

**Mitteilungen aus der Biologischen Bundesanstalt
für Land- und Forstwirtschaft
Berlin-Dahlem**



**Data requirements and criteria for decision-making
in the European Union and the Federal Republic of
Germany for the authorization procedure of plant
protection products**

compiled by

**the Department for Plant Protection Products and Application Techniques
(Abteilung für Pflanzenschutzmittel und Anwendungstechnik)**

Federal Biological Research Centre
for Agriculture and Forestry
Braunschweig

Heft 358

Berlin 1998

Parey Buchverlag Berlin
Kurfürstendamm 57, D-10707 Berlin

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft (BBA)

Präsident: Professor Dr. Fred Klingauf, Messeweg 11/12, D-38104 Braunschweig

Die Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft (BBA), deren Entstehung auf die 1898 gegründete Biologische Abteilung am Kaiserlichen Gesundheitsamt in Berlin zurückgeht, ist eine selbständige Bundesoberbehörde und Bundesforschungsanstalt im Geschäftsbereich des Bundesministeriums für Ernährung, Landwirtschaft und Forsten. Ihre Aufgaben sind im Pflanzenschutz-, Gentechnik- und Bundesseuchengesetz festgelegt und umfassen u. a.:

- Forschungen auf dem Gesamtgebiet des Pflanzen- und Vorratsschutzes,
- Prüfung und Zulassung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln,
- Eintragung und Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzgeräten,
- Mitwirkung bei der Genehmigung zur Freisetzung und dem Inverkehrbringen gentechnisch veränderter Organismen einschließlich Forschung zur biologischen Sicherheit,
- Beteiligung bei der Bewertung von Umweltchemikalien nach dem Chemikalienrecht.

Die Forschungsarbeiten der BBA schaffen Grundlagen für Entscheidungshilfen zur Ernährungs-, Land- und Forstwirtschaftspolitik sowie zur Verbraucherpolitik. Über 900 Mitarbeiter, davon 300 Wissenschaftlerinnen und Wissenschaftler, sind bei der BBA beschäftigt.

The Federal Biological Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry (BBA)

President: Professor Dr. Fred Klingauf, Messeweg 11/12, D-38104 Braunschweig

The Federal Biological Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry (BBA), which originates from the Biological Division at the Empirical Health Office, founded in Berlin in 1898, is a federal authority in its own right and federal research centre in the jurisdiction of the Federal Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Forestry (BML). Its tasks are mainly defined by the Plant Protection Act as well as the Genetechnology Act and include among others:

- research in the whole field of plant protection and stored products protection,
- examination and authorization of plant protection products,
- registration and examination of plant protection equipment,
- participation in authorizing genetically modified organisms deliberately released and issued, including investigations on biosafety,
- cooperation in assessing chemicals of environmental relevance according to the Chemicals Act.

The research work of the BBA is providing decisional foundations not only in the political field of food, agriculture and forestry but also for consumer policy. There are more than 900 employees, including 300 scientists, who work at the BBA.

Anschrift für **Tauschsendungen**:

Please address **exchanges** to:

Adressez **échanges**, s'il vous plaît:

Para el **canje** dirigirse por favor a:

Bibliothek der Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft

Königin-Luise-Straße 19, D-14195 Berlin (Dahlem)

Postanschrift: 14191 Berlin

**Mitteilungen aus der Biologischen Bundesanstalt
für Land- und Forstwirtschaft
Berlin-Dahlem**



**Data requirements and criteria for decision-making
in the European Union and the Federal Republic of
Germany for the authorization procedure of plant
protection products**

compiled by

**the Department for Plant Protection Products and Application Techniques
(Abteilung für Pflanzenschutzmittel und Anwendungstechnik)**

Federal Biological Research Centre
for Agriculture and Forestry
Braunschweig

Heft 358

Berlin 1998

*Herausgegeben von der
Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft,
Berlin-Dahlem*

Parey Buchverlag Berlin
Kurfürstendamm 57, D-10707 Berlin

ISSN 0067-5849

ISBN 3-8263-3242-3

Die Deutsche Bibliothek – CIP-Einheitsaufnahme

Data requirements and criteria for decision making in the European Union and the Federal Republic of Germany for the authorization procedure of plant protection products / comp. by the Department for Plant Protection Products and Application Techniques (Abteilung für Pflanzenschutzmittel und Anwendungstechnik), Federal Biological Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry, Braunschweig, Hrsg. von der Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Berlin-Dahlem. – Berlin: Parey, [in Komm.], 1998.

(Mitteilungen aus der Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft Berlin-Dahlem; H. 358)

ISBN 3-8263-3242-3

© Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft

Das Werk ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Die dadurch begründeten Rechte, insbesondere die der Übersetzung, des Nachdrucks, des Vortrages, der Entnahme von Abbildungen, der Funksendung, der Wiedergabe auf photomechanischem oder ähnlichem Wege und der Speicherung in Datenverarbeitungsanlagen, bleiben auch bei nur auszugsweiser Verwertung, vorbehalten. Eine Vervielfältigung dieses Werkes oder von Teilen dieses Werkes ist auch im Einzelfall nur in den Grenzen der gesetzlichen Bestimmungen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes der Bundesrepublik Deutschland vom 9. September 1965 in der Fassung vom 24. Juni 1985 zulässig. Sie ist grundsätzlich vergütungspflichtig. Zuwiderhandlungen unterliegen den Strafbestimmungen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes.

1998 Kommissionsverlag Parey Buchverlag Berlin, Kurfürstendamm 57, 10707 Berlin Printed in Germany by Arno Brynda, Berlin

Data requirements and criteria for decision-making in the European Union and the Federal Republic of Germany for the authorization procedure of plant protection products

Contents	Page
Foreword	5
Efficacy and phytotoxicity	
Data requirements	10
Criteria for decision-making	19
Identity/further information	
Data requirements	24
Physico-chemical and technical data	
Data requirements	31
Criteria for decision-making	40
Analytical methods (formulation/residue analysis)	
Data requirements	43
Criteria for decision-making	48
Fate and behaviour in soil, water, air/ Predicted environmental concentrations (PEC)	
Data requirements	54
Criteria for decision-making	63
Effects on non-target organisms	
Soil micro-organisms	
Data requirements	70
Criteria for decision-making	72
Earthworms	
Data requirements	75
Criteria for decision-making	78
Soil macroorganisms (organic matter breakdown)	
Data requirements	80
Criteria for decision-making	80
Other non-target organisms (flora and fauna)	
Data requirements	82
Criteria for decision-making	82

Honeybees		
	Data requirements	84
	Criteria for decision-making	87
Beneficial arthropods (other than honeybees)		
	Data requirements	90
	Criteria for decision-making	93
Birds and mammals		
	Data requirements	97
	Criteria for decision-making	99
Aquatic organisms		
	Data requirements	101
	Criteria for decision-making	104
Residue behaviour in or on treated products, food and feed		
	Data requirements	107
	Criteria for decision-making	114
Toxicology		
	Data requirements	118
	Criteria for decision-making	129
Glossary and explanations		136
Literature		139

FOREWORD

In the Member States of the European Union (EU), plant protection products require authorization. Uniform requirements for documents and criteria for decision-making for the various areas of testing are governed by the "Council Directive of 5 July 1991 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market (91/414/EEC)".

In the Federal Republic of Germany, the Plant Protection Act of 15 September 1986*, in the version of 27 June 1994, is in the process of being amended to enable the implementation of Directive 91/414/EEC into national law. This forthcoming implementation will involve in particular the introduction of a fundamentally new procedure by stages. The first stage involves the testing of an active substance on EU level. A positive assessment of the active substance results in inclusion of the active substance in Annex I of the Directive. The second stage then incorporates the results of this testing in the decision by the Member States of the EU, upon application, as to whether the plant protection products should be authorized.

The Federal Biological Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry (BBA) is the authority in Germany responsible for the authorization of plant protection products. The Federal Institute for Health Protection of Consumer and Veterinary Medicine (BgVV) regarding effects on human and animal health, and the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (UBA) concerning prevention of damage through contamination of water and air, as well as waste from plant protection products, are also involved in the comprehensive evaluation procedure. Their right of participation regarding consent for authorization is established by law. Through the intended amendment of the Plant Protection Act, the previous regulation regarding this consent for authorization will be extended to include the area of soil for the BgVV and the complete area of the natural balance (environment) for the UBA.

The tables compiled here enable direct comparison between data requirements and criteria for decision-making for all areas of testing relevant to the authorization procedure for plant protection products under Directive 91/414/EEC and the regulations issued by the EU and the present procedure in Germany in this respect. The participating authorities in the consent for authorization mentioned above were involved in this compilation.

* The amended Plant Protection Act of 14 May 1998 will come into force on 1 July 1998.

The tables for the area of toxicology were compiled in intensive co-operation with the BgVV.

An essential aim of the tables is the condensed tabular presentation of this comparison for the following areas of testing:

- Efficacy and phytotoxicity
- Identity
- Physico-chemical and technical data
- Analytical methods (formulation and residue analysis)
- Fate and behaviour in soil, water and air
- Effects on non-target organisms
- Residue behaviour
- Toxicology.

Furthermore, the tables aim to show the necessary action to be taken regarding further harmonization of data requirements and criteria for decision-making. This translated version of the BBA-Heft 354 (1998) contains some supplements in a few chapters.

“Efficacy and phytotoxicity“ is the oldest area of testing and also the starting point for the testing procedure in Germany. The principles for this official testing, which at the time was optional, were determined as early as 1919/20. This area of testing has been extended in the course of time. The applicant must state the application modalities for each field of use of a plant protection product (amount of product and water used, timing and application technique, maximum number of applications) and provide proof of sufficient efficacy. This is a prerequisite for the evaluation of documents in the areas of “Fate and behaviour in soil, water and air“, “Effects on non-target organisms“, “Residue behaviour“ and “Toxicology“. Studies of the latter areas serve to point out and prevent possible dangers to human and animal health and the natural balance due to the use of plant protection products. The principle of prevention is restricted however, in the field of natural balance, because the effects on the natural balance have to be tolerable in the light of current scientific knowledge.

Some table headings are as to data requirements for the individual areas of testing are explained as follows:

Data Requirements

Assignment

The figures concerned employed by the EU originate from Directive 91/414/EEC; numbers under Annex II concern information on active substances and under Annex III on the preparation (plant protection product). Corresponding national data refer to the BBA Directive I, 1-2 (1990) or the current application form for authorization of a plant protection product (BBA AP-01-05).

Reasons/Conditions

“Obligatory“ is stated as a reason if the tests concerned are demanded by either the EU or Germany. As a rule, application and exposition outdoors are prerequisites for this.

Number of trials (Directive)

Statements as to the number of trials necessary are based on international guidelines, whose application the EU provides for in its documents, and on BBA guidelines. However, for the areas of “Efficacy and phytotoxicity“ and “Effects on non-target organisms“, individual statements are not made in the guidelines. Statements as to the number of trials in the appropriate columns of the tables therefore reflect the present situation.

Most of the study methods (guidelines) of the EU mentioned here portray the incorporation of methods (guidelines) which were developed by international organizations (e.g. OECD).

After the comparative list of data requirements, the criteria for decision-making for the individual areas of testing are presented. In this respect, the following explanatory notes have been made:

Criteria for decision-making

EU criteria for decision-making

The criteria relevant to the decision for authorization of plant protection products according to Annex VI, part C of Directive 91/414/EEC are shown in the tables.

BBA principles of evaluation

The principles of evaluation for the BBA in deciding on the authorization of plant protection products are shown in the tables. The basis is mainly formed by the principles of evaluation as published in Reports from the BBA in 1993 in the English version of issue No. 285. In the area of "Effects on aquatic organisms" the principles of evaluation are already in accordance with Annex VI of Directive 91/414/EEC, on the grounds of international usage. In respect to this area the contents of the tables are largely identical.

The conclusions on authorization resulting from EU criteria for decision-making according to Annex VI of Directive 91/414/EEC and the BBA principles of evaluation are listed in the tables. They include a thorough risk assessment which is made for the purpose of authorization on the grounds of the so-called "Unless..." clauses under Annex VI. These clauses however have to be defined. In some areas of testing - as already in the current national procedure - decisions on authorization can still be made dependent on a risk/benefit analysis. This possibility has not been provided for in the area of the environmental under the criteria in Annex VI.

According to article 20 of the Plant Protection Act, the label contains above all safety phrases and warnings as well as information required or deemed applicable by the BBA regarding instructions for use (Article 15, Paragraph 3 of the Plant Protection Act). They have not been listed in this compilation, because the harmonization concerning labelling of plant protection products on EU level has not been completed yet.

Necessary action and/or research

The respective columns of the tables mainly state the action to be taken with respect to deficiencies, further developments and revisions for a functionally, increasingly harmonised authorization procedure within the Member States of the EU.

As the comparative presentation of the requirements regarding documents and criteria for decision-making shows, the EU procedure and the German authorization procedure have much in common. Deviations are there, where a clearer definition of the data requirements and criteria for decision-making is required, e.g. in the area of "Fate in air".

Braunschweig, March 1998



Professor Dr. Fred Klingauf

President of the Federal Biological Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry (BBA)

Acknowledgement

The German version of this publication the BBA-Heft 354 (1998): "Datenanforderungen und Entscheidungskriterien der Europäischen Union und der Bundesrepublik Deutschland im Zulassungsverfahren für Pflanzenschutzmittel" was translated into English by ZENECA Agro.

I would like to express my particular thanks to this company for the thorough translation.

Data Requirements:

Efficacy and Phytotoxicity

Data requirements: Efficacy and phytotoxicity

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Efficacy - Preliminary trials For determining the biological activity and the necessary product dose rate	Preparation III 6.1	Upon request screening trials to determine the effective dose rate	No information	C/2 No. 9	Obligatory, examination of the dose rate applied for	At least 3 (see literature: BBA, Teil I, Nr. 1 - 2)
- Efficacy trials ¹ For controlling harmful organisms or achieving other intended purposes	Preparation III 6.2	Obligatory	Sufficient number (EPPO guidelines or upon request according to guidelines which at least satisfy the requirements of the EPPO guidelines)	C/2 No. 7	Obligatory	3 - 15 Per field of use (BBA, Teil II, 1; Reihe 3 - 22)

¹ Testing the efficacy of additives is not provided for by the European Union, but it is obligatory in Germany in the context of the current national authorization procedure (Plant Protection Act Article 2 Para. 1 No. 9 f in connection with Article 15 Para. 1 No. 1 and 3).

Data requirements: Efficacy and phytotoxicity (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
- Actual or possible development of resistance	Preparation III 6.3	Obligatory	No information	—	Not requested in the context of the authorization; however, must be notified by the holder of authorization in case of subsequent occurrence	No information

Data requirements: Efficacy and phytotoxicity (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
<p>Effects on the quantity and/or quality of the yield of treated plants or plant products</p> <p>- Effects on the quality of plants or plant products</p> <p>Evaluation of possible effects on colour, odour or other quality characteristics of plants or plant products</p>	<p>Preparation III 6.4</p> <p>Preparation III 6.4.1</p>	<p>Trials with double the normal dose rate</p> <p>Presentation partly obligatory, otherwise in case of justified assumption</p>	<p>Sufficient number</p>	<p>C/2 No. 4</p>	<p>Trials with the maximum dose rate applied for</p> <p>Presentation partly obligatory, otherwise in case of justified assumption</p> <p>Example wines: evaluation of possible effects on fermentation, odour and taste</p>	<p>6 - 12</p> <p>White and red wine varieties (BBA, Teil II, Reihe 22 - 9)</p>

Data requirements: Efficacy and phytotoxicity (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
<p>- Impact on processing</p> <p>Evaluation of possible detrimental effects on processing and the quality of processed products</p>	Preparation III 6.4.2	Obligatory if the treated plants or plant products are to be processed, if considerable residues are present at the time of harvest, or if there is another justified assumption	Sufficient number	C/2 No. 4	Presentation for wines obligatory, otherwise in case of justified assumption	No information
<p>- Impact on the yield of treated plants or plant products</p> <p>Evaluation of a possible decrease of yield or storage loss of treated plants or plant products</p>	Preparation III 6.4.3	<p>Testing in the context of efficacy trials</p> <p>Obligatory, as far as relevant</p>	Sufficient number	C/2 No. 7	<p>Testing in the context of efficacy trials</p> <p>Obligatory, as far as relevant</p>	See efficacy trials

Data requirements: Efficacy and phytotoxicity (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
<p>Phytotoxicity to crops (including varieties) or crop products</p> <p>Evaluation of possible effects on emergence, growth and development and other damage to plants</p>	Preparation III 6.5	Obligatory in the context of efficacy trials (for herbicides with double the normal dose rate)	Sufficient number (EPPO guidelines, especially No. 135 or upon request according to guidelines which at least satisfy the requirements of the EPPO guidelines)	C/2 No. 7	Obligatory in the context of efficacy trials	See efficacy trials
<p>Observation of undesirable or unintentional side-effects</p> <p>- Effects on succeeding crops</p> <p>Field trials with common succeeding crops to evaluate possible harmful effects on such crops</p>	Preparation III 6.6 Preparation III 6.6.1	Obligatory in case of justified assumption	No information (EPPO draft guideline: effects on succeeding crops)	C/2 No. 7 No. 9	Obligatory in case of justified assumption	At least 3 from two vegetation periods (BBA, Teil VI, 13-1; preliminary guideline)

Data requirements: Efficacy and phytotoxicity (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
- Effects on other plants, including adjacent crops Observation in the context of efficacy trials to evaluate possible harmful effects on other plants, including adjacent crops	Preparation III 6.6.2	Obligatory in case of justified assumption	See efficacy trials	C/2 No. 7 No. 9	Obligatory in case of justified assumption	See efficacy trials

Data requirements: Efficacy and phytotoxicity (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
<p>- Effects on treated plants or plant products used for multiplication</p> <p>Trials for evaluating possible harmful effects on plants used for multiplication</p>	Preparation III 6.6.3	Obligatory	Sufficient number (in case of seeds, according to ISTA methods)	C/2 No. 9	<p>Obligatory:</p> <p>In case of treated seed for vigour in cereals except for maize and</p> <p>in case of growth regulators for acceleration of ripening in oil seeds and large-grain leguminosae</p>	<p>At least 3</p> <p>(BBA, Teil II, 4-1.1.2)</p> <p>(BBA, Teil II, 15-1.1.6.1)</p>

Data requirements: Efficacy and phytotoxicity (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
<p>- Effects on beneficials and other organisms except for target organisms</p> <p>Observation in the context of efficacy trials to identify positive and negative effects on other harmful organisms, beneficial organisms and the remaining flora and fauna</p>	Preparation III 6.6.4	Obligatory (special studies in relation to the effects on non-target organisms)	See efficacy trials	C/2 No. 7	Information on peculiarities (special studies in relation to the effects on non-target organisms)	See efficacy trials

Criteria for Decision-Making:

Efficacy and Phytotoxicity

Criteria for decision-making: Efficacy and phytotoxicity

Study	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
<p>Efficacy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Preliminary trials - Efficacy trials (including, yield assessments, if appropriate) - Development of resistance 	<p>Intensity, uniformity and long-term effect of control, protection or other intended effects must</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - be comparable with a suitable reference product - show a clear benefit <p>⇒ Positive evaluation*</p>	<p>Sufficient efficacy according to the state of scientific knowledge and the state of the art</p> <p>⇒ Positive evaluation*</p>	<p>No difference</p>	<p>Preparation of guidelines for trials to determine the lowest dose rate and the development of resistance</p> <p>⇒ EPPO</p> <p>Preparation and revision of efficacy guidelines</p> <p>⇒ EPPO / BBA</p>

* Authorization with the corresponding field of use, if necessary with requirements. The efficacy and phytotoxicity are evaluated for each field of use in consideration of the necessary studies mentioned above and, if necessary, after a risk/ benefit assessment.

