



Validation of a Commercial Glanders ELISA as an Alternative to the CFT in International Trade of Equidae

Mandy Carolina Elschner^{1*}, Falk Melzer¹, Harisankar Singha², Saqib Muhammad³, Ian Gardner⁴ and Heinrich Neubauer¹

¹ Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut (FLI), Federal Research Institute for Animal Health, Institute of Bacterial Infections and Zoonoses, Jena, Germany, ² Indian Council of Agricultural Research, National Research Centre on Equines, Hisar, India, ³ Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan, ⁴ Department of Health Management, Atlantic Veterinary College, University of Prince Edward Island (UPEI), Charlottetown, PE, Canada

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Indranil Samanta,
West Bengal University of Animal and
Fishery Sciences, India

Reviewed by:

Alessandra Nassar,
Instituto Biológico, Brazil
Miguel A. Valvano,
Queen's University Belfast,
United Kingdom
Zaid Hussein,
University of Baghdad, Iraq

*Correspondence:

Mandy Carolina Elschner
mandy.elschner@fli.de

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Veterinary Infectious Diseases,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Veterinary Science

Received: 11 November 2020

Accepted: 15 January 2021

Published: 16 February 2021

Citation:

Elschner MC, Melzer F, Singha H,
Muhammad S, Gardner I and
Neubauer H (2021) Validation of a
Commercial Glanders ELISA as an
Alternative to the CFT in International
Trade of Equidae.
Front. Vet. Sci. 8:628389.
doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.628389

Glanders, caused by *Burkholderia (B.) mallei* is a notifiable zoonotic disease in equidae. For international trade and movement of equids, certificates of negative serological test results for antibodies against *B. mallei* are required. To date, the complement fixation test (CFT) is the mandatory test to issue these health certificates. The CFT is difficult to standardize and, due to its poor specificity, often leads to false-positive reactions resulting in trade restrictions with considerable financial consequences. In the present study, the new ID Screen Glanders Double Antigen Multispecies ELISA (GLANDA-ELISA) (IDvet, Grabels, France) was evaluated using 400 negative and 370 glanders positive field samples of equidae. The GLANDA-ELISA was significantly more specific (99.8%) than the CFT (97.0%). Considering the comparable sensitivities of CFT (96.5%) and ELISA (98.1%), this new GLANDA-ELISA test appears a suitable confirmatory test and a realistic alternative for serological testing of horses for trade or movement.

Keywords: glanders, *Burkholderia mallei*, serology, CFT, western blot, ELISA, sensitivity, specificity

INTRODUCTION

Burkholderia (B.) mallei is the causative agent of the zoonosis glanders of equidae. The horse, in which the infection is often chronic and asymptomatic, poses a risk for reintroducing the infection into areas where it had been eradicated. Mandatory testing and certification of freedom from glanders in the single animal before movement are intended to prevent spread of disease. Although the disease has been eradicated in most European and North American countries, regular outbreaks are reported in countries of South America and Asia (1–7).

The “gold (reference) standard” of glanders diagnostics is the isolation of *B. mallei* from clinical samples. However, poor sensitivity and considerable time consumption makes the cultural isolation a less preferred method for glanders diagnosis. Therefore, indirect methods such as the mallein test (allergic hypersensitivity test) and complement fixation test (CFT) are available. The mallein test is not accepted for trade testing and is generally not recommended because of animal welfare concerns. Furthermore, malleinised animals may undergo seroconversion and then show positive reactions in additional diagnostic methods, like CFT (8). However, the mallein test may be useful for glanders eradication in remote endemic regions (6, 9–11). In particular, CFT has been used

