

Future consequences and challenges for dairy cow production systems arising from climate change in Central Europe – a review

M. Gauly^{1†}, H. Bollwein², G. Breves³, K. Brügemann⁴, S. Dänicke⁵, G. Daş¹, J. Demeler⁶, H. Hansen⁷, J. Isselstein⁸, S. König⁴, M. Lohölter⁵, M. Martinsohn⁷, U. Meyer⁵, M. Potthoff⁹, C. Sanker¹, B. Schröder³, N. Wrage⁸, B. Meibaum³, G. von Samson-Himmelstjerna⁶, H. Stinshoff² and C. Wrenzycki²

¹Department of Animal Sciences, Division of Livestock Production, University of Göttingen, Albrecht-Thaer-Weg 3, 37075, Göttingen, Germany; ²Clinic for Cattle, University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Bischofsholer Damm 15, 30173 Hannover, Germany; ³Institute for Physiology, University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Bischofsholer Damm 15, 30173 Hannover, Germany; ⁴Department of Animal Breeding, University of Kassel, Nordbahnhofstr. 1a, 37213 Witzenhausen, Germany; ⁵Institute for Animal Nutrition, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institute, Bundesallee 50, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany; ⁶Institute of Parasitology and Tropical Veterinary Medicine, Free University Berlin, Königsberg 67, 14163 Berlin, Germany; ⁷Institute of Farm Economics, Johann von Thünen-Institute, Bundesallee 50, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany; ⁸Department of Crop Science, Division of Grassland Science, University of Göttingen, von-Siebold-Str. 8, 37075 Göttingen, Germany; ⁹Centre of Biodiversity and Sustainable Land Use, Section Agriculture and the Environment, University of Göttingen, Grisebachstraße 6, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

(Received 20 September 2011; Accepted 11 October 2012; First published online 20 December 2012)

It is well documented that global warming is unequivocal. Dairy production systems are considered as important sources of greenhouse gas emissions; however, little is known about the sensitivity and vulnerability of these production systems themselves to climate warming. This review brings different aspects of dairy cow production in Central Europe into focus, with a holistic approach to emphasize potential future consequences and challenges arising from climate change. With the current understanding of the effects of climate change, it is expected that yield of forage per hectare will be influenced positively, whereas quality will mainly depend on water availability and soil characteristics. Thus, the botanical composition of future grassland should include species that are able to withstand the changing conditions (e.g. lucerne and bird's foot trefoil). Changes in nutrient concentration of forage plants, elevated heat loads and altered feeding patterns of animals may influence rumen physiology. Several promising nutritional strategies are available to lower potential negative impacts of climate change on dairy cow nutrition and performance. Adjustment of feeding and drinking regimes, diet composition and additive supplementation can contribute to the maintenance of adequate dairy cow nutrition and performance. Provision of adequate shade and cooling will reduce the direct effects of heat stress. As estimated genetic parameters are promising, heat stress tolerance as a functional trait may be included into breeding programmes. Indirect effects of global warming on the health and welfare of animals seem to be more complicated and thus are less predictable. As the epidemiology of certain gastrointestinal nematodes and liver fluke is favourably influenced by increased temperature and humidity, relations between climate change and disease dynamics should be followed closely. Under current conditions, climate change associated economic impacts are estimated to be neutral if some form of adaptation is integrated. Therefore, it is essential to establish and adopt mitigation strategies covering available tools from management, nutrition, health and plant and animal breeding to cope with the future consequences of climate change on dairy farming.

Keywords: global warming, cow comfort, heat stress, heat tolerance, functional traits

Implications

As a consequence of global warming, drier and hotter summers are expected for Central Europe. Here we discuss multiple interactions between climate change and dairy production in Central Europe in its full complexity, starting

from fodder resources to breeding impacts and farm economy. Under current conditions, the impact of climate change on the farm economy is estimated to be neutral if some form of adaptation is integrated. Thus, establishing mitigation and adaptation strategies covering available tools from management, nutrition, health and plant and animal breeding to cope with the future consequences of climate change on dairy farming are essential.