Criteria for decision-making: Efficacy and phytotoxicity (continued)

Study	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
<p>Effects on the quantity and/or quality of the yield of treated plants or plant products</p> <p>- Impact on the yield of treated plants or plant products</p>	<p>Yield not less than without treatment, unless the plant protection product has other benefits (e.g. enhanced quality)</p> <p>⇒ Positive evaluation*</p>	<p>Yield not less than without application, unless the plant protection product has other benefits (e.g. enhanced quality)</p> <p>⇒ Positive evaluation*</p>	<p>No difference</p>	<p>No need</p>
<p>Phytotoxicity to crops (including varieties) or crop products</p>	<p>No phytotoxic effects, unless the plant protection product has other benefits</p> <p>⇒ Positive evaluation*</p>	<p>No phytotoxic effects, unless the plant protection product has other benefits</p> <p>⇒ Positive evaluation*</p>	<p>No difference</p>	<p>See efficacy trials</p>

* Authorization with the corresponding field of use, if necessary with requirements. The efficacy and phytotoxicity are evaluated for each field of use in consideration of the necessary studies mentioned above and, if necessary, after a risk/benefit assessment.

Criteria for decision-making: Efficacy and phytotoxicity (continued)

Study	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
<p>Observation of undesirable or unintentional side-effects</p> <p>- Effects on succeeding crops</p>	<p>No unacceptable detrimental effects on succeeding crops, unless endangered crops are excluded</p> <p>⇒ Positive evaluation*</p>	<p>No unacceptable detrimental effects on succeeding main crops</p> <p>⇒ Positive evaluation*</p>	<p>In the EU procedure, crops, including main crops, can be excluded as succeeding crops</p>	<p>Preparation of a guideline ⇒ EPPO</p> <p>Development of suitable simulation models for assessing the risk to succeeding crops</p> <p>⇒ BBA</p>
<p>- Effects on other plants, including adjacent crops</p>	<p>No unacceptable detrimental effects on adjacent crops</p> <p>⇒ Positive evaluation*</p>	<p>No unacceptable detrimental effects on adjacent crops</p> <p>⇒ Positive evaluation*</p>	<p>No difference</p>	<p>No need</p>

* Authorization with the corresponding field of use, if necessary with requirements. The efficacy and phytotoxicity are evaluated for each field of use in consideration of the necessary studies mentioned above and, if necessary, after a risk/benefit assessment.

Criteria for decision-making: Efficacy and phytotoxicity (continued)

Study	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Effects on treated plants or plant products used for multiplication	No unacceptable detrimental effects on plants or plant products ⇒ Positive evaluation*	No unacceptable detrimental effects on plants or plant products ⇒ Positive evaluation*	No difference	No need
Risk minimisation by:	Conditions at the Member States' discretion	Conditions	—	Revision of conditions ⇒ BBA

* Authorization with the corresponding field of use, if necessary with requirements. The efficacy and phytotoxicity are evaluated for each field of use in consideration of the necessary studies mentioned above and, if necessary, after a risk/benefit assessment.

Data requirements:

Identity / further information

Data requirements: Identity

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Active substance						
Applicant	II 1.1	Obligatory	—	A/1 No. 4	Obligatory	—
Manufacturer	II 1.2	Obligatory	—	B/5 No. 2	Obligatory	—
Common name and synonyms	II 1.3	Obligatory	(ISO 1750)	B/1 No. 1	Obligatory	(ISO 1750)
Chemical name	II 1.4	Obligatory	(67/548/EEC Annex I)	B/6 No. 3	Obligatory	—
Manufacturer's code number(s)	II 1.5	Obligatory	—	—	—	—
CAS, EEC and CIPAC numbers	II 1.6	Obligatory	—	B/6 No. 4/5	Obligatory	—
Molecular and structural formulas	II 1.7	Obligatory	—	B/6 No. 6/7	Obligatory	—
Method of manufacture	II 1.8	Obligatory	—	B/5 No. 3	Obligatory	—
Minimum content	II 1.9	Obligatory	—	B/5 No. 1	Obligatory	—

Data requirements: Identity (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Identity of isomers, impurities and additives	II 1.10	Obligatory	—	B/5 No. 9	Obligatory	—
Analytical profile of batches	II 1.11	Obligatory	5	—	—	—
Preparation						
Applicant	III 1.1	Obligatory	—	A/1 No. 4	Obligatory	—
Manufacturer of the preparation and the active substances	III 1.2	Obligatory	—	B/5 No. 2	Obligatory	—
Trade name	III 1.3	Obligatory	—	A/1 No. 1	Obligatory	—
Composition of the preparation	III 1.4					
- Content of both technical and pure active substance	III 1.4.1	Obligatory	(Art. 6 Para. 2 78/631/EEC)	B/1 No. 1	Obligatory	—
- Content of formulants	III 1.4.1	Obligatory	(Art. 6 Para. 2 78/631/EEC)	B/1 No. 2	Obligatory	—

Data requirements: Identity (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Active substance: - ISO common names, CIPAC number, EEC, EINECS, ELINCS numbers	III 1.4.2	Obligatory	(ISO 1750)	B/1 No. 1 B/6 No. 1-5	Obligatory	(ISO 1750)
Formulants: - Common names, IUPAC, CA names, structural formulas, EEC, EINECS, ELINCS, CAS num- bers	III 1.4.3	Obligatory	(67/548/EEC Annex I)	B/1 No. 2	Obligatory	—
- Function	III 1.4.4	Obligatory	—	B/1 No. 2	Obligatory	—
Physical state and type of preparation:	III 1.5	Obligatory	—	B/1 No. 3	Obligatory	—
- Type and code of preparation	III 1.5.1	Obligatory	(GIFAP monograph No. 2)	B/1 No. 3	Obligatory	(GIFAP monograph No. 2)

Data requirements: Further information

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Active substance						
Recommended methods and precautions for handling, storage, transport, fire	II 3.7	Safety data sheet obligatory	(Art. 27 67/548/EEC)	—	Optional	(Art. 27 67/548/EEC)
Procedures for destruction or decontamination	II 3.8					
- Controlled incineration	II 3.8.1	> 60% halogens	—	—	—	—
- Other methods for disposal	II 3.8.2		—	—	—	—
Emergency measures in case of accident	II 3.9	Procedure for decontamination of water obligatory	—	—	—	—

Data requirements: Further information (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Preparation						
Packaging, compatibility with the preparation	III 4.1	Obligatory	—	A/1 No. 2 C/2 No. 3	Obligatory Type and size of packaging Domestic and allotment garden use	— —
- Description	III 4.1.1	Obligatory	(FAO packaging guidelines)	—	—	—
- Suitability	III 4.1.2	Obligatory	(ADR 3552-3556, 3558, 3560) (ISO 8317)	—	—	—
- Resistance	III 4.1.3	Obligatory	(GIFAP monograph No. 17)	—	—	—
- Cleaning procedures	III 4.2	Obligatory	—	—	—	—
Methods and precautions for handling, storage, transport, fire	III 4.4	Obligatory	(ISO TR 9122)	—	—	—

Data requirements: Further information (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Emergency measures in case of accident	III 4.5	Obligatory	—	—	—	—
Procedures for destruction or decontamination, including packaging	III 4.6					
- Possibility of neutralisation	III 4.6.1	Obligatory	—	—	—	—
- Controlled incineration	III 4.6.2	> 60% halogens	—	B/2 No. 5	> 60 % halogens	Herrmann and Johnke (1992); Claussen et al. (1992)
- Other methods to dispose of	III 4.6.3	Obligatory	—	—	—	—

Data requirements:

Physico-chemical and technical data

Data requirements: Physico-chemical data

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Active substance						
Melting point and boiling point	II 2.1	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC A1)	B/6 No. 16	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC A1)
			1 (92/69/EEC A2)	B/6 No. 17		1 (92/69/EEC A2)
Relative density	II 2.2	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC A3)	B/6 No. 11	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC A3)
Vapour pressure, volatility	II 2.3	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC A4)	B/7 No. 23/24	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC A4)
Appearance (physical state)	II 2.4	Obligatory	—	B/6 No. 13-15	Obligatory	—
Spectra (UV/visible, IR, NMR, MS), molecular extinction at relevant wavelengths	II 2.5	Obligatory	—	B/6 No. 18-21	Obligatory	—
Solubility in water, including influence of pH (4-10)	II 2.6	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC A6)	B/7 No. 26	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC A6)
Solubility in organic solvents	II 2.7	Obligatory	1 (MT 181)	B/7 No. 27	Obligatory	—

Data requirements: Physico-chemical data (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
n-octanol/water partition coefficient, including influence of pH (4-10)	II 2.8	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC A8)	B/7 No. 28	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC A8)
Stability in water, hydrolysis rate, photochemical degradation, quantum yield and identity of the degradation product(s), dissociation constant	II 2.9	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC C7) 1 (SETAC) 1 (OECD 112)	B/7 No. 28.1 B/12 No. 2.6 B/7 No. 29	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC C7) 1 (OECD Draft) 1 (OECD 112)
Stability in air, degradation, photochemical, identity of the degradation product(s)	II 2.10	Obligatory	1 (SETAC)	B/13 No. 3.3	Volatilisation > 20%	1 (BBA IV, 6-1)
Flammability, auto-flammability	II 2.11	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC A10, A11, A12) 1 (92/69/EEC A15, A16, UN-Bowes-Cameron-Cage-Test)	— —		— —

Data requirements: Physico-chemical data (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Flash point	II 2.12	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC A9)	—	—	—
Explosive properties	II 2.13	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC A14)	—	—	—
Surface tension	II 2.14	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC A5)	—	—	—
Oxidising properties	II 2.15	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC A17)	—	—	—
Preparation						
Appearance (colour and odour)	III 2.1	If appropriate	—	—	—	—
Explosive and oxidising properties	III 2.2	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC A14) 1 (92/69/EEC A17)	—	—	—

Data requirements: Physico-chemical data (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Flash point, other indications on flammability or auto-flammability	III 2.3	Obligatory	<p style="text-align: center;">1 (92/69/EEC A9)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 (92/69/EEC A10, A11, A12)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 (92/69/EEC A15, A16, UN-Bowes-Cameron-Cage-Test)</p>	<p>B/3 No. 4</p> <p>B/3 No. 6</p> <p>B/3 No. 5 + 7</p>	Obligatory	<p style="text-align: center;">1 (92/69/EEC A9)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 (92/69/EEC A10, A11, A12)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 (92/69/EEC A15, A16, UN-Bowes-Cameron-Cage-Test)</p>
Acidity/alkalinity, pH value if necessary	III 2.4	Obligatory	<p style="text-align: center;">1 (CIPAC MT 31, MT 75)</p>	B/3 No. 1	Obligatory	<p style="text-align: center;">1 (CIPAC MT 31, MT75)</p>
Viscosity and surface tension	III 2.5	Obligatory	<p style="text-align: center;">1 (OECD 114)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 (92/69/EEC A5)</p>	B/3 No. 9	Obligatory	<p style="text-align: center;">1 (CIPAC MT 22)</p>
Relative density and bulk density	III 2.6	Obligatory	<p style="text-align: center;">1 (92/69/EEC A3)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 (CIPAC MT 33, MT 159, MT 169)</p>	<p>B/3 No. 2</p> <p>B/3 No. 3</p>	Obligatory	<p style="text-align: center;">1 (92/69/EEC A3)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 (CIPAC MT 33, MT 159, MT 169)/ (BBA III, 2-1/1)</p>

Data requirements: Physico-chemical data (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Stability after storage	III 2.7	Obligatory	<p style="text-align: center;">1 (CIPAC MT 46)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 (CIPAC MT 39, MT 48, MT 51, MT 54)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 (GIFAP Monograph No. 17)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">B/4 No. 23</p> <p style="text-align: center;">B/4 No. 24</p> <p style="text-align: center;">—</p>	Obligatory	<p style="text-align: center;">1 (CIPAC MT 46)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 (CIPAC MT 39, MT 48, MT 51, MT 54)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">—</p>

Data requirements: Technical data

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Preparation						
Wettability	III 2.8.1	Obligatory	1 (CIPAC MT 53.3)	B/3 No. 19	Obligatory	1 (CIPAC MT 53.3)
Persistent foaming	III 2.8.2	Obligatory	1 (CIPAC MT 47)	B/3 No. 12	Obligatory	1 (CIPAC MT 47)
Suspensibility, suspension stability	III 2.8.3	Obligatory	1 (CIPAC MT 15, MT 161, MT 168)	B/3 No. 20	Obligatory	1 (CIPAC MT 15, MT 161, MT 168)/ (BBA III, 2-1/1)
			1 (CIPAC MT 160, MT 174, MT 180)	—	—	1 (CIPAC MT 160, MT 174)/ (BBA III, 2-1/1)

Data requirements: Technical data (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Dilution stability	III 2.8.4	Obligatory	1(CIPAC MT 41)	—	—	—
Dry sieve test and wet sieve test	III 2.8.5	Obligatory	1 (CIPAC MT 59.1)	B/3 No. 13	Obligatory	1 (CIPAC MT 59.1)
			1 (CIPAC MT 59.3, MT 167)	B/3 No. 14		1 (CIPAC MT 167)/ (BBA III, 2-1/1)
Size distribution of particles	III 2.8.6.1	Powders, water-dispersible granules Granules for direct application	1(OECD 110)	B/3 No. 15	Powders, water-dispersible granules Granules for direct application	1 (OECD 110)
			1 (CIPAC MT 58.3)	B/3 No. 15		1 (CIPAC MT 58.3)
Dust content	—	—	—	B/3 No. 15	Air jet screening (< 50 µm) Granules	1 (BBA III, 2-1/2)
			1 (CIPAC MT 171)	B/3 No. 16		1 (CIPAC MT 171)/ (BBA III, 2-1/2)
Friability and attrition characteristics	III 2.8.6.3	Granules	1 (CIPAC MT 178) (Attrition characteristics)	B/3 No. 18 (Attrition characteristics)	Granules	1 (CIPAC MT 178) (Attrition characteristics)
Emulsifiability, emulsion stability and re-emulsifiability	III 2.8.7.1	Emulsifiable preparations	1 (CIPAC MT 36, MT 173, MT 180)	B/4 No. 21	Emulsifiable preparations	1 (CIPAC MT 36, MT 173)

Data requirements: Technical data (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Stability	III 2.8.7.2	Diluted emulsions and preparations which are emulsions	1 (CIPAC MT 20, MT 173)	B/4 No. 21	Diluted emulsions and preparations which are emulsions	1 (CIPAC MT 20, MT 173)
Flowability	III 2.8.8.1	Granules	1 (CIPAC MT 172)	B/3 No. 11	Granules	1 (CIPAC MT 172)/ (BBA III; 2-1/ 2)
Pourability	III 2.8.8.2	Suspensions	1 (CIPAC MT 148)	B/3 No. 10	Suspensions	1 (CIPAC MT 148)
Dustability	III 2.8.8.3	Dustable powders	1 (CIPAC MT 34)	B/3 No. 17	Dustable powders	1 (CIPAC MT 34)
Physical compatibility of tank mixes	III 2.9.1	Obligatory	(company-internal method or practical trial)	—	Obligatory	(company-internal method or practical trial)
Chemical compatibility of tank mixes	III 2.9.2	Obligatory	—	—	—	—
Adhesion to and distribution on seeds	III 2.10	Seed treatment	1 (CIPAC MT 175)	B/4 No. 22	Seed treatment	1 (CIPAC MT 175)/ (BBA II, 4-1.1.3)
Summary and evaluation (III 2.1 - III 2.10)	III 2.11	Obligatory	—	—	—	—

Criteria for decision-making:

Physico-chemical properties

Criteria for decision-making: Physico-chemical properties

Physico-chemical properties	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
FAO specification	Compliance with FAO specification ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible*) No FAO specification existing. The following properties are ensured: a) chemical properties (cf. Annex VI) b) physical properties (cf. Annex VI) ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible*)	Compliance with FAO specification ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible*) No FAO specification existing. The following properties are ensured: a) chemical properties (cf. Annex VI) b) physical properties (cf. Annex VI) ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible*)	Criteria identical	Not applicable

*) If one or several criteria for decision-making are not satisfied, authorization is only possible when the benefits of the plant protection product outweigh its potential side-effects provided its orderly application is ensured.

Benefits:

- Advantages and compatibility in the context of integrated plant protection or in ecological farming.
- Simplification of strategies for minimising the risk of developing resistance.
- Necessity of a larger selection of active substances or biochemical effect mechanisms, e.g. for application in connection with strategies to prevent accelerated degradation in the soil.
- Reduced risk to users and consumers.
- Reduced environmental contamination and reduced effects on non-target organisms.