for decades for surveillance, confirmation of outbreaks and trade testing; it is also compulsory based on recommendations of the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) (8). Studies have shown that the CFT is very sensitive, but unfortunately it produces a considerable number of false-positive results (12–14), which then lead to serious restrictions on international trade. CFT also has the technical disadvantages like it is labor-intensive and difficult to standardize because there are no international standard sera available. The test antigens and the testing protocols used in the laboratories differ, which can have significant influence on the results (15–18). Thus, attempts have been made to develop alternative tests with comparable sensitivity and higher specificity for years. Methods such as Western blot (WB) and various ELISAs have already been developed and some were already validated according to the principles of validation of diagnostic assays for infectious diseases (14, 18–20). For example, a Western blot procedure showed a significantly higher diagnostic specificity when compared to CFT and currently is used in Germany as a confirmatory test for glanders (13, 14, 21). Although there are tests that are comparable to CFT in terms of sensitivity and specificity, but are not yet been commercially available and officially approved by competent authorities. The aim of the study was to evaluate whether the new GLANDA-ELISA, developed and commercialized by IdVet (IDvet, Grabels, France) could be accepted as an alternative method to CFT for the examination of equidae for trade certificates.

METHODS

Sera

True-positive field serum samples ($n = 370$) were collected from equids during glanders outbreaks in Pakistan 2007, 2016–2020 ($n = 236$), and India ($n = 132$) in 2016 and 2017. Two samples originated from horses found positive by CFT, WB, and PCR in Germany, in 2006 and 2014. The samples from India and Pakistan originated from animals in which the infection was either confirmed by clinical signs and CFT ($n = 117$), or by *B. mallei* isolation or molecular detection of *B. mallei* using real-time PCR ($n = 251$) as recommended by OIE (8). The animals were considered to be “clinically positive” on the basis of clinical signs consistent with glanders, and the fact, that they were detected during a cultural confirmed glanders outbreak in its population including close contact to infected animals. The whole collection consisted of 338, 25, and 7 sera from horses, mules, and donkeys, respectively.

True-negative samples ($n = 400$), were collected in Germany, which is officially free from glanders. All samples were collected non-randomly during routine testing by CFT for trade or movement.

Ethics Statement

For this study, no ethical approvals were required. All blood samples were routinely collected for prescribed diagnostic purposes or official monitoring studies and subsequently made available to this study.

TABLE 1 | Name of the test, test developer, and antigens used in the 3 assays compared.

Test	Developer/protocol used	Antigen used
ID Screen Glanders Double Antigen Multispecies ELISA (GLANDA ELISA)	IDvet, Grabels, France	Recombinant antigen and a second recombinant protein of <i>B. mallei</i>
Western blot (WB)	FLI, Germany (13)	Crude preparations of LPS antigen of 3 different <i>B. mallei</i> strains Bogor, Mukteswar, and Bahrain1
Complement fixation test (CFT)	OIE-Manual (8)	Malleus-CFT antigen; Ccpro GmbH (Oberdorla, Germany) prepared from <i>B. mallei</i> strain Ivan-NCTC 10230

Description of the Tests

The characteristics of the 3 tests compared in this study are given in **Table 1**.

Complement Fixation Test (CFT)

CFT was performed in a 96 well “U” bottom plate as described in the OIE manual (8). Serum samples (1:5 diluted) were tested with Malleus CFT antigen (Ccpro GmbH, Germany) and 5 complement haemolytic units-50% of guinea pig complement (Institute Virion/Serion GmbH, Germany). Serum, complement and antigen were mixed and incubated overnight at 4°C. Then a 2% suspension of sensitized sheep red blood cells (Institute Virion/Serion GmbH) was added, and after 45 min at 37°C the plate was centrifuged for 5 min at 600 g. A sample that produced 100% haemolysis at the 1/5 dilution was classified as negative, 25–75% haemolysis as suspicious, and no haemolysis was positive.

Western Blot (WB)

The Western blot has been described in detail in previous publications (13, 14). Briefly, LPS-containing antigens of *B. mallei* strains Bogor, Mukteswar, and Bahrain1 were transferred on a nitrocellulose membrane. For the immunoblot analysis the serum samples at a dilution of 1:50 were incubated with the membranes. Anti-horse conjugate labeled with alkaline phosphatase (Sigma, Munich, Germany) was used at a dilution of 1:5,000 as the secondary antibody. Detection was performed by a ready to use NBT-BCIP[®] staining system (Sigma). The WB was scored positive, if the banding pattern of the LPS ladder was clearly visible within the region of 20–60 Kilo Dalton (kDa).

