LD_{50} data sets (of which 11 were insecticides), discrete LD_{50} values were determined for both honeybees and bumblebees. For all of those 18 data sets the ratio of honeybee contact LD_{50} values divided by bumblebee contact LD_{50} value was lower than one, demonstrating that honeybees were more sensitive to the test substances than bumblebees.

Similarly, lower or similar oral sensitivity of bumblebees vs. honeybees was determined (Figure 2). Where the endpoint was the maximum dose tested, a ratio of 1:1 was rare because the endpoint is adjusted according to actual dose consumption. For 12 (and 11 of those were insecticides) of the 52 acute oral LD_{50} data sets, discrete acute oral LD_{50} values were determined for both honeybees and bumblebees. Only for one insecticide a higher acute oral bumblebee sensitivity compared to honeybees was determined (for two different formulations). For this insecticide, higher tier semi-field data with *B. terrestris* is available and results do not indicate any negative impact on bumblebees or their colony development at the maximum intended use rate.

B. terrestris worker bees are about 3-times heavier in terms of body weight than *A. mellifera* worker bees. Therefore, lower or similar contact and oral sensitivity of the bumblebee species vs. the honeybee was also found in terms of body weight.

Conclusions

Overall, the ECPA company data evaluation indicates for a wide range of plant protection products that bumblebees are not more sensitive than honeybees based on acute toxicity assessment supporting similar previous findings[2, 3].

References

Arena M, Sgolastra F (2013): A meta-analysis comparing the sensitivity of bees to pesticides. Ecotoxicology 23: 324–334.

Thompson HM (2001): Assessing the exposure and toxicity of pesticides to bumblebees (*Bombus* sp.). Apidologie 32: 305–321.

Thompson H (2015): Extrapolation of acute toxicity across bee species. Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management 12 (4): 622–626.

4.12 Impact of pesticide residue on Japanese Orchard Bees (Osmia cornifrons) development and mortality

Ngoc Phan[1*], Ed Rajotte[1], David Biddinger[1,2*]

[1] Department of Entomology, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

[2] Penn State Fruit Research and Extension Center, Biglerville, PA 17307, USA

[*] Corresponding authors (nqp5173@psu.edu; djb134@psu.edu)

DOI 10.5073/jka.2018.462.051

Keywords: Japanese orchard bee, *Osmia cornifrons*, pesticide residue, integrated pest and pollinator management, IPPM, toxicity, contact toxicity, pestiside residue

Introduction

Pollinators are crucial to high value crop production such as apples. Pesticide use in these crops can sometimes reduce pollinator populations. Some pesticide use is necessary to control insects and disease which threaten farm profitability and sustainability. A new approach to this problem is Integrated Pest and Pollinator Management (IPPM) which maintains adequate pest management while protecting pollinator health. Several pieces of information are needed in order to construct an IPPM program. An important piece of information is the toxicity of pesticides to various pollinator species, including wild solitary bees. To better understand the effects of pesticide application on the wild pollinators, we will evaluate the impacts of pesticide residue on the Japanese Orchard Bee (JOB), *Osmia cornifrons*, a promising alternative pollinator for the fruit industry.

Our previous work has shown that a shift in application timing to 10 days before apple bloom can reduce the pesticide levels that moves into the nectar and pollen, but still effectively control pre-bloom pests. Present study evaluates the toxicity pesticide-contaminated pollen on the development and mortality of JOB. We have already examined the acute contact and ingestion toxicity on JOB adults, but we need to fully understand the impacts of pesticide residues in pollen stores on larval developmental stages. This research is crucial to developing an apple IPPM program that allows the safe use of pesticides for pests control without harming pollinators.

Materials and Methods

Larval JOB bioassays were conducted based on field-realistic pesticide concentrations found in flowers taken in previous years at 0.1x dose, 1x dose, and 10x dose. Treatments were mixed with homogenized provision thoroughly before partition by 0.3 grams per well. Eggs would be placed on top of prepared provisions.

