials and Methods**

Seeds of the naturalized population were collected in their growing site in 2012, and plantlets obtained from them were cultivated *ex situ* and compared in a field trail with three cultivars, two of smooth leaf (Comune 2, Gigante di Napoli) and one curly leaf type (Nano Ricciuto 2). The trial was carried out during the years 2013-2014 at CREA-MPF of Trento, Italy, according to a block randomized design with four replications, consisting of forty plants per replication. Morphological traits were recorded twice, one day before starting the first and second harvest, on ten randomly chosen single plants per replicate, by the use of a modified CPVO technical protocol for the species (CPVO, 2007): nine characters, corresponding to qualitative characteristics, were determined by visual assessment, and ten, corresponding to quantitative characteristics, were measured. Plants were harvested two times, two and four months after transplant. Leaves were separated from petioles and yields of aerial part and leaves were recorded. Essential oils were extracted immediately after harvest from 1 kg of fresh leaves per replicate for the determination of the two consecutive

harvests were subjected to ANOVA, being percentage data previously transformed in angular values, using XLSTAT software package.

### Results

# Morphological characterization

Results of the morphological characterization by gualitative traits are indicated in Table 1. The naturalized population belongs to the smooth leaf type for the absence of curling, but differed from the other two smooth leaf type accessions for a weaker undulation of the leaflet margin and for the attitude of leaves, prostrate instead of erect. Highly significant differences were detected among accessions for all the morphological quantitative traits (Table 2). In particular, the naturalized population parsley differed from the other two smooth leaf accessions for the lower height of plant and the smaller length of petiole. These characters, together with the prostrate attitude of plant, are undesirable for making the harvest of plants more difficult.

| Tab. 1 Values | Tab. 1 Values of the morphological qualitative traits of the evaluated accessions. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Accession     | $(P)(Q,N)^{\circ}$ and description   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Accession              | CPVO N° and description         |                   |                           |   |                      |  |                                       |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---|----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
|                        | 3                               | 5                 | 6                         | 13  | 14                   | 16   | 19                                    |
|                        | Plant:<br>density of<br>foliage | Leaf:<br>attitude | Leaf<br>blade:<br>curling | Leaf<br>blade:<br>intensity<br>of green<br>colour | Leaflet:<br>shape    | Leaflet:<br>undulation<br>of the<br>margin | Petiole:<br>anthocyanin<br>coloration |
| Comune 2               | medium                          | erect             | absent                    | medium  | narrow<br>triangular | medium                                     | absent or<br>very weak                |
| Gigante di<br>Napoli   | medium                          | erect             | absent                    | medium  | narrow<br>triangular | medium                                     | absent or<br>very weak                |
| Nano<br>Ricciuto 2     | dense                           | semi<br>erect     | present                   | medium  | medium<br>triangular | strong                                     | absent or<br>very weak                |
| Naturalized population | medium                          | prostrate         | absent                    | medium  | narrow<br>triangular | weak                                       | absent or<br>very weak                |

| Accession   | CPVO N $^{\circ}$ and description |                         |                                  |                                  |                                 |   |  |                            |                               |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|             | 1                                 | 2                       | 4                                | 10                               | 11                              | 12  | 15   | 17                         | 18                            |
|             | Plant:<br>height<br>(cm)          | Plant:<br>width<br>(cm) | Plant:<br>number<br>of<br>leaves | Leaf<br>blade:<br>length<br>(cm) | Leaf<br>blade:<br>width<br>(cm) | Leaf<br>blade:<br>ratio<br>length/<br>width | Leaf<br>blade:<br>distance<br>between<br>1st and<br>2nd pair<br>of<br>leaflets<br>(cm) | Petiole:<br>length<br>(cm) | Petiole:<br>thickness<br>(cm) |
| Comune 2    | 26.8                              | 42.2                    | 35.0 $\pm$                       | 18.3                             | 13.1                            | 1.41 $\pm$                                  | 8.4 $\pm$  | 11.2 $\pm$                 | 3.9 $\pm$                     |
|             | ± 3.4                             | ± 4.7                   | 21.5 A                           | ± 2.2                            | ± 2.3                           | 0.20 A                                      | 1.0 A  | 1.1 A                      | 0.4 A                         |
|             | A                                 | A                       | ~~ -                             | AB                               | A                               |   |  |                            |                               |
| Gigante di  | 29.2                              | 39.4                    | 20.7                             | 19.2                             | 13.9                            | 1.39 ±                                      | 8.3 ±  | 11.6 ±                     | 4.3 ±                         |
| Napoli      | ± 2.7                             | ± 4.7                   | ±12.3                            | ± 2.1                            | ± 1.6                           | 0.10 A                                      | 1.0 A  | 1.5 A                      | 0.3 A                         |
|             | Α                                 | Α                       | В                                | Α                                | A                               |   |  |                            |                               |
| Nano        | 15.7                              | 29.7                    | 15.9 $\pm$                       | 11.3                             | 10.0                            | 1.13 $\pm$                                  | 5.2 $\pm$  | 6.7 $\pm$                  | 4.4 $\pm$                     |
| Ricciuto 2  | ± 1.4                             | $\pm$ 2.5               | 6.0 B                            | ± 1.4                            | $\pm$ 0.9                       | 0.12 B                                      | 0.4 B  | 1.0 B                      | 0.4 A                         |
|             | В                                 | В                       |                                  | С                                | В                               |   |  |                            |                               |
| Naturalized | 15.5                              | 39.0                    | 28.4 $\pm$                       | 15.9                             | 14.7                            | 1.09 $\pm$                                  | 7.4 $\pm$  | 7.0 $\pm$                  | 3.4 $\pm$                     |
| population  | $\pm$ 2.9                         | $\pm$ 5.0               | 18.5 A                           | $\pm$ 2.3                        | $\pm$ 2.2                       | 0.10 B                                      | 1.0 A  | 1.0 B                      | 0.4 B                         |
|             | В                                 | Α                       |                                  | В                                | А                               |   |  |                            |                               |