ID Screen Glanders Double Antigen Multispecies ELISA (GLANDA-ELISA)

The GLANDA-ELISA (IDVet, Grabels, France) was provided as a ready-to-use kit and was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The kit contained recombinant *B. mallei* antigen-coated plates with individual 8-well strips, positive and negative controls, 10x concentrated recombinant purified *Burkholderia mallei* protein antigen-HRP conjugate, dilution buffers, 20x wash concentrate, TMB substrate solution, and

TABLE 2 | Diagnostic specificity (D_{Sp}) of 400 tested true negative samples, and diagnostic sensitivity (D_{Se}) of 370 tested true positive samples with respective 95% CI; TN-true negatives, TP-true positives, FN-false negatives, FP-false positives.

D_{Sp} testing 400 true-negative samples				
	FP	TN	D_{Sp}%	CI 95%
GLANDA-ELISA	1	399	99.8	98.6–100.0
WB	3	397	99.2	97.8–99.8
CFT	12	388	97.0	94.8–98.4
D_{Se} testing 370 true-positive samples				
	FN	TP	D_{Se}%	CI 95%
GLANDA-ELISA	7	363	98.1	96.1–99.2
WB	10	360	97.3	95.1–98.7
CFT	13	357	96.5	94.1–98.1

TABLE 3 | Likelihood ratios for GLANDA-ELISA, CFT, and WB and predictive values in scenarios of 0.01 and 3% disease prevalence.

Assay	GLANDA-ELISA	CI 95%	WB	CI 95%	CFT	CI 95%
LR+	392.4	55.4–2779.3	129.7	42.0–400.6	32.2	18.4–56.2
LR-	0.02	0.01–0.04	0.03	0.01–0.05	0.04	0.02–0.06
Prevalence 0.01%						
PPV	4.68	0–98.0	1.20	0–47.5	0.32	0–15.4
NPV	100	99.5–100	100	99.5–100	100	99.5–100
Prevalence 3%						
PPV	93.8	76.0–99.6	79.0	59.5–92.0	49.9	34.4–65.3
NPV	99.9	99.4–100	99.9	99.3–100	99.9	99.3–100

LR+, Positive Likelihood Ratio; LR-, Negative Likelihood Ratio; PPV, Positive Predictive Value; NPV, Negative Predictive Value.

TABLE 4 | Frequency of combinations of test results in known infected and non-infected populations.

Infected population (true-positives)		Non-infected population (true-negatives)	
GLANDA-ELISA+ CFT+ WB+	348	GLANDA-ELISA- CFT- WB-	384
GLANDA-ELISA+ CFT- WB+	10	GLANDA-ELISA- CFT+ WB-	12
GLANDA-ELISA+ CFT+ WB-	4	GLANDA-ELISA- CFT- WB+	3
GLANDA-ELISA- CFT+ WB-	3	GLANDA-ELISA+ CFT- WB-	1
GLANDA-ELISA- CFT+ WB+	2		
GLANDA-ELISA- CFT- WB-	2		
GLANDA-ELISA+ CFT- WB-	1		
Total	370	Total	400

Combinations of test results with zero counts are not listed in the table.

stop solution (0.5 M). Briefly, 90 μ l dilution buffer and 10 μ l of the serum samples were added to an antigen-coated well. After 45 ± 5 min incubation at $21 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$, wells were emptied, washed 3 times and incubated with the conjugate for 30 ± 3 min at $21 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$. Washing was repeated 3 times. Then 100 μ l of conjugate (1x) were added and after incubation for 30 ± 3 min the 3-fold washing procedure was repeated. Finally, 100 μ l of the substrate solution were added and after 15 ± 2 min incubation at $21 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$ the reaction was stopped by 100 μ l of the stop solution and OD 450 nm value was recorded. S/P% values were calculated and samples with S/P% <70% were considered as negative, and $\geq 70\%$ as positive.