† E-mail: Mgauly@gwdg.de

Introduction

Increases in global average air and ocean temperatures, widespread melting of snow and ice as well as rising global average sea level indicate that global warming is unequivocally inevitable (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate change (IPCC), 2007). Therefore, future consequences of climate change on livestock production systems should be investigated. Agricultural production systems depend on environmental factors and the management practices adopted. Both have multiple and complex interactions. Climate change may alter main characteristics of production systems. In climate change research, numerous studies have analysed agricultural systems (especially dairy and meat production) as sources of greenhouse gas emissions (Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), 2006). Recent data indicate that dairy and beef sectors account for more than 70% of total greenhouse gas emissions from livestock production in the EU-27 (Lesschen *et al.*, 2011). However, comparably little is known about the sensitivity and vulnerability of the production systems themselves to climate warming. Other than indoor production systems, dairy production is not only indirectly affected by the surrounding climate but also directly because of pasture use and open barn husbandry systems.

One major concern when discussing agricultural responses to climate change is that changes are quite uncertain on a local or regional scale. For Central Europe, summers are expected to become hotter and drier. In northern Germany, a typical area of dairy production under the influence of a temperate oceanic climate, experts expect a reduction in the average rainfall during the summer months by 15% and an increase in the annual mean temperatures by 2°C in 2050. Furthermore, the number of hot days (above 30°C) will slightly increase (DWD, 2011). These estimates are more or less similar for the other regions of Central Europe. The main direct consequences of climate change that has adverse

effects on animal physiology, welfare, health and reproduction are rising temperature and weather extremes. The number of heat stress causing days, that is, days with a temperature humidity index (THI) value above 68, has increased by 4.1% from 1973 to 2008 in certain parts of Central Europe (Solymosi *et al.*, 2010). Recent data indicate that there are already 80 to 86 hot days in this region (Broucek *et al.*, 2007; Novak *et al.*, 2009). It can be expected that the value chain of milk production will be impaired by these changes at several stages from fodder production to reproduction (Figure 1).

Grassland production is considered as the basis for cost-effective dairy production (Dillon, 2006). According to the agricultural statistics of the EU, permanent grassland covers 33% of the utilized agricultural land and is the dominant agricultural land-use form (EU-27). An additional 11% of the utilized agricultural land is grass leys and forage maize on arable land (Osterburg *et al.*, 2010). As an expression of global warming, the duration and frequency of droughts as well as the overall allocation of rain on regional scales are expected to have deep impacts on grassland and fodder production systems. Changes in grass sward composition and plant growth are expected to influence digestibility for ruminants (Perring *et al.*, 2010), which are themselves also being exposed to the effects of a changing climate.

Most of the available publications have separately discussed potential or already measurable effects of climate change on each of the different components of dairy systems. This review brings different aspects, from rumen physiology to the economics of dairy cow production in Central Europe, into focus with a holistic approach to emphasize potential future consequences and challenges arising from climate change. Each of the following eight sections reports an updated current understanding of the evaluated points and provides potential adaptation measures to deal with the consequences of climate change. It provides three sections




Figure 1 Scheme of effects from climate change on environmental factors and farm-related factors concerning the performance of cattle.

concerning fodder quality, ruminal fermentation and nutrition strategies in relation to heat and heat stress. Another section deals with a description of the predominant dairy cow production systems in Germany and discusses possibilities for the use of available management tools that may alleviate direct effects of heat stress on dairy cow welfare. Heat stress-related impairments in the ability of sperm and embryonic development are covered in another section. As reviewed earlier (van Dijk *et al.*, 2010), a significant impact of climate change is expected to have effects on prevalence and distribution of gastrointestinal parasites. Changes in the patterns of certain parasitic infections that are recently spreading in Europe are discussed in a section, which also provides an overview of the present status of the current research interest in this area. Possibilities for including heat stress tolerance as a functional trait in breeding programmes and major concerns in relation to appropriate stress indicators, as well as interpretation of the heat stress-related genetic parameters are discussed in another section. The last section provides an overview on the impact of climate change on the economy of dairy farming.

Impacts on quantity and quality of fodder production

Grassland production is regarded as the basis for cost-effective dairy production (Dillon, 2006). As summarized in Table 1, climate change has important implications for the nutritional basis of dairy production, namely fodder quantity and quality. The main influences of climate change on plant growth and thus fodder quantity are prolonged periods of seasonal production, increased air temperatures, changed precipitation patterns leading to extreme rainfall events and droughts and effects of increased atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations (Myneni *et al.*, 1997; Morison and Lawlor, 1999; Ciais *et al.*, 2005).

