Then in a next step in our risk assessment study on side effects we evaluated the impact of sublethal concentrations of Xentari<sup>®</sup> (0.01% via the sugar water and the pollen) on the foraging behavior of bumblebees with a new experimental setup in the laboratory. Here no change in the behavior of the workers was seen.

Overall the results showed that the tested Bt insecticides cause an effect on the biology of *B. terrestris*. However, more information about relevant environmental concentrations is necessary before making final conclusions about the compatibility of these compounds with *B. terrestris*.

## Can pesticide acute toxicity for bumblebees be derived from honeybee LD50 values?

J. van der Steen<sup>1</sup>, L. Bortolotti<sup>2</sup>, M.P. Chauzat<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PRI Biointeracties, Postbus 16, 6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands, <sup>2</sup>CRA-API, Italy, <sup>3</sup>AFFSA, France

#### Abstract

Pesticide acute toxicity towards animals is commonly assessed using lethal doses ( $LD_{50}$ ). The  $LD_{50}$  can be generated with two routes of exposure: when animals ingest the pesticide (oral  $LD_{50}$ ) or when it is in contact with it (contact  $LD_{50}$ ). Toxicity values for honeybees are usually used in ecotoxicological risk assessment infering that honeybees represent the pollinating insects.  $LD_{50}$  values are also measured for bumble bees but to a lesser extend.

The first step of this exercise was to collect known  $LD_{50}$  (contact and oral) values measured for both honey bees and bumble bees.

Based on the LD<sub>50</sub> values of 20 pesticides, the relationship between oral LD<sub>50</sub> values of honey bees and bumble bees was calculated with the regression formula. The same calculation was done with contact LD<sub>50</sub>. Results showed that there was an approximate relationship; toxic active ingredients for honey bees were also toxic for bumble bees. However, when honey bee LD<sub>50</sub> values in the toxic range (LD<sub>50</sub> < 1 µg/bee) and less toxic range (LD<sub>50</sub> > 1 µg/bee), were compared to bumble bee LD<sub>50</sub>, the relationship was very much less statistically significant. This both counted for the oral and contact LD<sub>50</sub> values. It is concluded that the known LD<sub>50</sub> values of honey bees could indicate broadly a range of LD<sub>50</sub> values for bumble bees. However, for toxic and less toxic substances, the LD<sub>50</sub> for bumble bees cannot be derived from known honey bee LD<sub>50</sub> values. It must be noticed furthermore that the LD<sub>50</sub> values for honey bees, presented in literature and databases of universities and legislation offices vary significantly.

## IV. Test methodology (laboratory, cage, field, sub-lethal, etc.)

# Influence of the brood rearing temperature on honey bee development and susceptibility to intoxication by pesticides

Piotr Medrzycki, Fabio Sgolastra, Laura Bortolotti, Simone Tosi, Gherardo Bogo, Erica Padovani, Claudio Porrini, Anna Gloria Sabatini

Consiglio per la Ricerca e la Sperimentazione in Agricoltura, Unità di Ricerca di Apicoltura e Bachicoltura, Via di Saliceto 80, 40128 Bologna, Italy

### Abstract

The brood rearing temperature is one of the most precisely controlled physiological parameters in a honey bee colony. Adult bees keep the brood area centre at  $35 \pm 1$  °C. In order to maintain the temperature within this narrow range, the high or low external temperature is contrasted by thermoregulation behaviours. Thus, normally only slight deviations from the optimal level may occur. Nevertheless, in particular situations the brood may be subject to conditions of suboptimal temperature. For example, a slight bee poisoning, causing