Statistical Analysis

Calculations including 95% confidence interval (CI) were based on standard formulas (22) and done using MedCalc version 13.1.0.0 (<https://www.medcalc.org/>). Sensitivity and specificity of test pairs were compared with McNemar's test for correlated proportions with $P < 0.05$ considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Diagnostic Specificity (D_{Sp}) and Diagnostic Sensitivity (D_{Se})

The data and calculations for D_{Sp} and D_{Se} are given in Table 2. When comparing all tests in pairs between the three

tests, no significant differences in DSe were found. However, when comparing the results for the true-negative samples, the GLANDA-ELISA was highly significantly more specific than the CFT ($P = 0.003$) and the WB ($P = 0.035$) also showed a significant higher DSp than the CFT.

Likelihood Ratios and Predictive Values

Table 3 shows the positive and negative likelihood ratio values of the tests, as well as the positive and negative predictive values for scenarios of 0.01 and 3% disease prevalence, which were considered to be the typical or worst case prevalences in equid populations, respectively.

The frequencies of combinations of test results in known infected and non-infected populations are shown in **Table 4**.

DISCUSSION

The CFT test has been used for serological diagnosis of glanders for more than 100 years (23). In particular, its high sensitivity in combination with a consistent culling of test-positive equidae has contributed to the eradication of the disease in many regions of the world. However, the lack of specificity and the resulting obstacles to the trade of equids on the one hand, and the difficulties in standardization of the CFT on the other hand, have stimulated research for alternative serological methods (15–17). In addition to Western blot methods (13, 21, 24), several ELISA based on crude *B. mallei* antigen preparations and various recombinant proteins have already been developed and evaluated (14, 19, 20, 25, 26).

In the present study, the diagnostic accuracy of the commercially-available GLANDA-ELISA and the OIE-described CFT were compared on samples from equids of known infection status. Furthermore, the WB used presently as confirmatory test in the German approach for glanders serodiagnosis (21) was included in the comparison.

Based on the results of 400 negative serum samples, it was shown, that the GLANDA-ELISA was significantly more specific than the CFT. Previously published reports have shown that ELISAs can improve specificity. The specificity of an iELISA using HCP1 as single antigen was 99.6%, but sensitivity was only 95.3% (14). For all validation studies of serological tests for glanders, the procurement of positive samples is the greatest challenge. The acquisition of positive samples for this study was not only based on their serologically positive results, but was done by highly experienced veterinarians in Pakistan and India considering the epidemiologic situation, clinical investigations, and results of confirmatory microbiological tests. This approach was also used in a preliminary study (14) and allowed the number of true-positive samples to be increased and thus the precision in DSe estimates was increased. The evaluation of test sensitivity in this study revealed comparable data for CFT, GLANDA-ELISA, and WB. For international trade it is generally accepted that the most sensitive test has to be applied to avoid an introduction of infected animals into disease-free populations (27). The OIE specifications for a confirmative serological test for glanders are

equal or higher sensitivity and higher specificity than for the CFT (8). Considering both sensitivity and specificity, the WB (97.3%, 99.2%) and the GLANDA-ELISA (98.1%, 99.8%) met these OIE requirements.

However, the CFT is still the prescribed method for trade purposes to certify individual animal free from glanders (8). The test requires experienced operators because performing the assay is demanding and the results depend on the quality of the antigen and procedures used, such as incubation conditions (15, 16). Study data confirmed that combinations of different tests can enhance the DSp of serological diagnosis i.e., CFT with the ELISA ($p = 0.003$) and CFT and WB ($p = 0.035$). In Germany, false-positive CFT results are ruled out by using the WB as a confirmatory test (21). The WB is very well-suited for individual animal testing but it is time consuming and restricted to few experienced laboratories. However, this study shows, that the GLANDA-ELISA can identify infected animals with high confidence and demonstrates the freedom from glanders in animals for movement. The reason for the very good test properties with regard to sensitivity and specificity might be the new double antigen approach of the GLANDA-ELISA, which is hitherto unique to glanders ELISAs. In particular, the rapid and simple testing protocol qualify the GLANDA-ELISA as a reliable method even for handling large number of samples in standard diagnostic laboratories.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethical review and approval was not required for the animal study because for this study, no ethical approvals were required. All blood samples were routinely collected for prescribed diagnostic purposes or official monitoring studies and subsequently made available to this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ME, HS, and SM: collection, characterization of samples, and writing—original draft. ME: investigation. ME and IG: statistical data analysis. HN: supervision. ME, HS, SM, FM, IG, and HN: writing—review and editing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks go to Peggy Marten for her skilled technical work at the FLI laboratory. Thanks also go to the OIE for supporting collecting samples.