A prolonged growing season may be beneficial especially for perennial vegetation such as grassland (Topp *et al.*, 2010), as it permits a longer grazing season and more cuts. Increasing temperatures may also lead to quicker plant development and growth (Cleland *et al.*, 2006; Bloor *et al.*, 2010). However, above the optimal temperatures for growth, plant physiological processes become impaired, growth is limited and plants mature quicker (De Boeck *et al.*, 2008). For Central European grasslands where swards consist of C3 plants only, optimal temperatures for growth will be exceeded at temperatures from 25°C to 30°C. For forage maize,

a C4 plant, optimal growth would be reached at a higher temperature. Thus, higher ambient temperatures because of climate change would have a more beneficial effect on maize compared with grass. In contrast, increasing ambient CO₂ concentrations have only a small effect on herbage growth of maize because of the C4 pathway of this species (Olesen and Bindi, 2002). Maturation of plants is associated with increasing concentrations of lignin, which is considered as an anti-quality component in forages, as it interferes with the digestion of cell wall polysaccharides, thus lowering nutritional availability of fibre (Moore and Jung, 2001). High temperatures appear to induce a favourable effect on lignification procedures in the plant cells. Wilson *et al.* (1991) showed that high temperatures increase intensity of lignification of existing lignified cells rather than increasing the proportion of cells becoming lignified.

A prerequisite for increased growth with warmer temperatures is the availability of sufficient water. Generally, grassland needs more water per unit of biomass production than most arable crops (Ehlers and Goss, 2003). Owing to the usually lower sward height, grazed grasslands (pastures) use less water than cut ones (meadows; Misztal and Zarzycki, 2010). Availability of sufficient water could become limiting in parts of Central Europe where the probability of summer droughts is greater (IPCC, 2007; Schindler *et al.*, 2007). Furthermore, extreme rainfall events may impair the trafficability of grassland, lead to more severe effects of treading and directly damage taller vegetation. Increased CO₂ concentrations in the atmosphere may have a growth-enhancing effect and at the same time may lead to improved water-use efficiency (Owensby *et al.*, 1993; Soussana and Lüscher, 2007). Recent data indicate that grass vegetation can physiologically adjust to elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations as an adaptive response to the changing climate (Köhler *et al.*, 2010). However, stimulated growth because of enhanced uptake of CO₂ may lead to nutrient imbalances, affecting both herbage productivity and quality (Soussana and Lüscher, 2007).

Herbage quality is influenced by water scarcity and increased CO₂ concentrations (Soussana and Lüscher, 2007). As discussed above, water scarcity leads to quicker plant maturation, which in grassland means lower dry matter digestibility than in physiologically younger swards (Wilson and Ng, 1975). This could be alleviated by earlier cutting. Increasing CO₂ concentrations have been shown to increase nitrogen limitation of grass production (Lüscher *et al.*, 2005). This would be beneficial for the competitiveness and growth

Table 1 Effects of elements of climate change on grassland productivity and herbage quality in Central Europe

	Grassland productivity	Herbage quality	
Increased temperature	+/-	+/-	Depends on water availability and soil processes
Longer growing season	+	0	
Water scarcity	-	-	Water logging, trafficability
Extreme rainfall events	-	0/-	
Increased CO ₂ concentration	+	-	

CO₂ = carbon dioxide.

of legumes in grass–legume mixtures. In pure grass stands, nitrogen fertilizer additions would be more effective in terms of productivity. At the same time, increased temperatures may accelerate soil processes such as mineralization, leading to a more rapid supply of nutrients from decomposing organic material if sufficient water is available, but potentially also larger leaching losses of mobile nutrients (Ineson *et al.*, 1998a and 1998b; Niklaus *et al.*, 2006; Sardans *et al.*, 2006). Increased CO₂ atmospheric concentrations together with nitrogen fertilization have been found to accelerate flowering in forbs, but delay in that of grasses, decreasing phenological complementarity (Cleland *et al.*, 2006).