Criteria for decision-making: Physico-chemical properties (continued)

Physico-chemical properties	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Tank mixes	Products or additives are chemically and physically compatible ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible*)	Products or additives are chemically and physically compatible ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible*)	Criteria identical	Development of test guidelines ⇒ CIPAC

*) If one or several criteria for decision-making are not satisfied, authorization is only possible when the benefits of the plant protection product outweigh its potential side-effects provided its orderly application is ensured.

Benefits:

- Advantages and compatibility in the context of integrated plant protection or in ecological farming.
- Simplification of strategies for minimising the risk of developing resistance.
- Necessity of a larger selection of active substances or biochemical effect mechanisms, e.g. for application in connection with strategies to prevent accelerated degradation in the soil.
- Reduced risk to users and consumers.
- Reduced environmental contamination and reduced effects on non-target organisms.

Data requirements:

Analytical methods (formulation/residue analysis)

Data requirements: Formulation analysis

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Method for the analysis of the technical active substance	II 4.1					
- Determination of the pure active substance in the active substance as manufactured	II 4.1.1	Obligatory	(CIPAC Handbooks etc.)	—	—	—
- Determination of significant and/or relevant impurities and additives in the technical active substance	II 4.1.2	Obligatory	—	B/2 No. 7	Optional	—
- Specificity	II 4.1.3.1	Obligatory	—	—	No special requirements	—
- Linearity	II 4.1.3.2	Obligatory	—	—	No special requirements	—
- Accuracy	II 4.1.3.3	Obligatory	—	—	No special requirements	—
- Repeatability	II 4.1.3.4	Obligatory	at least 5	—	No special requirements	—

Data requirements: Formulation analysis (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Method for the analysis of the preparation	III 5.1					
- Determination of the active substance in the preparation	III 5.1.1	Obligatory	(CIPAC Handbooks etc.)	B/2 No. 7	Obligatory	(CIPAC Handbooks etc.)
- Determination of relevant impurities in the preparation	III 5.1.2	Obligatory	—	B/2 No. 7	Optional	—
- Determination of formulants or constituents of formulants		Optional	—	B/2 No. 7	Optional	—
- Specificity		Obligatory	—	—	—	—
- Linearity		Obligatory	—	—	—	—
- Accuracy		Obligatory	—	—	—	—
- Repeatability		Obligatory	—	—	—	—

Data requirements: Residue Analysis

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Food of plant origin, harvested products – Independent laboratory validation	II 4.2.1 III 5.2	Maximum residue level has been/is being fixed Obligatory	At least 4 matrix types (Draft guidance document on residue analytical methods)	B/8 No. 1.3 —	Maximum residue level has been/is being fixed —	Crop group-dependent (BBA I, 1 - 2) —
Food of animal origin – Independent laboratory validation	II 4.2.1 III 5.2	Maximum residue level has been/is being fixed Obligatory	3 - 6 matrix types (Draft guidance document on residue analytical methods)	B/8 No. 2.4 —	Maximum residue level has been/is being fixed —	3 - 6 matrix types (Siebers et al. 1995) —
Soil	II 4.2.2 III 5.2	Obligatory	1 matrix type (Draft guidance document on residue analytical methods)	B/8 No. 1.1	Obligatory	1 matrix type (BBA I, 1-2)
Water	II 4.2.3 III 5.2	Obligatory	2 matrix types (Draft guidance document on residue analytical methods)	B/8 No. 1.2	Obligatory	1 matrix type (BBA I, 1-2)

Data requirements: Residue analysis (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Air	II 4.2.4 III 5.2	Exposure of operators, workers or bystanders is likely to occur	1 - 2 matrix types (Draft guidance document on residue analytical methods)	B/8 No. 2.5	Obligatory	1-2 (Blacha-Puller et al. 1994)
Body fluids and tissues	II 4.2.5 III 5.2	Active substance classification T+ or T	At least 2 matrix types (Draft guidance document on residue analytical methods)	—	—	—

Criteria for Decision-Making:

Analytical Methods

Criteria for decision-making: Analytical methods

Analysis of Preparation	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Procedure	Determination and identification of the active substance or active substances, possibly also of toxicologically, ecotoxicologically or ecologically significant impurities and other preparation constituents ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible*)	Determination and identification of the active substance or active substances, possibly also of toxicologically, ecotoxicologically or ecologically significant impurities and other preparation constituents ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	Criteria identical	Not applicable

*) When requirements are not satisfied or not fully satisfied because this is not possible by the state of science and analytical technology, a time-limited authorization is granted when the methods can be justified regarding their suitability for the intended purpose.

Criteria for decision-making: Analytical methods (continued)

Residue analysis	EU criteria for decision-making*	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Procedure	Determination and confirmation of toxicologically, ecotoxicologically or ecologically significant residues possible	Reliable determination of residues including degradation and reaction products that may be harmful to health	Determination of additional degradation products in the EU procedure	Not applicable
- Recovery	<p>⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible</p> <p>Rate: 70-110% (average) with relative standard deviation ≤ 20%</p> <p>⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible</p>	<p>⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible</p> <p>Rate: 70-110% (average) with relative standard deviation ≤ 20%</p> <p>⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible</p>	Criteria identical	Not applicable

*) Pursuant to Annexes II and III (Directive 96/46/EC) and Annex VI (Directive 97/57/EC) of Directive 91/414/EEC.

Criteria for decision-making: Analytical methods (continued)

Residue analysis	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
– Repeatability (food)	Repeatability is smaller than or equal to concentration-dependent guideline values (cf. Annex VI) Relative standard deviation of recoveries smaller or equal 20 % at limit of determination (cf Annex II, III and VI) ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	Relative standard deviation of recoveries smaller or equal 20 %	Repeatability is defined on the national level only via the variance of recovery rates	Interpretation of different requirements in Annex VI (recovery/repeatability) (the necessary interpretation is given in draft guidance document on residue analytical methods)
– Reproducibility (food)	Annex VI: Reproducibility is smaller than or equal to concentration-dependent values (cf. Annex VI) Annexes II and III: Reproducibility not necessary; instead independent laboratory validation ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	— —	Larger extent of validation in the EU Larger extent of validation in the EU	Interpretation of different requirements in Annex VI and in Annexes II and III. (see draft guidance document on residue analytical methods)

Criteria for decision-making: Analytical methods (continued)

Residue analysis	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
- Limits of determination (sensitivity) (treated plants, plant products, food, feed or products of animal origin)	Limit of determination smaller than or equal to (proposed) maximum residue level x concentration-dependent factor (cf. Annex VI) ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	Limits of determination smaller than or equal to maximum residue level ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	EU uses concentration-dependent factors	
- Soil	Limit of determination ≤ 0.05 mg/kg	Limit of determination ≤ 0.05 mg/kg	Criteria identical	Development of analytical methods for phytotoxic substances because the criteria can partly not be met ⇒ Industry
- Drinking water	Limit of determination ≤ 0.1 µg/l	Limit of determination ≤ 0.1 µg/l	Criteria identical	Not applicable

Criteria for decision-making: Analytical methods (continued)

Residue analysis	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
- Surface water	Limit of determination \leq NOEC or EC ₅₀ (most sensitive species, not belonging to target organisms)	—	So far not necessary on the national level	Not applicable
- Air	Limit of determination smaller than or equal to toxicologically justified limit	Limit of determination smaller than or equal to toxicologically justified limit	Criteria identical	Not applicable
- Specificity	Criterion not indicated	Blank smaller than or equal to limit of determination x 0.3	EU definition is missing	Establishing a criterion (see draft guidance document on residue analytical methods)
- Analytical equipment	Annex VI: Newest state of the art Annexes II and III: Equipment in common use	Equipment in common use		Interpretation of different requirements in Annex VI and in Annexes II and III (see draft guidance document on residue analytical methods)

Data Requirements:

Fate and behaviour in soil, water, air/
Predicted environmental concentrations (PEC)

Data requirements: Fate and behaviour in soil

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Route of degradation	II 7.1.1.1					
Aerobic degradation	II 7.1.1.1.1	Obligatory	1 (SETAC)	B/11 No. 1.1	Obligatory	1 (BBA IV, 4.1)
Supplementary studies - Anaerobic degradation	II 7.1.1.1.2	Anaerobic conditions	1 (SETAC)	—	—	—
- Soil photolysis		Obligatory	1 (SETAC)	—	—	—
Rate of degradation	II 7.1.1.2/ III 9.1.1					
Laboratory	II 7.1.1.2.1/ III 9.1.1.1					
- Aerobic degradation		Obligatory (20 °C) (active substance and relevant metabolites), obligatory (10 °C) possible calculation (active substance)	3 (SETAC)	B/11 No. 1.1	Obligatory	3 (BBA IV, 4.1)
			1 (SETAC)	—	—	—
- Anaerobic degradation		Anaerobic conditions	1 (SETAC)	—	—	—

Data requirements: Fate and behaviour in soil (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Field (active substance and if appropriate relevant metabolites)	II 7.1.1.2.2/ III 9.1.1.2					
- Soil degradation		DT50 _{lab} >60d (20 °C) In case of application under "cold climatic conditions": DT50 _{lab} >90 d (10 °C)	4 (SETAC)	B/11 No. 1.1	DT90 _{lab} >100d	4 (BBA IV, 4.1)
- Soil accumulation		DT90 _f >1a; application over several years	2	B/11 No. 1.1	DT90 _f >1a	On consultation
- Soil residues		DT50 _{lab} > 1/3 time interval between harvest and application	1	—	—	—

Data requirements: Fate and behaviour in soil (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Adsorption and Desorption	II 7.1.2	Obligatory (active substance) Obligatory (relevant metabolites)	4 (OECD 106) 3 (OECD 106)	B7/ No. 32	Obligatory (active substance) on consultation (relevant metabolites)	3 (OECD 106) On consultation (OECD 106)
Mobility	II 7.1.3/ III 9.1.2					
Laboratory						
- Column leaching	II 7.1.3.1/ III 9.1.2.1	K _{oc} from 7.1.2 inaccurate, active substance, relevant metabolites	4 (SETAC)	B/11 No. 1.2	Obligatory (active substance)	3 (BBA IV, 4.2)
- Aged residue column leaching	II 7.1.3.2/ III 9.1.2.1	No K _{oc} or leaching study (only for relevant metabolites)	1 (SETAC)	B/11 No. 1.2	> 10% in leachate (for active substance/relevant metabolites)	3 (BBA IV, 4.2)
Field						
- Lysimeter trials or - Field trials on leaching	II 7.1.3.3/ III 9.1.2.2	Expert judgement or the competent authority Implementation in Germany: in case of model calculation > 0.1 µg/l in leachate	1 (SETAC)	B/11 No. 1.2	Only lysimeter, in case of model calculation > 0.1 µg/l or aged residue column leaching >2% in leachate	1 (BBA IV, 4.3)

Data requirements: Fate and behaviour in water

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Abiotic degradation						
– Hydrolytic degradation	II 7.2.1.1/ II 2.9.1	Obligatory (active substance/ relevant metabolites)	3 (EEC C 7)	B/7 No. 28	Obligatory	3 (EEC C 7)
– Photolytic degradation	II 7.2.1.2/ II 2.9.2/ II 2.9.3	Obligatory (active substance/ relevant metabolites)	1 (SETAC)	B/12 No. 2.6	Obligatory (active substance)	1 (OECD draft)
Biological degradation	II 7.2.1.3					
– Ready biodegradability	II 7.2.1.3.1	If stipulated by 67/548/EEC	1 (EEC C 4)	B/11 No. 2.1	Water/sediment study not available	1 (OECD 301 AE)
– Water/sediment study	II 7.2.1.3.2	Obligatory	2 (SETAC)	B/11 No. 2.2	Active substance not readily biodegradable pursuant to B/11 No. 2.1	2 (BBA IV, 5-1)
Degradation in the saturated zone	II 7.2.1.4	Expert judgement or the competent authority	1	—	—	—

Data requirements: Fate and behaviour in air

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Photo-chemical oxidative degradation	II 2.10	Obligatory	1 (SETAC)	B/13 No. 3.3	Evaporation >20% after 24 h (calculation)	1 (BBA VI, 6.1)
Evaporation	II 7.2.2	Guideline in preparation	—	B/13 No. 3.1 B/13 No. 3.2	DT50 _{hydr. + phot.} >4d	1-2 (BBA VI, 6.1)

Data requirements: P E C (Predicted Environmental Concentrations)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
PEC (soil) Initial, short-term, long-term PEC	III 9.1.3	Obligatory	—	E/1	Obligatory	—
PEC (groundwater) Initial, short-term, long-term PEC	III 9.2.1	Obligatory	—	B/11 No. 1.2	With $K_{oc} < 500$, DT50 > 21 days: PELMO calculation/ lysimeter results	—
Determination of contamination paths and possible resulting additional field trials		Obligatory	—	B/11 No. 1.4	Obligatory	—
		Expert judgement or competent authority	On consultation	B/11 No. 1.2	Expert judgement or competent authority	On consultation
PEC (surface water) Initial, short-term, long-term PEC	III 9.2.3	Obligatory	—	E/2	Obligatory	—
Determination of contamination paths and possible resulting additional field trials		Obligatory	—	B/11 No. 1.4	Obligatory	—
		Expert judgement or the competent authority	On consultation	B/11 No. 1.2	Expert judgement or the competent authority	On consultation

Data requirements: P E C (Predicted Environmental Concentrations) (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
PEC (air)	III 9.3	Guideline in preparation	—	—	—	—

Data requirements: Monitoring (Soil, Water and Air)

	European Union			Germany		
Study	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Monitoring data	III 7.4	Presentation of data obligatory, if available	—	—	Presentation of data obligatory, if available	—

Criteria for Decision-Making:

Fate and Behaviour in Soil, Water and Air

Criteria for decision-making: Fate and Behaviour in Soil

Environmental behaviour	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
- Metabolism	Non-extractable residues >70% and mineralisation rate <5% in 100 days ⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ No authorization *)	Non-extractable residues > 70% after 100 days ⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ No authorization **)	Criteria quasi identical Evaluation on the national level includes benefits	Not appropriate Defining more precisely the criteria for persistence in the soil in the exceptional cases mentioned ⇒ EU (Draft guidance document on soil persistence)

* Exception - **EU: risk assessment:**

Scientific proof that

- neither accumulation of unacceptable residue levels nor observation of unacceptable phytotoxic effects resulting from accumulation in the soil, and
- no unacceptable effects on non-target organisms (pursuant to Sub-Paras 2.5.1.2, 2.5.1.3, 2.5.1.4 and 2.5.2)

***) Exception: **National: risk/benefit assessment:**

Acceptable results in the following areas:

Accumulation in the soil? Residues in or phytotoxic damage to succeeding crops? Effects on soil fauna/microflora?

High probability of such effects? Lack of control mechanisms to compensate for such effects?

Only slight disadvantage when the product is not used? Other products available for the same purpose?

Criteria for decision-making: Fate and Behaviour in Soil (continued)

Environmental behaviour	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
- Degradation	DT90 (field) > 1 year and DT50 (field) > 3 months ⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ No authorization *	DT90 (field) > 1 year and application rate >300 (bare soil) or >600 g/ha (grown soil) ⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ No authorization **)	Criteria quasi identical Evaluation on the national level includes benefits	Development of uniform calculation methods for PEC values in the soil ⇒ ECCO Preparation of standard scenarios for modelling ⇒ FOCUS (currently developed) Defining more precisely the criteria for persistence in the soil in the exceptional cases mentioned ⇒ EU (Draft guidance document on soil persistence)

* Exception - **EU: risk assessment:**

Scientific proof that

- neither accumulation of unacceptable residue levels nor observation of unacceptable phytotoxic effects resulting from accumulation in the soil, and
- no unacceptable effects on non-target organisms (pursuant to Sub-Paras 2.5.1.2, 2.5.1.3, 2.5.1.4 and 2.5.2)

** Exception: **National: risk/benefit assessment:**

Acceptable results in the following areas:

Accumulation in the soil? Residues in or phytotoxic damage to succeeding crops? Effects on soil fauna/microflora?

High probability of such effects? Lack of control mechanisms to compensate for such effects?

Only slight disadvantage when the product is not used? Other products available for the same purpose?

Criteria for decision-making: Fate and Behaviour in Soil (continued)

Environmental behaviour	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
- Leaching	<p>Groundwater</p> <p>Expected concentration of the active substance or its metabolites > lowest limit value</p> <p>Limit values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Maximum permissible concentration according to 80/778/EEC (0.1 µg/l) <p>or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - maximum permissible concentration laid down by the Commission when including the active substance in Annex I or where the concentration was not been laid down corresponding to the tenth of the ADI, when the active substance was included in Annex I. <p>⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ No Authorization*</p>	<p>Groundwater</p> <p>PELMO calculation with entry of the active substance or its metabolites > 10 µg/l**)</p> <p>or</p> <p>annual average concentration of the active substance or its metabolites in the lysimeter ≥ 0.1 µg/l**)</p> <p>⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ No authorization</p>	<p>Criteria identical in principle.</p> <p>In both cases the evaluation for metabolites shall be made more precise .</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Preparation of criteria for carrying out lysimeter or field leaching trials (including climatic transferability) ⇒ ECCO - Interpretation of results (maximum annual average concentrations, ...) ⇒ ECCO - Weighting of monitoring data/lysimeter or field leaching data ⇒ ECCO <p>Preparation of standard scenarios for modelling ⇒ FOCUS (currently developed)</p>

*) Exception: scientific proof that the lower concentration is not exceeded under relevant field conditions.