REFERENCES

- Ghori MT, Khan MS, Khan JA, Rabbani M, Shabbir MZ, Chaudhry HR, et al. Seroprevalence and risk factors of glanders in working equines - findings of a cross-sectional study in Punjab province of Pakistan. *Acta Trop.* (2017) 176:134–9. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2017.07.031
- Arun S, Neubauer H, Gurel A, Ayyildiz G, Kescu B, Yesildere T, et al. Equine glanders in Turkey. *Vet Rec.* (1999) 144:255–8. doi: 10.1136/vr.144.10.255
- Mota RA, da Fonseca Oliveira AA, da Silva AM, Junior JW, da Silva LB, de Farias Brito M, et al. Glanders in donkeys (*Equus Asinus*) in the state of pernambuco, Brazil: a case report. *Braz J Microbiol.* (2010) 41:146–9. doi: 10.1590/S1517-83822010000100021
- Malik P, Singha H, Goyal SK, Khurana SK, Tripathi BN, Dutt A, et al. Incidence of *Burkholderia mallei* infection among indigenous equines in India. *Vet Rec Open.* (2015) 2:e000129. doi: 10.1136/vetreco-2015-000129
- Singha H, Shanmugasundaram K, Tripathi BN, Saini S, Khurana SK, Kanani A, et al. Serological surveillance and clinical investigation of glanders among indigenous equines in India from 2015 to 2018. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* (2020) 67:1336–48. doi: 10.1111/tbed.13475
- Erdemsurakh O, Ochirbat K, Gombosuren U, Tserendorj B, Purevdorj B, Vanaabaatar B, et al. Seroprevalence of equine glanders in horses in the central and eastern parts of Mongolia. *J Vet Med Sci.* (2020) 82:1247–52. doi: 10.1292/jvms.20-0219
- Scholz HC, Pearson T, Hornstra H, Projahn M, Terzioglu R, Wernery R, et al. Genotyping of *Burkholderia mallei* from an outbreak of glanders in Bahrain suggests multiple introduction events. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* (2014) 8:e3195. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0003195
- OIE. Chapter 2.5.11: Glanders and melioidosis. In: *Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals.* (2018). Available online at: http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/2.05.11_GLANDERS.pdf (accessed January 25, 2021).
- de Carvalho Filho MB, Ramos RM, Fonseca AA Jr., de Lima Orzil L, Sales ML, de Assis Santana VL, et al. Development and validation of a method for purification of mallein for the diagnosis of glanders in equines. *BMC Vet Res.* (2012) 8:154. doi: 10.1186/1746-6148-8-154
- da Silva KP, de Campos Takaki GM, da Silva LB, Saukas TN, Santos AS, Mota RA. Assessment of the effectiveness of the PPD-mallein produced in Brazil for diagnosing glanders in mules. *Braz J Microbiol.* (2013) 44:179–181. doi: 10.1590/S1517-83822013005000022
- Adhikari N, Acharya KP, Wilson RT. The potential for an outbreak of glanders in Nepal. *Trop Med Health.* (2019) 47:57. doi: 10.1186/s41182-019-0185-2
- Neubauer H, Sprague LD, Zacharia R, Tomaso H, Al Dahouk S, Wernery R, et al. Serodiagnosis of *Burkholderia mallei* infections in horses: state-of-the-art and perspectives. *J Vet Med B Infect Dis Vet Public Health.* (2005) 52:201–5. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0450.2005.00855.x
- Elschner MC, Scholz HC, Melzer F, Saqib M, Marten P, Rassbach A, et al. Use of a western blot technique for the serodiagnosis of glanders. *BMC Vet Res.* (2011) 7:4. doi: 10.1186/1746-6148-7-4