Different options are available for dealing with the effects of climate change on grassland production. First of all, the use of legumes is one option to supply the sward with sufficient nitrogen if phosphorus is available. However, white clover, the currently preferred legume in European agricultural grasslands, needs a lot of water (Frame *et al.*, 1998). The use of legume species with deeper rooting growth habit may offer higher potential under changed conditions. Legumes such as *Medicago sativa* (lucerne) species or *Lotus corniculatus* (bird's foot trefoil) could be considered in this respect. The botanical composition of swards should be adapted to changing conditions. Deep-rooting plants such as chicory can reach water that is otherwise unavailable. A combination of species in a diverse sward has been suggested to improve the water-use efficiency of grassland (Caldeira *et al.*, 2001; Tsialtas *et al.*, 2001; Chen *et al.*, 2007).

With the current understanding of effects of climate change on grassland production, it can be inferred that fodder quantity may be influenced positively through prolonged growth periods by slight increases in ambient air temperature and CO₂ concentration, whereas both quantity and quality will depend on water availability and soil characteristics, which will simultaneously be affected by climate change. The botanical composition of grassland should include species that are able to withstand the changing conditions. Recent research has shown considerable differences in growth and digestibility among grass species under drought (Hayes *et al.*, 2010). However, more research is necessary as to which species and genotypes are best suited for permanent grassland in Central Europe managed for high yielding dairy cows. Another necessary reaction to climate change is the adaptation of management, most importantly the time of cutting, length of grazing and fertilizer application to changed growth and quality of the sward (e.g. Holden *et al.*, 2008). Irrigation would also have a potential for yield increases under drought. However, under the given cost relations the gains of irrigation would hardly cover the additional cost.

Effects of climate change on ruminal fermentation and structural diversity of rumen microbial communities

Elevated environmental temperature is a likely consequence of future climate change. The reactions of ruminants to increased heat load have been studied repeatedly. It is commonly accepted that heat-stressed ruminants decrease their dry matter

intake to reduce metabolic heat production to maintain a constant body temperature (Beede and Collier, 1986; Schneider *et al.*, 1988; Lu, 1989; Beatty *et al.*, 2006; Collier *et al.*, 2006; Beatty *et al.*, 2008; Bernabucci *et al.*, 2009; O'Brien *et al.*, 2010). Moreover, animals shift their feeding activity to times of day with lower temperature (Schneider *et al.*, 1988). In addition, the preference to consume concentrate instead of roughage was noted (McDowell *et al.*, 1969; Lu, 1989; Bernabucci *et al.*, 1999; Bernabucci *et al.*, 2009; Uyeno *et al.*, 2010). It was suggested that the preference of concentrate was because of a lower metabolic heat production compared with the heat released by fermentation of forage (Lu, 1989). High-concentrate proportions in the diet can influence rumen fermentation in many aspects. A problem connected with the higher concentrate and reduced forage intake is an increased risk of rumen acidosis (Collier *et al.*, 2006). In their trial, Grubb and Dehority (1975) changed an all-hay diet abruptly to a 60% concentrate and 40% hay ration, ensuring equal dry matter intake. The rumen dry matter turnover was decreased, whereas dry matter digestibility was increased. Analyses of the rumen fluid showed increasing production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) with lower acetate and higher propionate molar percentages along with decreasing counts of cellulose-digesting bacteria. From numerous studies, it was shown that the molar ratios of SCFA differ depending on the consumed diet (Bergman *et al.*, 1965). Feed quality may be influenced by elevated environmental temperature. Lu (1989) stated that high environmental temperature can influence plant growth and composition, such as increased stem to leaf ratio and a higher cell wall content, which might result in decreased digestibility of dry matter (Van Soest, 1965).