***) The same criteria apply to metabolites in addition to proof of harmful effects on the groundwater.

Criteria for decision-making: Fate and Behaviour in Water

Environmental behaviour	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
<p>– Entry in surface waters</p>	<p>Surface water</p> <p>Values for individual active substances according to Directive 75/440/EEC exceeded in surface water intended for the abstraction of drinking water</p> <p>or</p> <p>not acceptable effects on non-target organisms including animals (cf. ecotoxicology)</p> <p>⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ No authorization</p>	<p>Surface water</p> <p>Persistence in <u>water</u></p> <p>⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ Further evaluation cf. toxicology or ecotoxicology</p> <p>Accumulation in the <u>sediment</u></p> <p>⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ Further evaluation cf. ecotoxicology</p>	<p>Directive 75/440/EEC stipulates only values for a reduced number of active substances. Cf. ecotoxicology</p>	<p>Development of uniform calculation methods for PEC values for water and sediment ⇒ ECCO</p> <p>Preparation of standard scenarios for modelling ⇒ FOCUS (currently developed)</p>

Criteria for decision-making: Fate and Behaviour in Air

Environmental behaviour	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
<p>– Concentration in air</p>	<p>Concentration of the active substance in air exceeds the AOEL values or the limit values for operators, workers and bystanders</p> <p>⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ No authorization</p>	<p>Evaporation and persistence in the troposphere and no lasting degradation in/on plants, in the soil and in the water, or trend to accumulation</p> <p>⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ Decision on authorization dependent on risk/benefit assessment</p>	<p>EU considers in the uniform principles only human exposure</p> <p>Evaluation on the national level includes benefits</p>	<p>Development of guidelines for risk assessment</p> <p>⇒ EPPO/CoE or OECD (currently developed)</p>

Data Requirements and Criteria for Decision-Making:

Effects on Non-Target Organisms

Data Requirements:

Soil Micro-Organisms

Data requirements: Soil Micro-Organisms

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Laboratory Microbial activity (especially carbon mineralisation and nitrogen transformation)	Active substance II 8.5	Obligatory	1 (SETAC*)	—	—	—
	Preparation III 10.7.1	Obligatory, if persistent active substances (DT 90 > 100 days) have long- lasting negative effects on the studied activity (> 25% compared with the control)	1 (SETAC*)	Preparation E/1 No. 1.1	Obligatory	1 (BBA Teil VI, 1-1, EPPO, SETAC*)
Supplementary studies in the laboratory, semi-field or field Details not yet defined	Preparation III 10.7.2	Obligatory, if difference > 25% of the studied activity compared with the control at the trial end in the laboratory	1 (SETAC*)	Preparation E/1 No. 1.1	Obligatory, if difference >15% of the studied activity compared with the control at the trial end in the laboratory	1 (BBA Teil VI, 1-1, EPPO, SETAC*)

* similar as with EPPO Guideline

Criteria for Decision-Making:

Soil Micro-Organisms

Criteria for decision-making: Soil Micro-Organisms

Effects	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
<p>Microbial activity (especially carbon mineralisation and nitrogen transformation)</p>	<p>Active substance Difference of > 25% of studied activity compared with the control at the trial end (max. 100 days)</p> <p>⇒ Negative evaluation</p> <p>Preparation Difference > 25% of studied activity compared with the control at the trial end (max. 100 days)</p> <p>⇒ Negative evaluation*</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">—</p> <p>Preparation Difference > 15% of studied activity compared with the control at the trial end (max. 100 days)</p> <p>⇒ Negative evaluation usually leading to further studies</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In contrast to the German procedure the EU procedure concentrates on active substance testing - Reduced EU trial programme - Higher tolerance value for the negative evaluation of results in the EU (> 25% instead of > 15%) - Objective risk evaluation is not possible because of data according to EU procedure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Shift of emphasis on the test of preparations in order to cover at the same time the effects of active substances and formulants - Revision of Directive 96/12/EC ⇒ EU - Revision of EPPO/SETAC Guideline (OECD Guidelines are in preparation) ⇒ OECD/EPPO/CoE - Defining more precisely the term "suitable risk assessment" ("Unless ... sentence") ⇒ EU

Criteria for decision-making: Soil Micro-Organisms (continued)

Effects	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
				– Development of more sensitive and more meaningful methods for covering of effects ⇒ National/international
Data from supplementary studies in the laboratory, semi-field or field	Details not yet defined	Details not yet defined	Not possible for the time being because of insufficient experience	Definition of details for such trials as well as decision criteria or principles of evaluation ⇒ BBA/EU
Risk minimisation by:	Conditions at the discretion of Member States	Conditions: currently none	—	—

* Authorization possibly after in-depth risk assessment and evaluation (pursuant to Annex VI, 2.5.2.6. of Directive 91/414/EEC).

Data Requirements:

75

Earthworms

Data requirements: Earthworms

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Laboratory – Acute toxicity	Active substance II 8.4.1	Obligatory	1 (OECD 207)	—	—	—
	Preparation III 10.6.1.1	– Preparation contains more than 1 active substance – Toxicity cannot be derived from already tested preparation	1 (OECD 207)	Preparation E/1 No. 1.2.1	Obligatory	1 (OECD 207)
– Reproduction toxicity	Active substance II 8.4.2	Expert decision: – DT 90 > 100 days – Multiple application	1 (ISO DIS 11268-2)	—	—	—
	Preparation III 10.6.1.2	– Preparation contains more than 1 active substance – Toxicity cannot be derived from already tested preparation – Increased dose rate	1 (ISO DIS 11268-2)	Preparation E/1 No. 1.2.1	– Risk assessment not possible from the study on acute toxicity – High persistence of the active substance in the soil – Multiple application	1 (BBA Teil VI, 2-2, ISO DIS 11268-2)

Data requirements: Earthworms (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Field – Effects on populations	Preparation III 10.6.1.3	TER long-term < 5	1 (ISO Draft 11268-3)	Preparation E/1 No. 1.2.1	Laboratory data do not allow final evaluation	1 (BBA Teil VI, 2-3, ISO Draft 11268-3)
– Residues in earthworms	Preparation III 10.6.1.3	Expert judgement: only in combination with field trial	No information	—	—	—

Criteria for Decision-Making:

Earthworms

Criteria for decision-making: Earthworms

Effects	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Acute toxicity	TER < 10 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	TER	Partly shift to active substance examination in the EU, as a result possible reduction of requirements	Improvement and validation of models for exposure assessment ⇒ EPPO/CoE
Reproduction toxicity	TER < 5 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	TER	Partly shift to active substance examination in the EU and dose/response test, as a result possible reduction of requirements	Clarify data requirements about persistent active substances ⇒ BBA/EU
Other trials with earthworms, e.g. field	Risk to populations ⇒ Negative evaluation*	Risk to populations ⇒ Negative evaluation**	Fixed trigger value in the EU for field trials, as a result possibly more field trials necessary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Risk assessment and – Mitigation strategies for non-target areas ⇒ BBA/EU <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Defining more precisely the term "suitable risk assessment" ("Unless ...sentence") ⇒ EU
Risk minimisation by:	Conditions at the discretion of Member States	Conditions	—	Revision of conditions ⇒ BBA

* Authorization possibly after detailed risk assessment and evaluation (pursuant to Annex VI, 2.5.2.5. of Directive 91/414/EEC)

** Authorization with conditions

**Data Requirements and
Criteria for Decision-Making:**

Soil Macro-Organisms (Organic Matter Breakdown)

Data requirements: Other non-target organisms (flora and fauna)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Other non-target organisms (flora and fauna)	Active substance II 8.6 Preparation III 10.8	Evaluation of existing data on biological activity regarding effects	Not yet defined (—)	—	—	—

81

Criteria for decision-making: Other non-target organisms (flora and fauna)

Effects	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Other non-target organisms (flora and fauna)	No detailed information	No information	Additionally in the EU procedure	– Preparation of guidelines and evaluation principles ⇒ OECD/EU

Data Requirements and Criteria for Decision-Making:

Other non-target organisms
(flora and fauna)

Data requirements: Soil macro-organisms (organic matter breakdown)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Field Soil macro-organisms (organic matter breakdown)	Preparation III 10.6.2	Obligatory, if DT 90 > 365 days	1 (-)	—	—	—

83

Criteria for decision-making: Soil macro-organisms (organic matter breakdown)

Effects	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Soil macro-organisms (organic matter breakdown)	No detailed information	No information	Additionally in the EU procedure	– Preparation of guidelines and evaluation principles ⇒ OECD/EU

Data Requirements:

Honey bees

Data requirements: Honey bees

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Laboratory – Acute toxicity Determination of LD ₅₀ values with oral intake <u>and</u> contact exposure	Active substance* II 8.3.1.1 *except for microbial active substances	Obligatory	1 each (EPPo No. 170, OECD TG/9655, DOC, OECD TG/9656.DOC)	—	—	—
– Acute toxicity Determination of LD ₅₀ values with oral intake <u>and</u> contact exposure	Preparation III 10.4.1	Exposure possible	1 each (EPPo No. 170, OECD TG/9655, DOC, OECD TG/9656.DOC)	Preparation E/4 No. 5	Exposure possible	3 each (BBA VI, 23-1, EPPo No. 170, OECD TG/9655, DOC, OECD TG/9656.DOC)
– Residues after bees exposure in the field (deposit or residual toxicity)	Preparation III.10.4.2	Risk to foraging bees	Cannot be carried out for the time being because no method available	Preparation E/4 No. 5	Exposure possible	3 studies on bee poisoning by BBA
– Effects on bee brood	Preparation II 8.3.1.2	Obligatory for insect growth regulators	1 - 3 (Oomen-de-Ruijter test)	Preparation E/4 No. 5	Obligatory for insect growth regulators	3 (Apis larvae test according to Wittmann)

Data requirements: Honey bees (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Semi-field – Cage tests Determination of the risks for the survival and behaviour of bees and development of colonies	Preparation III 10.4.3	– Exposure possible – Damage ratios QH ₀ and QH _c between 50 and 2500	2 (EPPO No. 170)	Preparation E/4 No. 5	– Exposure possible – LD ₅₀ oral < 100 µg/bee or mortality in the other test species (residual toxicity, topical application and inhalation) > 15%	3 (BBA VI, 23-1, EPPO No. 170)
Semi-field – Tunnel tests Only for certain issues such as the determination of risks by intake of contaminated honey dew	Preparation III 10.4.5	Exposure possible	2 (EPPO No. 170 as far as possible)	Preparation E/4 No. 5	Exposure possible	2 On consultation
Field Determination of the risks for the survival and behaviour of bees and development of colonies	Preparation III 10.4.4	Exposure possible	2 (EPPO No. 170 as far as possible)	Preparation E/4 No. 5	Exposure possible	3 (BBA VI, 23-1, EPPO No. 170 as far as possible)

Criteria for Decision-Making:

Honey Bees

Criteria for decision-making: Honey bees

Effects	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Acute toxicity	Active substance Calculation of the damage potential on the basis of the LD ₅₀ values and the highest active substance level in g/ha planned for the application QH ₀ or QH _c > 50 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	—	—	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Revision of EPPO guideline No. 170 which is being done ⇒ EPPO – Standardisation of test methods for bee larvae ⇒ EPPO
Acute toxicity	Preparation See active substance ⇒ Negative evaluation*	Preparation LD ₅₀ oral < 100 µg bee; and damage to the other test species ⇒ Negative evaluation**	No essential differences in the evaluation of results	
Residues in bees	No information	Frequent evidence of bee damage can lead to more negative classification	—	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Development of test methods for other bee species ⇒ BBA/EU

Criteria for decision-making: Honey bees (continued)

Effects	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Cage or tent tests	Serious disturbance of the behaviour of bees and negative effects on the development of colonies ⇒ Negative evaluation*	See EU ⇒ Negative evaluation**	Criteria identical	– Inclusion of other bee species in provisions of protection ⇒ BBA/EU
Tunnel tests	See cage or tent tests	See EU	Criteria identical	– Research demand for all the other study types mentioned ⇒ BBA/EU
Risk for survival and behaviour of bees and the development of colonies	Permanent disturbance of flight activity, behaviour and development of colonies in consideration of the bee brood ⇒ Negative evaluation*	See EU	Criteria identical	– Defining more precisely the term "suitable risk assessment" ("Unless ... sentence") ⇒ EU
Risk minimisation by:	Conditions: In most EU states plant protection products that are harmful to bees are labelled meaning that they are subject to corresponding restrictions of use.	Conditions of the Bees Protection Regulation dated 22nd July 1992	—	Revision of conditions ⇒ BBA

* Authorization possibly after detailed risk assessment and evaluation and with classification as harmful to bees (pursuant to Annex VI, 2.5.2.3. of Directive 91/414/EEC).

** Further testing or authorization with classification as harmful to bees.

Data Requirements:

Beneficial Arthropods (other than honey bees)

Data requirements: Beneficial Arthropods (other than honey bees)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Laboratory According to species: – Mortality – Parasitisation rate – Feeding rate – Fertility – Behavioural disorders	Active substance or representative preparation II 8.3.2	Obligatory	at least 4 from 13 species (IOBC 1988 and 1992, EPPO No. 142, No. 151, No. 180, SETAC 1994)	—	—	—
	Preparation III 10.5	Obligatory if – preparation contains more than 1 active substance – toxicity cannot be reliably predicted from the preparation already tested	If necessary: at least 2 (guidelines see active substance)	Preparation E/4 No. 6	Obligatory	at least 2 from more than 20 species according to the field of use (BBA 23-2.1.1 BBA 23-2.1.2 BBA 23-2.1.3 BBA 23-2.1.4. BBA 23-2.1.5 BBA 23-2.1.6 BBA 23-2.1.7 BBA 23-2.1.8 BBA 23-2.1.9 IOBC, 1988 EPPO No. 142, No. 151, No. 180, SETAC 1994)

Data requirements: Beneficial Arthropods (other than honey bees) (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
<p>Supplementary studies in the laboratory, semi-field or field</p> <p>According to species: Test parameters: see laboratory and in addition abundance</p>	<p>Active substance or representative preparation II 8.3.2 Preparation III 10.5</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Effects in the laboratory > 30% - Multiple application 	<p>If necessary: in general no more than 4 (guidelines: see active substance)</p>	<p>Preparation E/4 No. 6</p>	<p>Field:</p> <p>Only viticulture: Typhlodromus pyri obligatory for multiple application; other fields of use (e.g. fruit and vegetable cultivation) voluntary, if effects in the laboratory > 30%</p>	<p>At least 3 - 5 (BBA 23-2.3.4)</p> <p>At least 1 per species (IOBC 1988, 1992, if applicable BBA 23-2.3.3)</p>

Criteria for Decision-Making:

Beneficial Arthropods (other than honey bees)

Criteria for decision-making: Beneficial Arthropods (other than honey bees)

Effects	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
<p>Mortality</p> <p>Parasitisation rate</p> <p>Feeding rate</p> <p>Fertility</p> <p>Behavioural disorders</p>	<p>Active substance and preparation</p> <p>Classification of lethal and sub-lethal effects based on IOBC criteria: > 30% harmful</p> <p>⇒ Negative evaluation*</p>	<p>Preparation</p> <p>Classification of lethal and sub-lethal effects based on IOBC criteria: < 30% not harmful 30 - 80% slightly harmful > 80% harmful</p> <p>⇒ Labelling regarding possible risk**</p>	<p>Partial shift to active substance examination in the EU, possibly resulting in a reduction of requirements</p> <p>In the national procedure unrealistic evaluation is possible on the basis of laboratory trials:</p> <p>a) Overestimation of the effects of toxic preparations</p> <p>b) Underestimation of less toxic preparations in case of multiple application</p> <p>The extent of effects on non-target arthropods is relevant for the authorization in the EU procedure in contrast to the national procedure</p>	<p>– Improvement and validation of test methods ⇒ International initiative with the involvement of IOBC, EPPO, BART and COMET (started)</p> <p>– Exposure assessment ⇒ International initiative with the involvement of IOBC, EPPO, BART and COMET</p> <p>– Risk assessment ⇒ EU, international initiative with the involvement of IOBC, EPPO, BART and COMET</p>

Criteria for decision-making: Beneficial Arthropods (other than honey bees) (continued)

Effects	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
<p>Data from supplementary studies in the laboratory, semi-field or field</p>	<p>Classification of effects based on IOBC criteria: < 25% not harmful 25 - 50% slightly harmful 51 - 75% moderately harmful > 75% harmful</p> <p>⇒ Negative evaluation, if unacceptable effects</p>	<p>Based on IOBC criteria: < 25% not harmful 25 - 50% slightly harmful > 50% harmful</p> <p>Classification of effects according to BBA 23-2.3.4 (only <i>Typhlodromus pyri</i>, viticulture): < 40% not harmful 40 - 80% slightly harmful > 80% harmful</p> <p>⇒ Labelling regarding possible risks**</p>	<p>Possibly more higher-level trials necessary in consideration of multiple applications and trigger values pursuant to Annex VI.</p> <p>In the future prevention of "unnecessary" field trials by the use of dose/response data from laboratory trials.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Risk assessment and risk minimisation for non-target areas ⇒ EU, international initiative with the involvement of IOBC, EPPO, BART and COMET - Defining more precisely the term "suitable risk assessment" ("Unless ...sentence") ⇒ EU, international initiative with the involvement of IOBC, EPPO, BART and COMET

Criteria for decision-making: Beneficial Arthropods (other than honey bees) (continued)

Effects	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
General			<p>All in all, comparable methods for testing and evaluation of preparations.</p> <p>National procedure is not suitable for confirming that the authorization requirements are satisfied for certain product groups according to Annex VI (e.g. insecticides, acaricides).</p> <p>Annex VI requires consistent further testing for some product groups (e.g. insecticides, acaricides), among others also the use of other methods (dose/response tests) and the use of risk minimisation strategies.</p>	<p>General revision of the testing strategy is necessary for evaluating the effects of preparations on non-target arthropods in the authorization procedure regarding risk assessment, evaluation and mitigation because the test requirements and the extent of testing must be oriented according to the provisions of Annex VI in order to confirm that the authorization requirements are met.</p> <p>⇒ BBA and the above international organisations</p>
Risk minimisation by:	Labelling requirements based on EPPO and SETAC proposals at the discretion of the Member States	Labelling regarding possible risks	Appropriate restrictions shall be imposed with the authorization according to Directive 91/414/EEC	Revision of labelling ⇒ BBA

* Authorization possibly after detailed risk assessment and evaluation (pursuant to Annex VI, 2.5.2.4. of Directive 91/414/EEC)

** Authorization with labelling

Data Requirements:

Birds and Mammals

Data requirements: Birds and Mammals

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Laboratory – Acute oral toxicity to birds	Active substance II 8.1.1	Obligatory	1 (—)	Active substance E/3 No. 4.1	Obligatory	1 - 2 (—)
	Preparation III 10.1.1	Exposure possible and TER acute or TER short-term between 10 and 100	1 (—)	Preparation E/3 No. 4.2	If risk possible	1 (—)
– Avian short-term dietary toxicity to birds	Active subst. II 8.1.2	Obligatory	1 - 2 (OECD 205)	—	—	—
– Reproduction trial with birds	Active subst. II 8.1.3	– prolonged or – repeated exposure	1 (OECD 206)	Active subst. E/3 No. 4	– prolonged or – repeated exposure	1 (OECD 206)
Cage Acceptance trial with birds	Preparation III 10.1.3	Treated seed, baits or granules and TER acute < 10	1 (—)	Preparation E/3 No. 4.3.3	Treated seed, baits or granules and risk possible	1 (BBA Teil VI, 25-1)
Field Other trials with birds	Preparation III 10.1.2 III 10.1.4	TER acute < 10 TER short-term < 10 TER long-term < 5	1 (—)	Preparation E/3 No. 4	If necessary for conclusive evaluation	1 (—)
Cage or field Trials with wild mammals	Preparation III 10.3	TER acute < 10 TER long-term < 5	1 (—)	Preparation E/3 No. 3	Only in exceptional cases	(—)