- Elschner MC, Laroucau K, Singha H, Tripathi BN, Saqib M, Gardner I, et al. Evaluation of the comparative accuracy of the complement fixation test, western blot and five enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays for serodiagnosis of glanders. *PLoS ONE.* (2019) 14:e0214963. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0214963
- Khan I, Wieler LH, Melzer F, Gwida M, Santana VL, de Souza MM, et al. Comparative evaluation of three commercially available complement fixation test antigens for the diagnosis of glanders. *Vet Rec.* (2011) 169:495. doi: 10.1136/vr.d5410
- Khan I, Wieler LH, Saqib M, Melzer F, Santana VL, Neubauer H, et al. Effect of incubation temperature on the diagnostic sensitivity of the glanders complement fixation test. *Rev Sci Tech.* (2014) 33:869–875. doi: 10.20506/rst.33.3.2324
- Laroucau K, Colaneri C, Jay M, Corde Y, Drapeau A, Durand B, et al. Interlaboratory ring trial to evaluate CFT proficiency of European laboratories for diagnosis of glanders in equids. *Vet Rec.* (2016) 178:632. doi: 10.1136/vr.103617
- Abreu DC, Gomes AS, Tessler DK, Chiebao DP, Fava CD, Romaldini A, et al. Systematic monitoring of glanders-infected horses by complement fixation test, bacterial isolation, and PCR. *Vet Anim Sci.* (2020). doi: 10.100147. doi: 10.1016/j.vas.2020.100147
- Laroucau K, Saqib M, Martin B, Deshayes T, Bertin C, Wernery U, et al. Development of a microsphere-based immunoassay for the serological detection of glanders in equids. *Acta Trop.* (2020) 207:105463. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2020.105463
- Singha H, Malik P, Goyal SK, Khurana SK, Mukhopadhyay C, Eshwara VK, et al. Optimization and validation of indirect ELISA using truncated TssB protein for the serodiagnosis of glanders amongst equines. *Sci World J.* (2014) 2014:469407. doi: 10.1155/2014/469407
- Khan I, Elschner MC, Melzer F, Gwida M, Wieler LH, Ali R, et al. Performance of complement fixation test and confirmatory immunoblot as two-cascade testing approach for serodiagnosis of glanders in an endemic region of South East Asia. *Berliner und Munchener Tierarztliche Wochenschrift.* (2012) 125:117–121.
- Dohoo I MW, Stryhn H. *Veterinary Epidemiologic Research.* Charlottetown, PE: VER Inc. (2009).
- Povitzky OR. Prompt macroscopic agglutination in the diagnosis of glanders. *J Immunol.* (1918) 3:463–79.
- Yazdanesad S, Mosavari N, Tadayon K, Mehregan I. Development of an immunoblotting assay for serodiagnosis of *Burkholderia mallei* infection: the whole-cell proteome-based paradigm. *Iran J Microbiol.* (2019) 11:232–8. doi: 10.18502/ijm.v11i3.1326
- Singh S, Dohre SK, Kamthan A, Pal V, Karothia BS, Singha HS, et al. Improvement of recombinant-truncated *Burkholderia motility* protein A (BimA)-based indirect ELISA for equine glanders. *J Immunoassay Immunochem.* (2018) 39:565–75. doi: 10.1080/15321819.2018.1523800
- Pal V, Kumar S, Malik P, Rai GP. Evaluation of recombinant proteins of *Burkholderia mallei* for serodiagnosis of glanders. *Clin Vaccine Immunol.* (2012) 19:1193–98. doi: 10.1128/CVI.00137-12
- Jacobson RH. Validation of serological assays for diagnosis of infectious diseases. *Rev Sci Tech.* (1998) 17:469–86. doi: 10.20506/rst.17.2.1119

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Elschner, Melzer, Singha, Muhammad, Gardner and Neubauer. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.