Many *in vivo* experiments were carried out feeding ruminants in standardized environments to test the influence of elevated temperature on parameters of rumen digestibility, rumen motility and SCFA production. While water intake increased and feed intake decreased (Schneider *et al.*, 1988; Lu, 1989; Beatty *et al.*, 2006; Beatty *et al.*, 2008; Bernabucci *et al.*, 2009; O'Brien *et al.*, 2010), digestibility increased in hot environments (McDowell *et al.*, 1969; Martz *et al.*, 1990; Tajima *et al.*, 2007). It has been stated that a decrease in dry matter intake causes lower passage rates from the rumen (Christopherson and Kennedy, 1983; Martz *et al.*, 1990; Bernabucci *et al.*, 1999; Bernabucci *et al.*, 2009), and thus the mean retention time increases (McDowell *et al.*, 1969; Schneider *et al.*, 1988). With increased mean retention time of forage the digesting time increases, which may enhance digestibility of structural carbohydrates (Silanikove, 1992). The total concentrations of rumen SCFA decreased in most experiments conducted in hot environments (Weldy *et al.*, 1964; Schneider *et al.*, 1988; Martz *et al.*, 1990; Tajima *et al.*, 2007). The authors explained the lower concentrations by reduced dry matter intake. However, as observed in heat-stressed cows, an additional dilution effect in SCFA concentrations may be caused by the increased water intake (Schneider *et al.*, 1988).

To eliminate the variance of dry matter intake on the influence of high environmental temperature on rumen

digestibility, rumen motility and SCFA production experiments have been conducted with controlled feed intake. One possibility to maintain stable feed intake is forced feeding via rumen cannulae (Kelley *et al.*, 1967; Attebery and Johnson, 1969; Lippke, 1975; Miaron and Christopherson, 1992). Another way is to implement pair-fed procedure (O'Brien *et al.*, 2010). In the experiments of Attebery and Johnson (1969) and Miaron and Christopherson (1992), heat-stressed cattle showed decreased rumen motility and lower passage rates. Warren *et al.* (1974) and Lippke (1975) observed increased mean retention times of forage and increased digestibility of structural carbohydrates in the rumen of heat-stressed steers. The production of SCFA decreased in most experiments with decreased acetate and increased propionate molar percentages (Kelley *et al.*, 1967; Gengler *et al.*, 1970; Tajima *et al.*, 2007). Concentrations of butyric acid as well as acetate–propionate ratios are inconsistent between the experiments. This discrepancy was explained by differences in the basal ration and feeding procedures (Weldy *et al.*, 1964; Kelley *et al.*, 1967). In contrast to the results above, in one part of the experiment, Gengler *et al.* (1970) tested the effect of elevated rumen temperature independent of an environmental heat load, accomplished by heating the ruminal contents of fistulated Holstein cows directly with an intraruminal heating coil. Dry matter intake decreased, but concentrations of ruminal SCFA were unaffected. It was concluded that a lower ruminal SCFA concentration could not be explained by changes in rumen temperature.

Uyeno *et al.* (2010) found in the rumen of heat-stressed heifers with decreased dry matter intake and decreased concentrations of SCFA (Tajima *et al.*, 2007) decreasing fibrolytic and increasing saccharolytic bacteria. Bernabucci *et al.* (2009) observed a decrease in rumen cellulolytic and amylolytic bacteria counts in heat-stressed ewes. Brody *et al.* (1955) hypothesized that ambient temperature may affect the rumen microorganisms directly. In their set of DNA-based experiments, establishing rDNA libraries, Tajima *et al.* (2007) observed no obvious tendency for changes in rumen bacterial composition because of heat stress. Using real-time PCR, they found a decreased quantity of uncultured Cluster E bacteria attributed to the *Clostridium botulinum* group (classified by Leser *et al.*, 2002) in response to elevated environmental temperature. Although the quantity of rumen bacteria changed, the diversity indices remained constant. Previous studies showed a fluctuation in the quantity of the Cluster E bacteria depending on the presence or absence of protozoa in Holstein cows. Tajima *et al.* (2007) concluded that Cluster E bacteria are responsive to alterations of rumen conditions. The effect of elevated temperature on protozoa was not analysed, but a decrease in quantity may be assumed. Hungate (1966) noted that protozoa cannot withstand a prolonged exposure to 40°C, and Beatty *et al.* (2008) found a mean maximal rumen temperature of 42.3°C in heat-stressed cattle.

Uyeno *et al.* (2010) analysed samples taken during the experiment published by Tajima *et al.* (2007), but studied changes due to heat stress in the bacterial community

composition in the rumen of Holstein heifers by applying a rRNA-based method. The relative population of major fibrolytic bacteria decreased, whereas the relative population of major saccharolytic bacteria increased in their experiments. The authors concluded that the shift in quantities of specific bacteria may be caused indirectly by heat stress via induction of altered feeding behaviour. The reduction in forage intake was more pronounced compared with decrease in concentrate consumption. Overall bacterial diversity (richness) was maintained even under heat stress conditions (Uyeno *et al.*, 2010).