Tab. 2 Values of the morphological quantitative traits (mean ± standard deviation; n=4)\*

\* Mean values followed by different letters are significantly different at P<0.01 according to Tukey (HSD) test.

#### Yield and other agronomic traits

Cumulative yields of the two harvests of the investigated accessions are reported in Table 3. The naturalized population had the lowest yields both of aerial parts and leaves, and the highest essential oil yield, resulting statistically different from the other smooth leaf type accessions for the aerial part yield and from all the other accessions for the yields of leaves and essential oil.

| Accession                   | Aerial part yield (g $\cdot$ m <sup>-2</sup> dried weight) | Leaves yield (g<br>• m <sup>-2</sup> dried weight) | Essential oil yield<br>(ml · m <sup>-2</sup> ) | Essential oil<br>content (%<br>v/fresh weight) |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Comune 2                    | 410.8 $\pm$ 24.1 A   | 340.5 $\pm$ 14.1 A                                 | 1.93 $\pm$ 0.16 B                              | $0.11\pm0.04\mathrm{B}$                        |
| Gigante di Napoli           | 394.3 $\pm$ 37.3 A   | 329.1 $\pm$ 23.2 A                                 | 1.54 $\pm$ 0.15 B                              | $0.10\pm0.04~\text{B}$                         |
| Nano Ricciuto 2             | 343.3 $\pm$ 29.5 AB  | 320.7 $\pm$ 26.7 A                                 | 1.40 $\pm$ 0.24 B                              | $0.10\pm0.06~\text{B}$                         |
| Naturalized popu-<br>lation | $\rm 277.5\pm19.0B$  | 244.5 $\pm$ 19.3 B                                 | $2.92\pm0.37~\text{A}$                         | $0.25\pm0.06~\text{A}$                         |

Table 3 Agronomic traits of the evaluated accessions (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation; n=4)\*

\* Mean values followed by different letters are significantly different at P<0.01 according to Tukey (HSD) test.

The naturalized population differed from the other accessions also for the essential oil content of the leaves calculated as a percentage of fresh weight, mean of the two harvests (Table 3), which was more than the double than the cultivars, and higher than those reported by the majority of previous authors, that is up to 0.16 % on the fresh weight basis (SIMON and QUINN 1988; PINO *et al.* 1997). In conclusion, the naturalized population differed from the smooth leaf type varieties evaluated in this study for some morphological characters that easily permit its identification. The small dimensions of the plant and especially its prostrate attitude are however two undesirable characters which could make more difficult its harvest. These characters, together with the low yields of leaves and aerial parts, could be improved in an eventual breeding program, which could be justified by the high yield of essential oil extracted from its leaves.

# Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Mr. Paolo Passerini of Brentonico (Trento) for the information about the naturalized population of parsley. This study was carried out within the project "Implementation of the FAO International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture" of the Ministry of Agriculture, Alimentation and Forestry Policies, aimed at research and experimentation supporting the collection, characterization and evaluation of plant genetic resources.

#### References

CPVO, 2007. TP/136/1. Protocol for distinctness, uniformity and stability tests of parsley. Community plant variety office, Angers.

PIGNATTI, S., 1982. Flora d'Italia. Edagricole, Bologna: 219.

PINO, J.A., ROSADO, A., FUENTES V., 1997. Herb oil of parsley (*Petroselinum crispum* Mill.) from Cuba. Journal of Essential Oil Research 9:241-242.

PROSSER, F., BERTOLLI, A., FESTI, F., 2009. Flora illustrata del Monte Baldo. Osiride, Rovereto: 567.

SIMON, J.E., QUINN, J., 1988. Characterization of essential oil of parsley. Journal of Agricoltural and Food Chemistry, 36:467-472.

TUTIN, T.G., HEYWOOD, V.H., BURGES, N.A., MOORE, D.M., VALENTIE, D.H., WALTERS, S.M., WEBB, D.A., 1976. Flora Europaea. Cambridge University Press, London: 352.