Criteria for Decision-Making:

Birds and Mammals

Criteria for decision-making: Birds and Mammals

Effects	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Acute oral toxicity to birds and mammals	TER acute < 10 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	TER	Criteria identical	Preparation of a guideline with reduced use of experimental animals ⇒ OECD
Sub-acute toxicity to birds	TER short-term < 10 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	—	So far not explicitly required by BBA, but has been common for a long period of time on the international level	—
Reproduction toxicity to birds	TER long-term < 5 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	TER	Criteria identical	Revision of guideline ⇒ OECD
Other trials with birds and mammals	Populations at risk ⇒ Negative evaluation*	Populations at risk ⇒ Negative evaluation**	Criteria identical	– Preparation of a "guidance paper" on trigger values and suitable methods ⇒ EPPO/EU – Defining more precisely the term "suitable risk assessment" ("Unless ...sentence") ⇒ EU
Bio-accumulation factor (BCF)	BCF > 1 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	BCF > 1 ⇒ Negative evaluation**	Criteria identical	—
Risk minimisation by:	Conditions at the discretion of the Member States	Conditions	—	Revision of conditions ⇒ BBA

* Authorization possibly after detailed risk assessment and evaluation (according to Annex VI, 2.5.2.1. of Directive 91/414/EEC)

** Authorization possibly after risk/benefit assessment

Data Requirements:

Aquatic Organisms

Data requirements: Aquatic Organisms

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Laboratory						
– Toxicity to algae	Active subst. II 8.2.6 Preparation III 10.2.1	Obligatory Preparation contains formulants increasing the toxicity or several active substances	1 , herbicides 2 (OECD 201) 1 (OECD 201)	Active subst. E/2 No. 2.2 Preparation E/2 No. 2.2	See EU	See EU
– Acute toxicity to fish	Active subst. II 8.2.1 Preparation III 10.2.1	Obligatory Preparation contains formulants increasing the toxicity or several active substances	2 (OECD 203) 1 (OECD 203)	Active subst. E/2 No. 2.5 Preparation E/2 No. 2.5	See EU	See EU
– Acute toxicity to daphnia	Active subst. II 8.2.4 Preparation III 10.2.1	Obligatory Preparation contains formulants increasing the toxicity or several active substances	1 (OECD 202 I) 1 (OECD 202 I)	Active subst. E/2 No. 2.3 Preparation E/2 No. 2.3	See EU	See EU
– Chronic toxicity to daphnia	Active subst. II 8.2.5 Preparation III 10.2.4	Chronic exposure Preparation more toxic than active substance	1 (OECD 202 II) 1 (OECD 202 II)	Active subst. E/2 No. 2.4 Preparation E/2 No. 2.4	See EU	See EU

Data requirements: Aquatic Organisms (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Laboratory						
– Chronic toxicity to aquatic insects and aquatic gastropod molluscs	Active subst. II 8.2.5	– Direct application in waters – Insects more sensitive than daphnia	1 each (—)	Active subst. E/2 No. 2.7	See EU except for direct application in waters	See EU
– Prolonged/chronic toxicity to fish	Active subst. II 8.2.2 Preparation III 10.2.4	Chronic exposure Preparation more toxic than active substance	1 (OECD 204 and other methods) 1 (OECD 204)	Active subst. E/2 No. 2.6 Preparation E/2 No. 2.6	See EU	See EU
– Prolonged toxicity to sediment organisms	Active subst. II 8.2.7	– High daphnia toxicity – Persistence of the active substance in the sediment	1 (e.g. BBA Publication 315)	Active subst. E/2 No. 2.7	See EU	See EU
– Toxicity to higher aquatic plants (e.g. 1 Lemna species)	Active subst. II 8.2.8	for herbicides	1 (—)	Active subst. E/2 No. 2.7	See EU	See EU
– Microcosm	Preparation III 10.2.2	TER < 10/100 and special trigger values	1 (SETAC)	Preparation E/2 No. 2.7	See EU	See EU
– Bioconcentration in fish	Active subst. II 8.2.3	log Pow > 3	1 (OECD 305 E)	Active subst. B/12 No. 2.3	See EU	See EU
Field Mesocosm	Preparation III 10.2.2	TER < 10/100 and special trigger values	1 (SETAC)	Preparation E/2 No. 2.7	See EU	See EU

Criteria for Decision-Making:

Aquatic Organisms

Criteria for decision-making: Aquatic Organisms

Effects	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Toxicity to algae	TER < 10 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	See EU	Criteria identical	—
Acute toxicity to fish	TER < 100 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	See EU	Criteria identical	—
Acute toxicity to daphnia	TER < 100 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	See EU	Criteria identical	—
Chronic toxicity to daphnia	TER < 10 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	See EU	Criteria identical	Interpretation of the phrase "chronic exposure" for aquatic organisms ⇒ BBA/EU
Chronic toxicity to aquatic insects and gastropod molluscs	TER < 10 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	See EU	Criteria identical	—
Prolonged/chronic toxicity to fish	TER < 10 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	See EU	Criteria identical	Preparation of a guideline for studying the chronic toxicity to fish ⇒ OECD

Criteria for decision-making: Aquatic Organisms (continued)

Effects	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Prolonged toxicity to sediment organisms	TER < 10 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	See EU	Criteria identical	– Preparation of a guideline ⇒ OECD – Inclusion of reproduction in the existing method ⇒ BBA
Toxicity to higher aquatic plants	TER < 10 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	See EU	Criteria identical	– Preparation of a guideline ⇒ OECD – Preparation of a method for rooted plants ⇒ BBA
Microcosm	TER < 10 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	See EU	Criteria identical	Preparation of standard methods ⇒ BBA
Bioconcentration in fish	BCF > 100 or 1000 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	See EU	Criteria identical	—
Mesocosm	TER < 1 - 10 ⇒ Negative evaluation*	See EU	Criteria identical	– Uniform data evaluation ⇒ SETAC – Preparation of a "guidance paper" ⇒ OECD
Risk minimisation by:	Conditions at the discretion of the member states	Conditions**	—	Revision of conditions ⇒ BBA

* Authorization possibly after detailed risk assessment and evaluation (according to Annex VI, 2.5.2.2. of Directive 91/414/EEC).

Defining more precisely the term "suitable risk assessment" ("Unless ... sentence") for all aquatic organisms and test stages.

** Authorization possibly with conditions; in special cases no-authorization

Data Requirements

**Residue Behaviour in or on treated Products,
Food and Feed**

**Data requirements: Residue Behaviour in or on treated Products,
Food and Feed**

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Storage stability	II 6 III 8	Obligatory, unless the analysis is carried out within 30 days after sampling	maximum 4 different plant matrices	B/9 No. 3 - 5	Storage period > 6 months	—
			maximum 4 different animal matrices (Guidance Document - Appendix H)	B/10 No. 7 - 8		—
Metabolism, distribution and expression of residues in plants	II 6.1 III 8.1	Obligatory	at least 3 crop groups (Guidance Document - Appendix A)	B/9 No. 1	Obligatory	At least 3 crop groups (BBA IV, 3-2, 3-2/1)
		Desirable: Information on uptake, distribution and mode of action	—	B/9 No. 2	Obligatory: Information on uptake, distribution and mode of action	—

**Data requirements: Residue Behaviour in or on treated Products,
Food and Feed (continued)**

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Metabolism, distribution and expression of residues in livestock	II 6.2 III 8.1	Residues in livestock feed ≥ 0.1 mg/kg	1 - 3 (Guidance Document - Appendix F)	D/1 No. 5.2	In case of significant residues in feed	1 - 3 (OECD 417)
Residue trials (crops)	II 6.3 III 8.2	Obligatory In general harvest values; half of them as residue decline studies, if edible parts are concerned; 2 vegetation periods, in major crops more trials than in minor crops; extrapolation of results; results of European countries with comparable climates acceptable	4 or 8 per crop (Guidance Document - Appendix B/D)	B/9 No. 3 B/9 No. 4	Obligatory In general residue decline studies, seldom values at harvest 2 vegetation periods; extrapolation is possible with restrictions; results from European countries with comparable climates are acceptable	8 per crop (BBA IV, 3-3, BBA IV, 3-3.1.1, BBA IV, 3-8)
Livestock feeding studies	II 6.4 III 8.3	Residues in feed ≥ 0.1 mg/kg and residues in food of animal origin > 0.01 mg/kg or > limit of determination	1 - 3 (Guidance Document - Appendix G)	B/10 No. 8 D/1 No. 5.1 D/1 No. 5.2	Residues in food of animal origin above the limit of determination	1 - 3 (OECD 417)

**Data requirements: Residue Behaviour in or on treated Products,
Food and Feed (continued)**

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (directive)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (directive)
Effects of industrial processing and/or household preparations	II 6.5/ III 8.4					
– Effects on the nature of residue	II 6.5.1	Significant residues in plants to be processed or plant products or total TMDI larger than 10% of the ADI	maximum 3 hydrolysis studies for identifying metabolism (Guidance Document - Appendix E)	—	—	—
– Effects on the level of residue	II 6.5.2	Significant residues in plants or plant products to be processed or total TMDI larger than 10% of the ADI, and	maximum 13 studies (corresponding process types) as balance studies	B/10 No. 7	Residues in the raw product (at the latest when TMDI for this product is above 10% of the ADI) and processing takes place and	2 per selected crop of a crop group (basic studies)

**Data requirements: Residue Behaviour in or on treated Products,
Food and Feed (continued)**

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (directive)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (directive)
- Effects on the level of residue (continued)	II 6.5.2	the processed plant product plays an important part in nutrition or - by way of exception - maximum residue levels for processed products shall be fixed. Further studies, if necessary. Main purpose: setting of transfer factors	3 Follow-up studies (Guidance Document - Appendix E)	B/10 No. 7	residues in the processed product and importance of the product for human or animal nutrition	4 Follow-up studies (BBA IV, 3-4, BBA IV, 3-3.4)

**Data requirements: Residue Behaviour in or on treated Products,
Food and Feed (continued)**

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Residues in succeeding crops	II 6.6 III 8.5	Significant residues in the soil or plant material until drilling or until planting of succeeding crop	Stepwise: minimum 1 estimation, metabolism maximum 8 residue trials with in general 3 crops (Guidance Document - Appendix C)	B/9 No. 5	Significant residues in the soil	Stepwise: minimum 1 estimation, no metabolism, maximum 8 residue trials per crop (BBA IV, 3-10)
Proposed maximum residue levels (MRL's) and residue definition	II 6.7 III 8.6	Obligatory residue definition once per active substance as a result of metabolism studies	1 per crop (Guidance Document - Appendix I)	B/10 No. 10 D/2 No. 14	Obligatory residue definition once per active substance as a result of metabolism studies	1 per crop (BBA IV, 3-6) BBA IV, 3-8)
Proposed pre-harvest intervals for envisaged uses, or withholding periods or storage periods in case of post harvest use	II 6.8 III 8.7	Obligatory	1 per intended use (Guidance Document - Appendix I)	B/10 No. 11	Obligatory	1 per envisaged use (BBA IV, 3-6)

**Data requirements: Residue Behaviour in or on treated Products,
Food and Feed (continued)**

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Estimation of the potential and actual exposure through diet and other means	II 6.9 III 8.8	Obligatory	1 (WHO Guideline)	B/10 No. 10	Obligatory	1 (BBA IV, 3-7) (WHO Guideline)
Summary and evaluation of residue behaviour	II 6.10 III 8.9	Obligatory	1	B/9 No. 6 B/10 No. 9	Obligatory Obligatory	1 1

Criteria for Decision-Making:

**Residue Behaviour in or on treated Products,
Food and Feed**

Criteria for decision-making: Residue Behaviour in or on treated Products, Food and Feed

Residue behaviour	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
– Proper application	Residues not higher than necessary according to Good Agricultural Practice ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	Residues not higher than necessary according to Good Agricultural Practice ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	Criteria identical	Not applicable
– There is neither a Community nor a preliminary maximum residue level	Fixing of a preliminary maximum residue level according to Article 4.1 f Consumer exposure below the ADI value (including processing) ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	A nationally fixed maximum residue level is not exceeded or elaboration of a proposal for a maximum residue level*) Consumer exposure below the ADI or DTA value (including processing) ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	Criteria identical	Not applicable

* Authorization can only be granted when the necessary maximum residue level has been fixed in the Maximum Residue Level Regulation (RHmV).

**Criteria for decision-making: Residue Behaviour in or on treated Products,
Food and Feed (continued)**

Residue behaviour	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
– There is a preliminary maximum residue level	Level is not exceeded or fixing of a new preliminary maximum residue level according to Article 4.1 f Consumer exposure below the ADI value (including processing) ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	Level is not exceeded or elaboration of a proposal for a maximum residue level*) Consumer exposure below the ADI value (including processing) ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	Criteria identical	Not applicable

* Authorization can only be granted when the necessary maximum residue level has been fixed in the Maximum Residue Level Regulation (RHmV).

**Criteria for decision-making: Residue Behaviour in or on treated Products,
Food and Feed (continued)**

Residue analysis	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
– There exists a Community maximum level	Level is not exceeded or fixing of a new preliminary maximum residue level according to Article 4.1 f Consumer exposure below the ADI value (including processing) ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	Level is not exceeded or elaboration of a proposal for a maximum residue level Consumer exposure below the ADI value (including processing) ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	Criteria identical	Not applicable
– Use as feed	No detrimental effects on animal health ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	No detrimental effects on animal health ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	Criteria identical	Not applicable

Data Requirements:

Toxicology

Data requirements: Toxicology

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Active substance						
Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion	II 5.1	Obligatory	2 (87/302/EEC) (96/54/EEC B36)	D/1-7	Obligatory	1 (OECD 451)
Acute toxicity	II 5.2			D/1-1		
– oral (rat)	II 5.2.1	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC B1, B1 bis.) (96/54/EEC B1 tris.)	D/1-1.1	Obligatory	1 (OECD 401)
– dermal (rat)	II 5.2.2	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC B3)	D/1-1.2	Obligatory	1 (OECD 402)
– inhalative (rat)	II 5.2.3	Obligatory, unless animal experiment is not justified	1 (92/69/EEC B2)	D/1-1.3	Obligatory	1 (OECD 403)

Data requirements: Toxicology (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
– intraperitoneal (rat)	—	—	—	D/1-1.4	Obligatory	1 (OECD 401)
– oral (other animal species)	—	—	—	D/1-1.5	Obligatory	1 (OECD 401)
– skin irritation	II 5.2.4	Obligatory, unless strong skin irritation to be expected	1 (92/69/EEC B4)	D/1-1.6	Obligatory	1 (OECD 404)
– eye irritation	II 5.2.5	Obligatory, unless strong eye irritation to be expected	1 (92/69/EEC B5)	D/1-1.7	Obligatory	1 (OECD 405)
– skin sensitisation	II 5.2.6	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC B6) (96/54/EEC B6)	D/1-1.8	Obligatory	1 (OECD 406)
Short-term toxicity	II 5.3			D/1-3 D/1-4		
– oral study over 28 days	II 5.3.1	Not obligatory (to be reported when carried out for range finding)	(92/69/EEC B7) (96/54/EEC B7)	D/1-3	Obligatory	1 (OECD 407)

Data requirements: Toxicology (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
– oral study over 90 days	II 5.3.2	Obligatory dog (90 days) rat (dog (1 year), if significant for extrapolating results to man)	2 - 3 (87/302/EEC) (96/54/EEC B26) (96/54/EEC B27)	D/1-4.1 D/1-4.2	Obligatory (rat, further animal species, as a rule dog)	2 (OECD 408)
– other exposure routes	II 5.3.3					
dermal 28 days		Optional	(92/69/EEC B9)	—	—	—
dermal 90 days		Optional	(87/302/EEC) (96/54/EEC B28)	—	—	—
inhalative 28 days		Optional	(92/69/EEC B8)	—	—	—
inhalative 90 days		Optional	(87/302/EEC) (96/54/EEC B29)	—	—	—

Data requirements: Toxicology (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Genotoxicity	II 5.4			D/2-9		
– In vitro studies	II 5.4.1	Obligatory	(87/302/EEC) (92/69/EEC B10, B14) (96/54/EEC B15-21)	D/2-9.1	Obligatory	(DFG Report)
– In vivo studies with somatic cells	II 5.4.2	Obligatory depended on 5.4.1	(87/302/EEC) (92/69/EEC B12, B11) (96/54/EEC B22, 24, 25)	D/2-9.2	Obligatory	(DFG Report)
– In vivo studies with germ cells	II 5.4.3	Obligatory depended on 5.4.1	(87/302/EEC) (96/54/EEC B23)	—	—	—
Livestock						
– Livestock feeding studies	II 6.4 III 8.3	See also residue trials	—	D/1-5.1	Obligatory in case of occurrence of residues in feed	—
– Metabolism studies in livestock	II 6.2 III 8.1	See also residue trials	—	D/1-5.2	Obligatory in case of occurrence of residues in feed	—

Data requirements: Toxicology (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity	II 5.5	Obligatory	2 - 3 (87/302/EEC) (96/54/EEC B33)	D/1-6.1	Obligatory rat, long-term toxicity	2 - 3 (OECD 452) or
		Long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity, rat		D/2-8.1	rat, carcinogenicity	
		Carcinogenicity, mouse	(96/54/EEC B/32)	D/2-8.2	carcinogenicity (in general mouse)	(OECD 451)
Reproduction toxicity	II 5.6			D/2-10		
– Multi-generation studies	II 5.6.1	Obligatory	1 (87/302/EEC) (96/54/EEC B35)	D/2-10.1	Obligatory	(OECD 416)
– Test for developmental toxicity						
oral	II 5.6.2	Obligatory rat and rabbit	2 (87/302/EEC) (96/54/EEC B31)	D/2-11.1/ D/2-11.2	Obligatory rat and rabbit	2 - 4 (OECD 414)
dermal	—	—	—	—	In case of oral teratogenicity in rat and rabbit, additionally dermal teratogenicity	(OECD 414)