In summary, rising heat loads influence rumen physiology in different ways. As a consequence of heat stress, the dry matter intake, rumen motility, passage rates and SCFA production decrease, whereas mean retention time, gut fill and digestibility of dry matter increase. The decrease in dry matter intake of concentrate is less pronounced compared with decreased forage consumption. The ruminal bacterial community maintains stable with regard to diversity indices, but the quantity of specific groups differs. Decreasing quantities of fibrolytic and increasing amounts of saccharolytic bacteria may be caused by higher concentrate of hay ratios in the diet because of altered feeding behaviour induced by heat stress. The changes in composition of the microbiota are linked to altered ruminal fermentation pattern. Independent of decreased dry matter intake, the effects of elevated environmental temperature on rumen motility, passage rate, gut fill and digestibility are reproducible. Further research is necessary to define the effect of high temperature on microbial diversity without interference of altered dry matter intake.

Climate change and dairy cow nutrition

Altering climatic parameters such as rising ambient temperature, modified precipitation patterns and increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentration have the potential to affect animal performance either directly by augmented metabolic stress induced by heat or indirectly via changing the composition and quality of feedstuffs because of varying cultivation conditions and altered ruminal fermentation patterns, as reviewed in the previous sections. Several nutritional strategies are conceivable to counteract potentially descending animal performance and economic profitability due to impacts of climate change.

Lactating dairy cows generate considerable (31% of intake energy) metabolic heat (Coppock, 1985) and accumulate additional heat from radiant energy (West, 2003). High yielding cows are particularly at risk of suffering from heat stress. The proportion of heat produced through the metabolic processes of milk production can exceed 50% of total heat generation in high yielding cows (Coppock, 1985). The elevated heat load can cause disturbances in thermoregulation, which might result in increasing body temperatures and a general thermal stress.

Rising ambient temperatures and high relative humidity may limit the dissipation of heat and can be combined by the calculation of a THI (see the section 'Husbandry systems, management and climate change' for details). Cows respond,

inter alia, with reduced dry matter intake followed by declining milk yield. West *et al.* (2003) reported the mean THI measured 2 days earlier has the greatest effect on milk yield. This indicates a lag time between environmental impacts and the full physiological response concerning animal productivity, possibly conveyed by the duration of digestion processes.

One counter-measure against the consequences of reduced dry matter intake is the provision of diets with elevated concentrations of metabolizable energy (ME) to maintain milk yield level. Increasing the ME concentration of rations often implies the replacement of roughage ingredients by concentrate and a concomitant decrease of diet cell wall content up to a physiologically acceptable amount. The digestion of plant cell walls in ruminants is generally characterized by considerable energy losses because of fermentation. For dairy cows, the mean energy loss via methane, a by-product of fermentation, accounts for 5.2% of consumed gross energy and feeding increased proportions of concentrate may reduce methane production per kg of consumed dry matter (Holter and Young, 1992).

Chilled drinking water may contribute to the alleviation of heat stress in dairy cows. Milam *et al.* (1986) reported reduced tympanic membrane temperatures, increased dry matter intake and higher milk yield of heat-stressed Holstein cows because of the cooling of supplied drinking water to 10°C in comparison to a drinking water temperature of 28°C. Accordingly, Purwanto *et al.* (1996) found a decrease in respiration rate (17 to 21 breaths per minute (bpm)), mean skin-surface temperature (0.8°C to 1.1°C) and rectal temperature (RT; 0.3°C to 0.5°C) during thermal stress induced by decreased drinking water temperatures from 30°C to 10°C. Meyer *et al.* (2004 and 2006) reported a daily rise in water intake of 1.52 kg for dairy cows (628 kg) and 0.5 kg for growing bulls (380 kg) for each degree Celsius of increase in ambient temperature. Hence, the total cooling capability induced by drinking water rises with elevated heat stress because of increasing water consumption.