Treatments for application were:

- Assail 30SG (acetamiprid) at 1.8 ppb, 18 ppb, and 180 ppb;
- Syllit (dodine) at 1.1 ppb, 11 ppb, and 110 ppb;
- Closer SC (sulfoxaflor) at 4.4 ppb, 44 ppb, and 440 ppb;
- Beleaf 50SG (flonicamid) at 51.2 ppb, 512 ppb, and 5120 ppb.

16 bees were used per replication, and there were 3 replications per treatment. A total of 672 eggs were collected from nest straws, then reared at 25oC, RH 65%. Each larva was kept separately in different clear plastic wells (12mm in diameter, 12mm in depth). Daily observations made of all individuals from egg-hatching until cocoon completion. The stages easily observed and recorded were: eggs, 1st instar (inside egg corion), 2nd instar (starts to feed on provision), 5th instar (begins defecation), inititation of cocoon spinning, and cocoon completion. Growth rate and development time were accessed. Data on the 5th instar larvae's weights were collected daily.

a) Larva feeds on corion.

b) Larva starts to feed on pollen.



c) Mandibles develop.

d) Head capsule develop. Hairy body.

e) Larva starts to defecate.

f) Larva starts spinning cocoon.

Fig. 1 Development stages of Osmia cornifrons: (a) 1st instar, (b) 2nd instar, (c) 3rd instar, (d) 4th instar, (e) 5th instar, (f) cocoon initiation.

Results

Preliminary analysis indicates the relevant doses that occur from pre-bloom pesticide applications were not directly toxic to the larvae, but did significantly delay larval development. These larvae are now being evaluated for pupal mortality, adult emergence from diapause and adult weight as further effects from these field relevant doses.



Fig. 2 Average development time from 1st instar to cocoon of Treatments vs. Control (N = 48 each group).



Fig. 3 Changes in average weights of 5th instar on the first 10 days (N = 48 each group)

References

Abbott V. A., J. L. Nadeau, H. A. Higo, and M. L. Winston, 2008: Lethal and Sublethal Effects of Imidacloprid on Osmia lignaria and Clothianidin on Megachile rotundata (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae). J Econ Entomol 101 (3): 784–796.

Batra, S. W. T., 1979: Osmia cornifrons and Pithitis smaragdula, two Asian bees introduced into the United States for crop pollination. Proc. IV Internat. Symp. Pollination, Maryland Agric. Exp. Sta. Spec. Misc. Publ. 1: 207–312.

Biddinger, D. J. and E. G. Rajotte, 2015.: Integrated pest and pollinator management – adding a new dimension to an accepted paradigm. Current Opinion in Insect Science 10: 204–209.

Biddinger, D. J., J. Robertson, C. Mullin, J. Frazier, N. Joshi, M. Vaughn, and S. Ashcraft, 2013: Comparative toxicities and synergism of orchard pesticides to Apis mellifera (L.) and Osmia cornifrons (Radoszkowski). PloS One 8(9): e72587.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072587.

Hopwood, J., M. Vaughan, M. Shepherd, D. Biddinger, E. Mader, S. Black, and C. Mazzacano, 2012: Are Neonicotinoids Killing Bees? A Review of Research into the Effects of Neonicotinoid Insecticides on Bees with Recommendations for Action. The Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation, Portland, OR. 44p.

URL: http://www.xerces.org/neonicotinoids-and-bees/

Konrad R., N. Ferry, A. M. R. Gatehouse, and Babendreier D, 2008: Potential Effects of Oilseed Rape Expressing Oryzacystatin–1 (OC–1) and of Purified Insecticidal Proteins on Larvae of the Solitary Bee Osmia bicornis. PLoS ONE 3(7): e2664.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002664.

Kyeong Y. L., J. Y. Hyung, S. L. Kwang, and R. J. Byung, 2016: Development and mating behavior of Osmia cornifrons (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae) in the constant temperature. Journal of Asia–Pacific Entomology 19: 281–287.