Data requirements: Toxicology (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Delayed neurotoxicity	II 5.7	Obligatory for certain substances (e.g. in case of phosphorous-organic compounds)	1 (96/54/EEC B37, 38)	—	—	—
Other toxicological studies	II 5.8			D/2-12		
– Toxicological study in metabolites	II 5.8.1	if necessary	—	D/1-7	if necessary	e.g. (OECD 417)
– Additional active substance tests	II 5.8.2	if necessary (neurotoxicity, immunotoxicity, ADME)	—	D/2-12	if necessary	—
Medical data	II 5.9	to be reported as far as available	—	D/1-2	to be reported as far as available	—
– Medical surveillance of manufacturing plant personnel	II 5.9.1	to be reported as far as available	—	D/1-2.1 D/1-2.2	to be reported as far as available	—
– Direct observation (clinical cases, accident-related poisoning)	II 5.9.2	to be reported as far as available	—	D/2-2.3	to be reported as far as available	—

Data requirements: Toxicology (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
– Observations on exposure of the population in general and possibly epidemiological tests	II 5.9.3	to be reported as far as available	—	D/2-2	to be reported as far as available	—
– Poisoning diagnosis (determination of active substances and metabolites), specific poisoning symptoms, clinical trials	II 5.9.4	to be reported as far as available	—	—	—	—
– Proposed treatment: First Aid, antidotes, medical treatment	II 5.9.5	Obligatory	—	—	—	—
– Poisoning symptoms to be expected	II 5.9.6	If known	—	—	—	—
Summary of toxicity in mammals and general evaluation	II 5.10	Obligatory	—	Annex D/2 and D/2-14	Obligatory	—

Data requirements: Toxicology (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
Preparation						
Acute toxicity	III 7.1			D/2-15		
– oral (rat)	III 7.1.1	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC B1, B1 bis.) (96/54/EEC B1 tris)	D/2-15.1	Obligatory	1 (OECD 401) or calculation method
– dermal (rat)	III 7.1.2	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC B3)	D/2-15.2	Obligatory	1 (OECD 402) or calculation method
– inhalative (rat)	III 7.1.3	Obligatory, unless animal experiment is not justified	1 (92/69/EEC B2)	D/2-15.3	Obligatory in case of possible exposure to undiluted preparation	1 (OECD 403)
– skin irritation	III 7.1.4	Obligatory, unless strong skin irritation has to be expected	1 (92/69/EEC B4)	D/2-15.4	Obligatory	1 (OECD 404)

Data requirements: Toxicology (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
– Eye irritation	III 7.1.5	Obligatory, unless strong eye irritation has to be expected	1 (92/69/EEC B5)	D/2-15.5	Obligatory	1 (OECD 405)
– Skin sensitisation	III 7.1.6	Obligatory	1 (92/69/EEC B6) (96/54/EEC B6)	—	—	—
– Supplementary studies for combination of plant protection products	III 7.1.7	If necessary	—	—	—	—
Exposure data	III 7.2			A/2		
– Operator exposure	III 7.2.1					
Evaluation of operator exposure	III 7.2.1.1	Obligatory	—	A/2-2	Obligatory	(BBA I, 3-3)
Measurement of user exposure	III 7.2.1.2	Obligatory, when health-relevant limit values are exceeded	Data or calculation model	A/2-2.1	Obligatory	(BBA I, 3-3)

Data requirements: Toxicology (continued)

Study	European Union			Germany		
	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)	Assignment	Reasons/conditions	Number of trials (guideline)
– Exposure of bystanders	III 7.2.2	Obligatory	Calculation model	—	—	—
– Exposure of workers	III 7.2.3	Obligatory	—	—	—	—
Evaluation of workers exposure	III 7.2.3.1	Obligatory	—	—	—	—
Measurement of workers exposure	III 7.2.3.2	Obligatory, when limit values are exceeded	Data or calculation model	—	—	—
Skin absorption	III 7.3	Obligatory in case of main exposure route	(OECD 417)	—	—	—
Available toxicological data about substances which are no active substances	III 7.4	As far as available	Safety data sheet	D/2-15	Obligatory (all information available)	—

Criteria for Decision-Making:

Toxicology

Criteria for decision-making: Toxicology

Human and animal health	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Operator exposure	<p>Exposure > AOEL value</p> <p>⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ No authorization</p> <p>Limit value for the active substance and/or toxicologically relevant compound(s) not exceeded</p> <p>- Pursuant to 80/1107/EEC on the protection of workers from the risks related to exposure to chemical, physical and biological agents at work and</p> <p>- pursuant to 90/394/EEC on the protection of workers from the risks related to exposure to carcinogens at work</p> <p>⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible</p>	<p>Exposure > tolerable exposure</p> <p>⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ No authorization</p> <p>Plant protection product contains carcinogenic substances of category 1 or 2 (pursuant to 91/325/EEC)</p> <p>⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ No authorization</p>	<p>Calculation method on an identical basis.</p> <p>Difference mainly in terms of use and justification of safety factors</p> <p>Criteria in principle identical EU: Definition of limit values for the active substances National: no definition of limit values</p>	<p>Harmonisation of AOEL value calculation</p> <p>⇒ ECCO</p>

Criteria for decision-making: Toxicology (continued)

Human and animal health	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Protective clothing or equipment	Equipment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – is effective – corresponds with the relevant Community regulations – is easy to procure and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – use is possible under the indicated conditions of application ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	Handling and application of the plant protection product are possible with acceptable personal protective equipment Requirements made on personal protective equipment are defined by BBA Guideline. The level of protection to be reached can be calculated. ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	Criteria in principle identical but no EU specification for special personal protective equipment in plant protection	Harmonisation of personal protective equipment in plant protection ⇒ EU
Consumer exposure	See also residue behaviour	See also residue behaviour		

Criteria for decision-making: Toxicology (continued)

Human and animal health	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Plant protection product	<p>Plant protection product may be harmful due to its properties or improper handling or use</p> <p>⇒ Special restrictions for packaging size, type of preparation, marketing, instructions for and conditions of use</p>	<p>Plant protection product shows an essential uncontrollable health hazard during usual handling and storage or unintentional exposure.</p> <p>⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ No authorization</p>	Criteria in principle identical	Not applicable

Criteria for decision-making: Toxicology (continued)

Human and animal health	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Plant protection product	Plant protection product classified as very toxic ⇒ No authorization for use by non-commercial users	Upon single exposure, the plant protection product causes: – Death or serious health damage or – strong allergic reactions ⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ No authorization Hazard potential(s) (active substance/preparation) exist during common handling and use of hazardous substances and preparations ⇒ Labelling (warning symbol according to Hazardous Substance Regulation (GefStoffV), reference to special risk and safety phrases)	Criteria in principle identical	Not applicable Harmonisation of classification/labelling ⇒ EU

Criteria for decision-making: Toxicology (continued)

Human and animal health	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
<p>Exposure of bystanders and workers after application</p>	<p>Exposure < AOEL value (for active substance or toxicologically relevant compound(s)) ensured by safety waiting periods and other precautions</p> <p>and</p> <p>limit values for the active substance and/or the toxicologically relevant compound(s) not exceeded</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - pursuant to 80/1107/EEC for the protection of workers from the risks related to exposure to chemical, physical and biological agents at work and - pursuant to 90/394/EEC on the protection of workers from the risks related to exposure to carcinogens at work <p>⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible</p>	<p>Exposure < tolerable exposure</p> <p>⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible (With specific information in the instructions for use)</p>	<p>More detailed evaluation approaches on the EU level</p>	<p>Harmonisation of specifications for re-entry conditions</p> <p>⇒ OECD Pesticide Forum</p>

Criteria for decision-making: Toxicology (continued)

Human and animal health	EU criteria for decision-making	BBA principles of evaluation	Comparison EU / national procedure	Necessary action / research
Effects on animals	Definition of safety waiting periods and other precautions ensuring no detrimental effects on animals ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	Plant protection product residues will lead to irreversible damage or mortality among wild animals immediately after application or later ⇒ Negative evaluation ⇒ No authorization Adherence to safety waiting periods for livestock ⇒ Positive evaluation ⇒ Authorization possible	Criteria in principle identical	Not applicable
Adherence to AOEL values and limit values	Safety waiting periods and other precautions must be realistic; special precautions must be taken, if necessary.			

Glossary and explanations

a	year
ADI	Acceptable daily intake
ADME	Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, Excretion
ADR	Europäisches Übereinkommen über die internationale Beförderung gefährlicher Güter auf der Straße (<u>A</u> ccord européen relatif au transport international des marchandises <u>d</u> angereuses par <u>r</u> oute) (European Agreement Concerning the International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Road)
AOEL	Acceptable operator exposure level
BART	Beneficial Arthropod Regulatory Testing Group
BCF	Bioconcentration factor
CA	Chemical Abstracts
CAS	Chemical Abstracts Service
CIPAC	Collaborative International Pesticides Analytical Council
CIPAC MT	Collaborative International Pesticides Analytical Council Miscellaneous Techniques
COMET	Commercial Ecotoxicological Testing Group
d	day(s)
DFG	Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (German Research Foundation)
DTA	Duldbare tägliche Aufnahmemenge (Acceptable daily intake)
DT50/90 _{lab/f}	Disappearance time in laboratory/field studies (Time period after which 50/90 % of the active substance is no further detected in laboratory/field studies)
DT50 _{hydr.+phot.}	Disappearance time hydrolysis and photolysis (Time period after which 50 % of the active substance is no further detected in hydrolytic and photolytic studies)
EC50	Effective concentration

ECCO	European Commission Coordination/EU Review Programme
EEC	European Economic Community
EINECS	European Inventory of Existing Commercial Chemical Substances
ELINCS	European List of new chemical substances
EPPO/CoE	European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization/Council of Europe
ESCORT	European Standard Characteristics of Beneficials Regulatory Testing (Workshop)
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
FOCUS	Forum for the co-ordination of pesticide fate models and their use
GefStoffV	Verordnung zum Schutz vor gefährlichen Stoffen (Hazardous Substance Regulation)
GIFAP	Groupement International des Associations Nationales de Fabricants de Produits Agrochimiques, Brussels
IOBC	International Organization for Biological and Integrated Control of Noxious Animals and Plants-Working Group "Pesticides and Beneficial Organisms"
IR	Infrared spectrum
ISO	International Organisation for Standardisation
ISO DIS	International Standard Organisation Draft International Standard
ISTA	International Seed Testing Association
IUPAC	International Organization of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Koc	Linear partition coefficient related to the organic carbon content
LD 50	Lethal dose of a substance killing 50 % of individuals of one test species
LOEC	Lowest observable effect concentration
log Pow	Logarithmic partition coefficient of an active substance (n-octanol/water)
MS	Mass spectrum

NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
PEC	Predicted Environmental Concentration
PELMO	Pesticide Leaching Model
QHc	Risikoquotient bei Kontaktexposition (Quotient of Hazard contact)
QHo	Risikoquotient bei oraler Aufnahme (Quotient of Hazard oral)
Screening	Initial efficacy testing of new substances
SETAC	Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry
T	Warning symbol: toxic
T+	Warning symbol: very toxic
TER	Toxicity Exposure Ratio
TMDI	Theoretical maximum daily intake
Trigger value	Value for the decision on the execution of trials or on evaluation
UN	UN-Recommendations on the transport of dangerous goods (United Nations)
WHO	World Health Organization of the United Nations

LITERATURE

GENERAL - concerning all areas of testing

Council Directive 91/414/EEC of 15 July 1991 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market (Official Journal of the European Communities L 230, 19 August 1991, p. 1).

Council Directive 97/57/EC of 22 September 1997 establishing Annex VI to Directive 91/414/EEC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market (Official Journal of the European Communities L265, 27 September 1997, p. 87).

Gesetz zum Schutz der Kulturpflanzen (Pflanzenschutzgesetz - PflSchG) vom 15. September 1986 (Bundesgesetzblatt Teil I, Nr. 49 vom 19. September 1986, 1505) in der Fassung der Bekanntmachung vom 14. Mai 1998 (Bundesgesetzblatt Teil I, Nr. 28 vom 27. Mai 1998, 971).

Antrag auf erstmalige/erneute Zulassung eines Pflanzenschutzmittels (BBA AP-01-05). Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil I, 1-2 (1990): Antrag auf erstmalige / erneute Zulassung eines Pflanzenschutzmittels - Anleitung zum Ausfüllen. Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Mitteilungen aus der Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft Berlin-Dahlem, Heft 284 (1992): Bewertung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren.

Mitteilungen aus der Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft Berlin-Dahlem, Heft 285 (1993): Criteria for assessment of plant protection products in the registration procedure.

Efficacy and phytotoxicity

Commission Directive 93/71/EEC of 27 July 1993 amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market (Official Journal of the European Communities, L 221, 31 August 1993, p. 27).

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil II, 1 (1993): Wirksamkeit. Saphir-Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Bekanntmachung über die Ergebnisse der Beratungen zur Festsetzung des Minimalaufwandes von Pflanzenschutzmitteln (1990). Nachrichtenbl. Deut. Pflanzenschutzd. 42, 47.

Ergänzung zur Bekanntmachung über die Ergebnisse der Beratungen zur Festsetzung des Minimalaufwandes von Pflanzenschutzmitteln (1990). Nachrichtenbl. Deut. Pflanzenschutzd. 42, 61-62.

EPPO - Guideline on effects of plant protection products on succeeding crops (in preparation).

There are very many EPPO-Guidelines (200) and BBA-Guidelines (180) for the part (Teil) II of the series (Reihe) 3-22, which cannot be cited here for reasons of space.

Identity

Commission Directive 94/37/EC of 22 July 1994 amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market (Official Journal of the European Communities L194, 29 July 1994, p. 65).

Annex I, Council Directive 67/548/EEC of 27 June 1967 on the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances (Official Journal of the European Communities L 196, 16 August 1967), as amended by Directive 79/831/EEC (Official Journal of the European Communities L 259, 15 October 1979), in application of Article 13 of Directive 67/548/EEC – EINECS (European inventory of existing commercial chemical substances) (Official Journal of the European Communities C 146 A, 15 June 1990).

Article 6, paragraph 2, Council Directive 78/631/EEC of 26 June 1978 on the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances (pesticides)(Official Journal of the European Communities L 206, 29 July 1978).

International Group of National Associations of Manufacturers of Agrochemical Products, GIFAP, Technical Monograph No. 2, „Catalogue of pesticide formulation types and international coding system“, Brussels, February 1989.

International Standard, ISO 1750, Pesticides and other agrochemicals - Common names, International Organization for Standardization, First edition - 15 December 1981 (and further editions).

Further information

Commission Directive 94/37/EC of 22 July 1994 amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market (Official Journal of the European Communities L194, 29 July 1994, p. 65).

Article 27, Council Directive 67/548/EEC of 27 June 1967 on the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances (Official Journal of the European Communities L 196, 16 August 1967), as amended by Commission Directive 91/155/EEC of 5 March 1991, in special information system for dangerous preparations (Official Journal of the European Communities L 76, 5 March 1991, p. 35).

FAO „Guidelines for the packaging of pesticides“, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, June 1991.

Europäisches Übereinkommen vom 30. September 1957 über die internationale Beförderung gefährlicher Güter auf der Straße (ADR-Regeln), Anlage A und B, A.5 Allgemeine Verpackungsvorschriften, Verpackungsart, Anforderungen an die Verpackungen und Vorschriften über die Prüfung der Verpackungen (Bundesgesetzblatt Teil II Nr. 30 vom 16. Juli 1994):

- Nr. 3552: Fallprüfung

- Nr. 3556: Zusatzprüfung auf Permeation für Fässer und Kanister aus Kunststoff nach Rn. 3526 sowie für Kombinationsverpackungen (Kunststoff) -mit Ausnahme von Verpackungen 6HA1 - nach Rn. 3537 zur Beförderung von flüssigen Stoffen mit Flammpunkt > 55°C

- Nr. 3558: Zulassung von zusammengesetzten Verpackungen

- Nr. 3560: Dichtheitsprüfung für alle neuen Verpackungen und für Verpackungen nach Rekonditionierung, die für flüssige Stoffe Verwendung finden.

International Standard, ISO 8317, „Child-resistant packaging - Requirements and testing procedures for reclosable packages“, International Organization for Standardization, 1989.

International Group of National Associations of Manufacturers of Agrochemical Products, GIFAP, Technical Monograph No. 17, „Guidelines for specifying the shelf life of plant protection products“, Brussels, May 1993.

International Standard, ISO/TR 9122 Toxicity testing of fire effluents, International Organization for Standardization, First edition

Part 1: General (1989)

Part 2: Guidelines for biological assays to determine the acute inhalation toxicity of fire effluents

(basis principles, criteria and methodology) (1990)

Part 3: Methods for the analysis of gases and vapours in fire effluents (1993)

Part 4: The fire model (furnaces and combustion apparatus used in small-scale testing) (1993)

Part 5: Prediction of toxic effects of fire effluents (1993)

Part 6: Guidance for regulators and specifiers on the assessment of toxic hazards in fires in buildings and transport (1994).

M. Herrmann und B. Johnke, „Entsorgung von Pflanzenschutzmittel-Restmengen, Teil I: Entsorgungskonzept“, Zeitschrift für Umweltchemie und Ökotoxikologie 4(3), 136-138 (1992).

K. Claussen, M. Herrmann und E.-D. Pick, „Entsorgung von Pflanzenschutzmittel-Restmengen, Teil II: Labor-Verbrennungsversuche zur thermischen Behandlung von Pflanzenschutzmittel-Resten“, Zeitschrift für Umweltchemie und Ökotoxikologie 4(3), 139-145 (1992).

Physico-chemical and technical data

Commission Directive 94/37/EC of 22 July 1994 amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market (Official Journal of the European Communities L194, 29 July 1994, p. 65).

Recommendations on the transport of dangerous goods, UN-Bowes-Cameron-Cages-Test, Chapter 14, No. 14.3.4

Part A: Methods for the determination of physico-chemical properties, Annex to Commission Directive 92/69/EEC of 31 July 1992 adapting to technical progress for the seventeenth time Council Directive 67/548/EEC on the approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances (Official Journal of the European Communities L 383 A, 29 December 1992):

- A.1 Melting/freezing temperature
- A.2 Boiling temperature
- A.3 Relative density
- A.4 Vapour pressure
- A.5 Surface tension
- A.6 Water solubility
- A.8 Partition coefficient
- A.9 Flash-point
- A.10 Flammability (solids)
- A.11 Flammability (gases)
- A.12 Flammability (contact with water)
- A.13 Pyrophoric properties of solids and liquids
- A.14 Explosive properties
- A.15 Auto-ignition temperature (liquids and gases)
- A.16 Relative self-ignition temperature for solids
- A.17 Oxidizing properties (solids)

Part C: Methods for the determination of ecotoxicity, Annex to Commission Directive 92/69/EEC of 31 July 1992 adapting to technical progress for the seventeenth time Council Directive 67/548/EEC on the approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances (Official Journal of the European Communities L 383 A, 29 December 1992):

- C.4 Biodegradation: determination of the 'ready' biodegradability; C.4-A: Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) die-away; C.4-B: Modified OECD screening test; C.4-C: Carbon dioxide (CO₂) evolution; C.4-D: Manometric respirometry; C.4-E: Closed bottle; C.4-F: MITI (Ministry of International Trade and Industry - Japan).
- C.7 Degradation: abiotic degradation: hydrolysis as a function of pH.

Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, SETAC Europe, Procedures for assessing the environmental fate and ecotoxicity of pesticides, M.R. Lynch (Editor), March 1995, Brussels (ISBN 90-5607-002-9).

OECD, „OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 110, Particle Size Distribution (Fibre Length and Diameter Distributions)“, OECD, Paris, 1981.

OECD, „OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 112: Dissociation Constants in Water“, OECD, Paris, 1981.

OECD, „OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 114, Viscosity of Liquids“, OECD, Paris, 1981.

OECD Draft, Proposal for a guideline: Phototransformation of Chemicals in Water - Direct Phototransformation, Berlin, September 1990.

CIPAC Handbooks, Standard methods of analysis for technical and formulated pesticides:

Handbook F (1995): W. Dobrat und A. Martijn (Herausgeber), Physico-chemical methods for technical and formulated pesticides, Miscellaneous techniques and impurities, Collaborative international pesticides analytical council limited, Harpenden:

- MT 15 Suspensibility of wettable powders in water
- MT 22 Viscosity
- MT 31 Free acidity or alkalinity
- MT 33 Tap density
- MT 34 Dustability tests after tropical storage
- MT 36 Emulsion characteristics of emulsifiable concentrates
- MT 39 Emulsifiable concentrates and solutions
- MT 41 Dilution stability of herbicide aqueous solutions

- MT 46 Accelerated storage procedure
- MT 47 Persistent foaming
- MT 48 Stability of tar oil products
- MT 51 Stability of undiluted tar-petroleum and petroleum oil products
- MT 53.3 Wetting of wettable powders
- MT 54 Stability of undiluted petroleum oil formulations, including those containing DNOC and tar products
- MT 58.3 Apparent density after compaction without pressure
- MT 59.1 Dry sieving-dusts
- MT 59.3 Wet sieving
- MT 75 Determination of pH values
- MT 148 Pourability of suspension concentrates
- MT 157.1 Preliminary test (water solubility)
- MT 157.2 Column elution method (solubility less than 10-2 g/l)
- MT 159 Pour and tap bulk density of granular materials
- MT 160 Spontaneity of dispersion of suspension concentrates
- MT 161 Suspensibility of aqueous suspension concentrates
- MT 167 Wet sieving after dispersion of water dispersible granules
- MT 168 Determination of the suspension stability of water dispersible granules
- MT 169 Tap density of water dispersible granules
- MT 171 Dustiness of granular products
- MT 172 Flowability of water dispersible granules after heat test under pressure
- MT 173 Colorimetric method for determination of the stability of dilute emulsions
- MT 174 Dispersibility of water dispersible granules
- MT 175 Determination of seed-to-seed uniformity of distribution for liquid seed-treatment formulations

Handbook H (1998): W. Dobrat and A. Martijn (editors), Analysis of technical and formulated pesticides, Miscellaneous techniques, Collaborative international pesticides analytical council limited, Harpenden:

- MT 178 Attrition resistance of granules
- MT 180 Dispersion stability of suspo-emulsions
- MT 181 Solubility in organic solvents.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil III, 2-1/1 (1988): Physikalische Eigenschaften von wasserdispergierbaren Granulaten - Probenvorbereitung, - Naßsiebung, - Schwebefähigkeit, - Stampfdichte, - Trockensiebung, - Staubmessung, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil III, 2-1/2 (1990): Physikalische Eigenschaften von wasserdispergierbaren Granulaten - Dispergierverhalten, - Fließfähigkeit (Verpackung), - Feinanteil, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil II, 4-1.1.3 (1987): Applikationsverhalten von Getreidebeizmitteln in Beizgeräten, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 6-1 (1990): Prüfung des Verflüchtungsverhaltens und des Verbleibs von Pflanzenschutzmitteln in der Luft, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Analytical methods

Commission Directive 96/46/EC of 16 July 1996 amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market (Official Journal of the European Communities L214, 23 August 1996, p. 18).

CIPAC Handbooks, Standard methods of analysis for technical and formulated pesticides:

- Handbook 1 (1970) (out of print)
- Handbook 1A (1980)
- Handbook 1B (1983) (ISBN 090295103)
- Handbook 1C (1985)
- Handbook D (1988)
- Handbook E (1993)
- Handbook G (1995)
- Handbook H (1998).

Commission of the European Communities (25 June 1998): Draft guidance document on residue analytical methods, Doc. 8064/VI/97-rev. 2.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil I, 1-2 (1990): Antrag auf erstmalige/erneute Zulassung eines Pflanzenschutzmittels - Anleitung zum Ausfüllen, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Blacha-Puller, M. , J. Goedicke, K.-H. Leist, H.-G. Nolting, J. Pauluhn, D. Pick, R. Pfeil, K. Riegner, J. Siebers, 1994: Analysenmethoden zur Bestimmung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln in Luft, Nachrichtenbl. Deut. Pflanzenschutzd. 46, 60-61.

Siebers, J., M. Blacha-Puller, K. Hohgardt, R. Hans, 1995: Analysenmethoden für Rückstände in Lebensmitteln tierischer Herkunft, Nachrichtenbl. Deut. Pflanzenschutzd. 47, 79.

Fate and behaviour in soil, water, air/Predicted environmental concentrations in soil, water and air (PEC)

Commission of the European Communities (19 June 1998): Draft guidance document on persistence in soil, Doc. 9188/VI/97-rev. 3.

Commission Directive 95/36/EC of 14 July 1995 amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market (Official Journal of the European Communities L172, 22 July 1995, p. 8).

Council Directive 80/778/EEC of 15 July 1980 relating to the quality of water intended for human consumption (Official Journal of the European Communities L 229, 30 August 1980, p. 11).

Council Directive 75/440/EEC of 16 June 1975 concerning the quality required of surface water intended for the abstraction of drinking water in the Member States (Official Journal of the European Communities, L 194, 25 July 1975, p. 26).

Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, SETAC Europe, Procedures for assessing the environmental fate and ecotoxicity of pesticides, M.R. Lynch (Editor), March 1995, Brussels (ISBN 90-5607-002-9).

OECD Draft, Proposal for a guideline: Phototransformation of Chemicals in Water - Direct Phototransformation, Berlin, September 1990.

OECD, „OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 106 : Adsorption/Desorption“, OECD, Paris, 1981.

OECD, „OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 301: Ready biodegradability, 301A: DOC Die-Away, 301B: CO₂ Evolution (Modified Sturm Test), 301C: MITI (I) Ministry of International Trade and Industry, Japan), 301D: Closed bottle , 301E: Modified OECD Screening“, OECD, Paris, 1992.

Part C: Methods for the determination of ecotoxicity, Annex to Commission Directive 92/69/EEC of 31 July 1992 adapting to technical progress for the seventeenth time Council Directive 67/548/EEC on the approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisi-

ons relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances (Official Journal of the European Communities L 383 A, 29 December 1992):

- C.4 Biodegradation: determination of the 'ready' biodegradability; C.4-A: Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) die-away; C.4-B: Modified OECD screening test; C.4-C: Carbon dioxide (CO₂) evolution; C.4-D: Manometric respirometry; C.4-E: Closed bottle; C.4-F: MITI (Ministry of International Trade and Industry - Japan).

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 4-1 (1986): Verbleib von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Boden - Abbau, Umwandlung und Metabolismus, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 4-2 (1986): Versickerungsverhalten von Pflanzenschutzmitteln, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 4-3 (1990): Lysimeteruntersuchungen zur Verlagerung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln in den Untergrund, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel. (Modifizierung der Lysimeterrichtlinie, Nachrichtenbl. Deut. PflSchutzd. 43, 183 (1991)).

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 5-1 (1990): Abbaubarkeit und Verbleib von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Wasser-Sediment-System, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 6-1 (1990): Prüfung des Verflüchtungsverhaltens und des Verbleibs von Pflanzenschutzmitteln in der Luft, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Effects of plant protection products on non-target organisms

Concerning all non-target organisms:

Commission Directive 96/12/EC of 8 March 1996 amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market (Official Journal of the European Communities L65, 15 March 1996, p. 20).

Terrestrial organisms

Commission of the European Communities: Draft guidance document on terrestrial ecotoxicology (in preparation).

Soil micro-organisms

EPPO (1994): Decision-making Scheme for the Environmental Risk Assessment of Plant Protection Products. Chapter 7. Soil microflora. EPPO Bulletin 24, 1-16.

SETAC (1995): Procedure for Assessing the Environmental Fate and Ecotoxicity of Pesticides. Soil Micro-organisms. 40-43. SETAC-Europe.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil VI, 1-1 (1990): Auswirkungen auf die Aktivität der Bodenmikroflora. Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Earthworms

OECD, „OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, No. 207: Earthworm acute toxicity tests“ OECD, Paris, 1984.

International Standard, ISO DIS 11268-2 (1993), Draft: Soil quality-Effects of pollutants on earthworms (*Eisenia fetida*), Part 2: Determination of effects on reproduction.

International Standard, ISO DIS 11268-3 (1996), Draft: Soil quality-Effects of pollutants on earthworms (*Eisenia fetida*), Part 3: Guidance on the determination of effects in field situations.

EPPO (1993): Decision-making Scheme for the Environmental Risk Assessment of Plant Protection Products. Chapter 8. Earthworms, EPPO Bulletin 23, 131-149.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil VI, 2-2 (1994): Auswirkungen von Pflanzenschutzmitteln auf die Reproduktion und das Wachstum von *Eisenia fetida*/E. andrei. Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil VI, 2-3 (1994): Auswirkungen von Pflanzenschutzmitteln auf Regenwürmer im Freiland. Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Honeybees

OECD, „OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals“. Draft proposal for a new guideline:
 - Honeybees, Acute oral toxicity. TG/9655. Doc. (1996).
 - Honeybees, Acute contact toxicity. TG/9656. Doc. (1996).

EPPO No. 170 (1992): Guideline on test methods for evaluating the side-effects of plant protection products on honeybees. EPPO Bulletin 22, 203-215.

EPPO (1993): Decision-making Scheme for the Environmental Risk Assessment of Plant Protection Products. Chapter 10. Honeybees, EPPO Bulletin 23, 151-165.

Oomen, P.A., de Ruijter, A., van der Steen, J.J.M. (1992): Method for honeybee brood feeding tests with insect growth-regulating insecticides. EPPO Bulletin 22, 613-616.

Wittmann, D. (1981): Bestimmung der LC50 von Dimilin WP 25 für Bienenbrut mit einem neuen Apis-Larven Test. Zeitschrift für angewandte Entomologie 92, 165-172.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil VI, 23-1 (1991): Auswirkungen von Pflanzenschutzmitteln auf die Honigbiene. Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Verordnung über die Anwendung bienengefährlicher Pflanzenschutzmittel (Bienenschutzverordnung) vom 22. Juli 1992. (Bundesgesetzblatt Teil I, Nr. 37 vom 4. August 1992, 1410-1411).

Beneficial arthropods (other than honeybees)

IOBC (1988): Guidelines for testing the effects of pesticides on beneficials-short description of test methods. IOBC-Bulletin 1988/XI/4.

IOBC (1992): Guidelines for testing the effects of pesticides on beneficial organisms-description of test methods. IOBC-Bulletin 1992/XV/3.

SETAC (1994): Guidance document on regulatory testing procedures for pesticides with non-target arthropods. SETAC-Europe.

EPPO No. 142 (1989): Guideline for the evaluation of side-effects of plant protection products on *Encarsia formosa*. EPPO Bulletin 19, 355-372.

EPPO No. 151 (1990): Guideline for the evaluation of side-effects of plant protection products on *Phytoseiulus persimilis*. EPPO Bulletin 20, 531-550.

EPPO No. 180 (1993): Guideline for the evaluation of side-effects of plant protection products on *Trichogramma cacoeciae*. EPPO Bulletin 23, 329-352.

EPPO (1994): Decision-making Scheme for the Environmental Risk Assessment of Plant Protection Products. Chapter 9. Arthropod natural enemies, EPPO Bulletin 24, 17-35.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil VI, 23-2.1.1 (1989): Auswirkung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln auf *Trichogramma cacoeciae* als Vertreter der Mikrohymenopteren im Laboratorium. Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil VI, 23-2.1.2 (1988): Auswirkung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln auf *Phygadeuon trichops* THOMSON als Vertreter der Makrohymenopteren im Laboratorium. Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil VI, 23-2.1.3 (1988): Auswirkung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln auf *Coccygomimus* (= *Pimpla*) *turionellae* (L.) als Vertreter der großen Ichneumoniden im Laboratorium. Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil VI, 23-2.1.4 (in preparation): Auswirkung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln auf *Aleochara bilineata*.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil VI, 23-2.1.5 (1989): Auswirkung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln auf *Coccinella septempunctata* L.. Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil VI, 23-2.1.6 (1991): Auswirkung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln auf *Drino inconspicua* MEIG. (Tachinidae) im Laboratorium. Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil VI, 23-2.1.7 (1989): Auswirkung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln auf die Schwebfliege *Syrphus corollae* (Fabr.) im Laboratorium. Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil VI, 23-2.1.8 (1991): Auswirkungen von Pflanzenschutzmitteln auf Imagines von *Poecilus cupreus* L. als Vertreter der Familie Carabidae (= Laufkäfer) im Laboratorium. Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil VI, 23-2.1.9 (in preparation): Auswirkungen von Pflanzenschutzmitteln auf *Pardosa* sp.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil VI, 23-2.3.3 (1981): Prüfung der Wirkung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln auf Nutzarthropoden der Baumschicht im Freiland. Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil VI, 23-2.3.4 (1991): Auswirkungen von Pflanzenschutzmitteln auf Raubmilben im Weinbau. Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Birds and mammals

OECD, „OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, No. 205: Avian Dietary Toxicity Test“, OECD, Paris, 1984.

OECD, „OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, No. 206: Avian Reproduction Test“, OECD, Paris, 1984.

EPP0 (1994): Decision-making Scheme for the Environmental Risk Assessment of Plant Protection Products. Chapter 11. Terrestrial vertebrates. EPP0 Bulletin 24, 37-87.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil VI, 25-1 (1993): Prüfung von Ködermitteln, Granulaten und behandeltem Saatgut auf Vogelgefährdung - Annahmeveruche. Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Aquatic organisms

Commission of the European Communities: Draft guidance document on aquatic ecotoxicology (in preparation).

OECD, „OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, No. 201: Alga, Growth Inhibition Test“, OECD, Paris, 1984.

OECD, „OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, No. 202 I and II: Daphnia sp., 14-day Reproduction Test (including Acute Immobilisation Test)“, OECD, Paris, 1981.

OECD, „OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, No. 203: Fish, Acute Toxicity Test“, OECD, Paris, 1984.

OECD, „OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, No. 204: Fish, Prolonged Toxicity Test: 14-day Study“, OECD, Paris, 1984.

OECD, „OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, No. 305 E: Bioaccumulation: Flow-through Fish Test“, OECD, Paris, 1981.

SETAC (1992): Guidance Document on Testing Procedures in Freshwater Mesocosms. SETAC-Europe.

SETAC (1992): Proceedings of a Workshop on Aquatic Microcosms for Ecological Assessment of Pesticides. SETAC-Europe.

Mitteilungen aus der Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft Berlin-Dahlem, Heft 315 (1995): Long-term toxicity test with *Chironomus riparius*: Development and validation of a new test system.

Hill, I.R., Heimbach, F., Leeuwangh, P. Matthiessen, P. (1994): Freshwater Field Tests for Hazard Assessment of Chemicals*. CRL Press, Inc. (561 pages).

*EWOFFT

EPPO (1993): Decision-making Scheme for the Environmental Risk Assessment of Plant Protection Products. Chapter 6. Aquatic organisms. EPPO Bulletin 23, 99-130.

Residue behaviour in and on treated products, food and feed

Commission Directive 96/68/EC of 21 October 1996 amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market (Official Journal of the European Communities L 277, 30 October 1996, p. 25).

Commission of the European Communities (22 July 1997): Draft-Guidelines for the generation of data concerning residues as provided in Annex II part A, section 6 and Annex III, part A, section 8 of Directive 91/414/EEC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market, Doc. 1607/VI/97-rev.1.

Appendices:

- 7028/VI/95 rev. 2: Appendix A - Metabolism and distribution in plants;

- 7029/VI/95 rev. 4: Appendix B - General recommendations for the design, preparation and realization of residue trials;
- 7524/VI/95 rev. 1: Appendix C - Testing of plant protection products in rotational crops;
- 7525/VI/95 rev. 1: Appendix D - Comparability, extrapolation, group tolerances and data requirements;
- 7035/VI/95 rev. 4: Appendix E - Processing studies;
- 7030/VI/95 rev. 2: Appendix F - Metabolism and distribution in domestic animals;
- 7031/VI/95 rev. 3: Appendix G - Livestock feeding studies;
- 7032/VI/95 rev. 4: Appendix H - Storage stability of residue samples;
- 7039/VI/95 : Appendix I - Calculation of Maximum Residue Levels and Safety Intervals e.g. Preharvest Intervals.