Maeta, Y. and T. Kitamura, 1981: Pollinating efficiency by Osmia cornifrons (Radoszkowski) in relation to required number of nesting bees for economic fruit production. Honeybee Science 2, 65–72.

Park, M., et al. 2012: Wild Pollinators of Eastern Apple Orchards and How to Conserve Them. Cornell University, Penn State University, and The Xerces Society.

URL: http://www.northeastipm.org/park2012

Vaughan, M. & D. Biddinger, 2015: Wild Pollinators of Eastern Apple Orchards and How to Conserve Them. 2nd edition. Cornell University, Penn State University, and The Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation. 19 p.

URL: http://www.northeastipm.org/park2012

Yamada, M., N. Oyama, N. Sekita, S. Shirasaki and C. Tsugawa, 1971: The ecology of the megachilids bee Osmia cornifrons and its utilization for apple pollination. Bulletin of the Aomori Apple Experiment Station, 1–80.

462

Julius-Kühn-Archiv

Pieter A. Oomen, Jens Pistorius (Editors)

Hazards of pesticides to bees

13th International Symposium of the ICP-PR Bee Protection Group

18. - 20. October 2017, València (Spain)

\- Proceedings -

Julius Kühn-Institut
Bundesforschungsinstitut für Kulturpflanzen

History ICPPR-Bee Protection Group conferences

1st Symposium, Wageningen, the Netherlands, 1980
2nd Symposium, Hohenheim, Germany, 1982
3rd Symposium, Harpenden, UK, 1985
4th Symposium, Řež, Czech Republic, 1990
5th Symposium, Wageningen, the Netherlands, 1993
6th Symposium, Braunschweig, Germany, 1996
7th Symposium, Avignon, France, 1999
8th Symposium, Bologna, Italy, 2002
9th Symposium, York, UK, 2005
10th Symposium, Bucharest, Romania, 2008
11th Symposium, Wageningen, the Netherlands, 2011
12th Symposium, Ghent, Belgium, 2014
13th Symposium València, Spain, 2017
14th Symposium scheduled, Bern, 2019

Organising committee 13th conference

Dr. Jens Pistorius (Julius Kühn-Institut, Germany)
Dr. Anne Alix (Dow Agrosciences, United Kingdom)
Dr. Carmen Gimeno (Trialcamp, Spain), local organiser
Dr. Gavin Lewis (JSC, United Kingdom)
Dr. Pieter Oomen (Wageningen, The Netherlands)
Dr. Veronique Poulsen (ANSES, France)
Dr. Guy Smagghe (Ghent University, Belgium)
Dr. Thomas Steeger (US Environmental Protection Agency, USA)
Dr. Klaus Wallner (Hohenheim University, Germany)

Editors

Dr. Pieter A. Oomen, Wageningen, The Netherlands
Dr. Jens Pistorius, Braunschweig

Group photo of all symposium participants, standing in front, from left:

Thomas Steeger (new board member),
Jens Pistorius (new chairman),
Françoise & Pieter Oomen with award (editor & former chairman),
Guy Smagghe (organiser, symposium host and new board member),
Job & Margreet van Praagh with award,
Anne Alix (secretary of the board)

Foto

Pieter A. Oomen (Bumble bee *Bombus lapidarius* on thistle)

The proceedings of the symposia (such as these) are being published by the Julius Kühn Archive in Germany since the 2008 symposium in Bucharest, Romania. These proceedings are also accessible on internet, e.g. the former symposium proceedings published by JKI can be found on https://ojs.openagrar.de/index.php/JKA/issue/archive (Issues 423, 437, 450). Furthermore, proceedings of former meetings have meanwhile been digitalized and can be found on https://www.openagrar.de/receive/openagrar_mods_00032635.

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation. In der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie: detaillierte bibliografische. Daten sind im Internet über http://dnb.d-nb.de abrufbar.

ISSN 1868-9892
ISBN 978-3-95547-064-7
DOI 10.5073/jka.2018.462.000

Printed in Germany by Arno Brynda GmbH, Berlin.