World Health Organization (1997): Guidelines for predicting dietary intake of pesticide residues (revised). Prepared by Global Environment Monitoring System - Food Contamination Monitoring and Assessment Programme (GEMS/Food) in collaboration with the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues. WHO/FSF/FOS/97.7. Programme of Food Safety and Food Aid, WHO, Geneva, 1997.

OECD, „OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 417: Toxicokinetics“, OECD, Paris, 1984.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 3-1 (1990): Prüfung des Rückstandsverhaltens - Allgemeines zu Art und Umfang der erforderlichen Untersuchungen/Unterlagen, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 3-2 (1992): Prüfung des Rückstandsverhaltens - Verbleib von Pflanzenschutzmitteln in und auf Pflanzen - Metabolismus, Metabolisierbarkeit und Abbau (Metabolismusrichtlinie Pflanze), Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 3-2/1 (1992): Prüfung des Rückstandsverhaltens - Schnelltest zur Metabolisierbarkeit und zum Abbau von organischen Pflanzenschutzmittel-Wirkstoffen in pflanzlichen Zellkulturen, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 3-3 (1990): Prüfung des Rückstandsverhaltens - Allgemeine Hinweise zur Planung, Anlage und Durchführung von Rückstandsversuchen, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 3-3.1.1 (1991): Prüfung des Rückstandsverhaltens - Prüfung des Rückstandsverhaltens in Getreide ausgenommen Mais, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 3-3.4 (1990): Prüfung des Rückstandsverhaltens - Untersuchungen an Traubenmost und Wein, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 3-4 (1990): Prüfung des Rückstandsverhaltens - Rückstandsversuche an verarbeiteten pflanzlichen Erzeugnissen (Verarbeitungsrichtlinie), Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 3-6 (1990): Prüfung des Rückstandsverhaltens - Auswertung von Rückstandsunterlagen: Wartezeiten und Höchstmengenvorschläge, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 3-7 (1993): Prüfung des Rückstandsverhaltens - Abschätzung der Aufnahme von Pflanzenschutzmittelrückständen über die Nahrung, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 3-8 (1989): Prüfung des Rückstandsverhaltens - zu analysierende Erntegüter, Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Richtlinien für die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil IV, 3-10 (1988): Prüfung des Rückstandsverhaltens von Pflanzenschutzmitteln in Nachbaurichtlinien (Nachbaurichtlinie), Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Verordnung über Höchstmengen an Rückständen von Pflanzenschutz- und Schädlingsbekämpfungsmitteln, Düngemitteln und sonstigen Mitteln in oder auf Lebensmitteln und Tabakerzeugnissen (Rückstands-Höchstmengenverordnung - RHmV) vom 1. September 1994 (BGBl. I S. 2299), zuletzt geändert durch die Dritte Verordnung zur Änderung der Rückstands-Höchstmengenverordnung vom 26. September 1997 (BGBl. I S. 2366).

Toxicology

Commission Directive 94/79/EC of 21 December 1994 amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market (Official Journal of the European Communities L 354 , 31 December 1994).

Council Directive 80/1107/EEC of 27 November 1980 on the protection of workers from the risks related to exposure to chemical, physical and biological agents at work (Official Journal of the European Communities L 327, 3 December 1980, p. 8).

Part B: Methods for the determination of toxicity, Commission Directive 87/302/EEC of 18 November 1987 adapting to technical progress for the ninth time Council Directive 67/548/EEC on the approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances (Official Journal of the European Communities L 133, 30 May 1988):

Sub-chronic oral toxicity test: 90-day repeated oral dose using rodent species

Sub-chronic oral toxicity test: 90-day repeated oral dose using non-rodent species

Sub-chronic dermal toxicity study: 90-day repeated dermal dose study using rodent species

Sub-chronic inhalation toxicity study: 90-day repeated inhalation dose study using rodent species

Teratogenicity test - rodent and non-rodent

Chronic toxicity test

Carcinogenicity test

Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity test

One-generation reproduction toxicity test

Two-generation reproduction toxicity test

Toxicokinetics

Mutagenicity testing and screening for carcinogenicity:

- Gene mutation - *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
- Mitotic recombination - *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
- In vitro mammalian cell gene mutation test
- DNA damage and repair - unscheduled DNA synthesis - mammalian cells in vitro
- Sister chromatid exchange assay in vitro
- Sex-linked recessive lethal test in *Drosophila melanogaster*
- In vitro mammalian cell transformation tests
- Rodent dominant lethal test
- In vivo mammalian germ-cell cytogenetics
- Mouse spot test
- Mouse heritable translocation.

Council Directive 90/394/EEC of 28 June 1990 on the protection of workers from the risks related to exposure to carcinogens at work (sixth special directive within the meaning of Article 16 (2) of Directive 89/39/EEC)(Official Journal of the European Communities L 196, 26 July 1990, p. 1).

Part B: Methods for the determination of toxicity, Annex to Commission Directive 92/69/EEC of 31 July 1992 adapting to technical progress for the seventeenth time Council Directive

67/548/EEC on the approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances (Official Journal of the European Communities L 383 A, 29 December 1992):

- B.1 Acute toxicity (oral)
- B.1 bis. Acute toxicity (oral) Fixed Dose Method
- B.2 Acute toxicity (inhalation)
- B.3 Acute toxicity (dermal)
- B.4 Acute toxicity (skin irritation)
- B.5 Acute toxicity (eye irritation)
- B.6 Skin sensitization
- B.7 Repeated dose (28 days) toxicity (oral)
- B.8 Repeated dose (28 days) toxicity (inhalation)
- B.9 Repeated dose (28 days) toxicity (dermal)
- B.10 Mutagenicity (in vitro mammalian cytogenetic test)
- B.11 Mutagenicity (in vivo mammalian bone-marrow cytogenetic test, chromosomal analysis)
- B.12 Mutagenicity (micronucleus test)
- B.13 Mutagenicity (Escherichia coli - reverse mutation assay)
- B.14 Mutagenicity (Salmonella typhimurium - reverse mutation assay).

Part B: Methods for the determination of toxicity and other health effects, Commission Directive 96/54/EEC of 30 July 1996 adapting to technical progress for the twenty second time Directive 67/548/EEC on the approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances (Official Journal of the European Communities L 248, 30 September 1996):

- B.15 Gene mutation - *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
- B.16 Mitotic recombination - *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
- B.17 In vitro mammalian cell gene mutation test
- B.18 DNA damage and repair - unscheduled DNA synthesis - mammalian cells in vitro
- B.19 Sister chromatid exchange assay in vitro

- B.20 Sex-linked recessive lethal test in *Drosophila melanogaster*
- B.21 In vitro mammalian cell transformation test
- B.22 Rodent dominant lethal test
- B.23 In vivo mammalian germ-cell cytogenetics
- B.24 Mouse spot test
- B.25 Mouse heritable translocation
- B.26 Sub-chronic oral toxicity test: 90-day repeated oral dose using rodent species
- B.27 Sub-chronic oral toxicity test: 90-day repeated oral dose using non-rodent species
- B.28 Sub-chronic dermal toxicity study: 90-day repeated dermal dose study using rodent species
- B.29 Sub-chronic inhalation toxicity study: 90-day repeated inhalation dose study using rodent species
- B.30 Chronic toxicity test
- B.31 Teratogenicity test - rodent and non-rodent
- B.32 Carcinogenicity test
- B.33 Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity test
- B.34 One-generation reproduction toxicity test
- B.35 Two-generation reproduction toxicity test
- B.36 Toxicokinetics
- B.37 Delayed neurotoxicity of organophosphorous substances after acute exposure
- B.38 Delayed neurotoxicity of organophosphorous substances: 28-day repeated dose.

OECD, "OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 401: Acute oral toxicity", OECD, Paris, 1987.

OECD, "OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 402: Acute dermal toxicity", OECD, Paris, 1987.

OECD, "OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 403: Acute inhalation toxicity", OECD, Paris, 1981.

OECD, "OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 404: Acute dermal irritation/corrosion", OECD, Paris, 1981.

OECD, "OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 405: Acute eye irritation/corrosion", OECD, Paris 1987.

OECD, "OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 406: Skin sensation", OECD, Paris, 1981.

OECD, "OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 407: Repeated dose 28-day oral toxicity, study in rodents", OECD, Paris, 1995.

OECD, "OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 408: Subchronic oral toxicity - Rodent: 90-day study", OECD, Paris, 1981.

OECD, "OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 414: Teratogenicity", OECD, Paris, 1981.

OECD, "OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 417: Toxicokinetics", OECD, Paris, 1984.

OECD, "OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 418: Acute delayed neurotoxicity of organophosphorus substances", OECD, Paris, 1995.

OECD, "OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 451: Carcinogenicity studies", OECD, Paris, 1981.

OECD, "OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 452: Chronic toxicity studies", OECD, Paris, 1981.

OECD, "OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, 453: Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity studies", OECD, Paris, 1981.

Commission Directive 91/325/EEC of 1. March 1991 adapting to technical progress for the twelfth time Council Directive 67/548/EEC on the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances (Official Journal of the European Communities L 180/1, 8 July 1991).

Verordnung zur Novellierung der Gefahrstoffverordnung zur Aufhebung der Gefährlichkeitsmerkmaleverordnung und zur Änderung der Ersten Verordnung zum Sprengstoffgesetz vom 26. Oktober 1993 (Bundesgesetzblatt Teil I, Nr. 57 vom 30. Oktober 1993, 1782), Anhänge I bis VI zur Gefahrstoffverordnung (Anlageband), Dritte Verordnung zur Änderung der Gefahrstoffverordnung vom 12. Juni 1998 (Bundesgesetzblatt Teil I, Nr. 35 vom 18. Juni 1998, 1286).

DFG (1985): "Kriterien zur Beurteilung von Mutagenitätsstudien mit Pflanzenschutzmitteln", Mitteilung XV der Kommission für Pflanzenschutz-, Pflanzenbehandlungs- und Vorratsschutzmittel der Deutschen Forschungsgemeinschaft.

Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft - Richtlinien zur Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Zulassungsverfahren, Teil I, 3-3 (1993): Kennzeichnung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln - Gesundheitsschutz - Hinweise in der Gebrauchsanleitung zum Schutz des Anwenders u. a. - Saphir Verlag, Ribbesbüttel.

Die "Mitteilungen aus der Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft Berlin-Dahlem" erscheinen in zwangloser Folge. Zuletzt erschienen:

100 Jahre Pflanzenschutzforschung

- Heft 343, 1998: 100 Jahre Pflanzenschutzforschung. Die Biologische Zentralanstalt in Kleinmachnow (1949-1991). Von Dr. Klaus Arlt, Dr. Holger Beer, Liselotte Buhr, Prof. Dr. Ulrich Burth und Dr. Barbara Jüttersonke, 79 S. 33 Abb., 4 Tab., DM 34,--
- Heft 344, 1998: 100 Jahre Pflanzenschutzforschung. Geschichte der Institute und Dienststellen der Biologischen Bundesanstalt. Teil II. Zusammengestellt von Prof. Dr. Wolfrudolf Laux, 100 S. 12 Abb., 5 Tab., DM 36,--
- Heft 345, 1998: 100 Jahre Pflanzenschutzforschung. Pflanzenschutz im Gartenbau. Unkrautforschung. Zusammengestellt von Dr. Georg F. Backhaus und Dr. Thomas Eggers. 128 S., 3 Abb., 6 Tab., DM 34,--
- Heft 346, 1998: 100 Jahre Pflanzenschutzforschung. Pflanzenschutz und Naturhaushalt. Zusammengestellt von Dr. Heidrun Vogt. 109 S., 13 Abb., 17 Tab., DM 30,--
- Heft 347, 1998: 100 Jahre Pflanzenschutzforschung. Die Prüfung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln und Pflanzenschutzgeräten. Zusammengestellt von Dipl.-Ing. Siegfried Rietz, Dr. Helmut Ehle und Dr. Peter Kaul. 191 S., 37 Abb., 30 Tab., DM 45,--
- Heft 348, 1998: 100 Jahre Pflanzenschutzforschung. Information, Recht, Geschichte. Zusammengestellt von Prof. Dr. Wolfrudolf Laux. 131 S., 7 Abb., 6 Tab., DM 30,--
- Heft 349, 1998: 100 Jahre Pflanzenschutzforschung. Aktuelle Forschungsschwerpunkte im Forst- und Rebschutz. Zusammengestellt von Prof. Dr. Alfred Wulf. 117 S., 21 Abb., 3 Tab., DM 33,--
- Heft 350, 1998: 100 Jahre Pflanzenschutzforschung. Geschichte der Institute und Dienststellen der Biologischen Bundesanstalt. Teil III. Zusammengestellt von Prof. Dr. Wolfrudolf Laux., 99 S., 20 Abb., 1 Tab., DM 29,--
- Heft 351, 1998: 100 Jahre Pflanzenschutzforschung. Verschiedene Themen. Zusammengestellt von Dr. Hans Becker. 62 S., 5 Abb., 1 Tab., DM 19,--
- Heft 352, 1998: 100 Jahre Pflanzenschutzforschung. Die Biologische Reichsanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft und die Entstehungsgeschichte eines reichseinheitlichen „Pflanzenschutzgesetzes“ (1914 bis 1937). Von Dr. phil. habil. Ulrich Sucker. DM
- Heft 353, 1998: 100 Jahre Pflanzenschutzforschung. Chronik zum 100-jährigen Jubiläum der Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft. Ergänzt und fortgeführt von Prof. Dr. Wolfrudolf Laux. 106 S., 146 Abb., 1 Tab., DM 28,--

- Heft 354, 1998: Datenanforderungen und Entscheidungskriterien der Europäischen Union und der Bundesrepublik Deutschland im Zulassungsverfahren für Pflanzenschutzmittel. 156 S. DM 37,--
- Heft 355, 1998: Analytik von Pflanzenschutzmitteln in Luft. Kurzfassungen von Methoden. Bearbeitet von Dr. W. Rödel und Dr. J. Siebers. 229 S., DM 53,--
- Heft 356, 1998: Egg Parasitoids. 5th International Symposium. International Organisation for Biological Control. Cali, Colombia, Marsh 1998. Edited by Dr. S. A. Hassan. 197 S., 42 Abb., 60 Tab., DM
- Heft 357, 1998: 51. Deutsche Pflanzenschutztagung in Halle/Saale, 5.-8. Oktober 1998. Bearb. von Prof. Dr. Wolfrudolf Laux. S., Abb., Tab., DM
- Heft 358, 1998: Data requirements and criteria for decision-making in the European Union and the Federal Republic of Germany for the authorization procedure of plant protection products. 158 S., DM

Die „Bibliographie der Pflanzeschuttliteratur“ ist die gedruckte Version der Datenbank PHYTOMED. Zuletzt erschien Neue Folge Band 31, Heft 4, 1996, bearbeitet von Prof. Dr. W. Laux u. Mitarb.

Anschrift für Tauschsendungen:

Please address exchanges to:

Adrezsez échangés, s'il vous plaît:

Para el canje dirigirse por favor a:

Bibliothek der Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft

Königin-Luise-Straße 19, D-14195 Berlin (Dahlem)

Postanschrift: 14191 Berlin

Werner Odenbach (Hrsg.)

Biologische Grundlagen der Pflanzenzüchtung

Ein Leitfaden für Studierende der Agrarwissenschaften, des Gartenbaus
und der Biowissenschaften

mit Beiträgen von Prof. Dr. Wulf Diepenbrock, Halle/Saale;
Prof. Dr. Karl Hammer, Gatersleben; Dr. Torsten Hoffmann, Potsdam;
Prof. Dr. Walter Hondelmann, Hamburg; Prof. Dr. Gertrud Linnert, Berlin;
Prof. Dr. Alexander Micke, Wien; Prof. Dr. Werner Odenbach, Berlin;
Prof. Dr. Werner Plarre, Berlin; Prof. Dr. Maria Dolores Sacristan, Berlin;
Prof. Dr. Otto Schieder, Berlin; Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Wernicke, Mainz;
Prof. Dr. Lothar Willmitzer, Golm

1997. XII, 384 Seiten mit 175 Abbildungen, davon 32 farbig, und
45 Tabellen. 17 x 24 cm. Broschiert. DM 98,- / öS 715,- / sFr 90,50
ISBN 3-8263-3096-X

Die Pflanzenzüchtung ist durch die gentechnische Forschung ins Gerede
gekommen. Auf viele Menschen wirken die scheinbar unkontrollierbaren
Möglichkeiten der Genmanipulation bedrohlich. Neben grundsätzlichen
Informationen zu diesem Sachverhalt ist es Hauptanliegen des Werkes,
über die wesentlichen biologischen Grundlagen pflanzenzüchterischen
Handelns zu informieren.

Jüngste Forschungsergebnisse der molekularen Biologie und Zellbiologie
wurden in den Darstellungen verarbeitet. Das Werk dient als Lehrbuch,
Informationsquelle und Nachschlagewerk zugleich.

In 12 Kapiteln werden die Entstehung von Kulturpflanzen, die physiologi-
schen Grundlagen der Entwicklung, des Ertrags und der Produktqualität
behandelt.

Es folgen Kapitel über die Anpassung der Kulturpflanzen an ihre Umwelt,
über die Biologie der geschlechtlichen Fortpflanzung mit besonderer
Berücksichtigung von Selbstinkompatibilität und cytoplasmatisch-
genetischer Pollensterilität und über die vegetative Vermehrung ein-
schließlich der *in vitro* Zell- und Gewebekulturmethoden. Die Besprechung
von Themen der molekularen und klassischen Genetik sowie der
Cytogenetik, Chromosomen- und Genommutationen schließt sich an.
Ein Kapitel ist der plasmatischen Vererbung gewidmet. Der Erzeugung
neuer genetischer Variation mit klassischen Techniken (Mutagenese,
Gewebebestarde, Polyploidisierung, Artbastardierung) und mit
biotechnischen Verfahren (somaklonale Variation, Zellfusion,
Gentechnik) ist das nächste Kapitel gewidmet. Das Buch schließt
mit einem Kapitel über molekulare Marker.

Preisstand: 1. April 1997

Zu beziehen durch den Buchhandel oder

Parey Buchverlag · Berlin

Kurfürstendamm 57 · D-10707 Berlin · Phone: +49 30 32 79 06-27/28

Fax: +49 30 32 79 06-44 · e-mail: parey@blackwis.de · Internet: <http://www.blackwis.com>