Nicotinic acid (niacin), frequently used as a drug, induces a strong cutaneous vasodilatation called flushing in humans (Benyo *et al.*, 2006). Niacin has been tested for its potential to reduce heat stress in dairy cows. In experiments conducted by Zimbelman *et al.* (2010), cows fed 12 g encapsulated niacin per day showed decreased rectal and vaginal temperatures compared with controls during moderate thermal stress (THI >72 for 12 h/day). Similar results have been reported by Di Costanzo *et al.* (1997). Under severe heat stress conditions (THI = 79.6) considerably exceeding the threshold of THI = 71 that was suggested to indicate the onset of heat stress (Armstrong, 1994), the skin temperature at the tail and the rump of lactating cows were decreased (−0.3°C to −0.4°C) by niacin supplementation (Di Costanzo *et al.*, 1997). Another measure to moderate the negative effects of heat stress is the supplementation of conjugated linoleic acids (CLA) to dairy cow diets. In a study conducted by Liu *et al.* (2008), a daily intake of 100 g CLA supplement (70% CLA) per cow reduced mean RT (CLA: 39.1°C; basal diet: 39.8°C) and respiration rates (CLA: 76; basal diet: 80 counts

per min) significantly. However, the cause for these effects needs to be elucidated, and the authors suggested a decrease in sensitivity or responsiveness of cows during heat stress.

Besides strategies concerning diet formulation and feed additives, a rather technical adaptation such as an altered feeding management can help to control impacts of heat stress and maintain dry matter intake and therefore animal performance. A reduced feed supply during warmer daylight hours combined with enhanced feeding during cooler periods in the evening, night and early morning may shift the major heat increment because of feeding to times in which metabolic heat dissipation is facilitated by lower ambient temperature and less radiation (Aharoni *et al.*, 2005; Nikkhah *et al.*, 2011). However, the time of feeding does not necessarily affect vaginal temperature, dry matter intake and milk production of heat-stressed dairy cows (Ominski *et al.*, 2002).

Though promising nutritional strategies to reduce both potentially negative impacts of climate change on dairy cow nutrition and performance and methane production were pointed out, the adjustment of feeding and drinking regime, diet composition and additive supplementation can merely contribute to the maintenance of adequate dairy cow feeding and performance. Substantial effects of changing climatic parameters on the nutrient concentration of forage plants used in dairy cow feeding are to be expected and have to be taken into account in future diet formulation. A combination of adaptation measures in the fields of plant and animal breeding, husbandry and nutrition as well as plant cultivation will be necessary to sustain an efficient dairy production in a world facing changing climate with increasing human population and food demand.

Husbandry systems, management and climate change

This part aims at describing characteristics of the dominating dairy cattle production systems and discusses possibilities for the use of available management tools that may alleviate direct effects of heat stress on dairy cow performance and welfare.

Changes in the present EU dairy production systems are likely to yield reliable information concerning how the dairy production will be in the future. Overall EU dairy production continues to follow a trend towards increased intensification on a smaller number of larger, more specialized production units. Despite that dairying is one of the most profitable sectors of the EU agriculture, the number of dairy cows has decreased across the EU, whereas the average herd size in all countries has increased (van Arendonk and Liinamo, 2003). In the EU-27, Germany has the highest number of dairy cows accounting for 16.8% of the total dairy cow population in 2007 (Atadie-Dias *et al.*, 2008). More than 83% of the EU dairy cows are kept in 'high input: high output' production systems. These systems are characterized by having relatively large average herd size and higher replacement rates with the high yielding breed Holstein Friesian accounting for almost 95% of the herd animals, and the cows are housed indoors during the winter and may be housed overnight in

autumn and spring (van Arendonk and Liinamo, 2003). Loose housing systems are increasingly preferred to reduce labour input and to meet animal welfare requirements (Zährer *et al.*, 2004).

It is likely that industrialization of the dairy sector will continue in the future. Improved genetics and nutrition have resulted in a 2% to 3% increase in milk production per cow and year; however, this increased production puts extra demands on the cow, likely leading to an increased incidence of disease and higher rates of culling (von Keyserlingk *et al.*, 2009). Climate change, in particular global warming, and associated effects on the performance, welfare and health of the dairy cows kept in the so-called high input: high output production systems are therefore considered to be a challenging research area. Lactating dairy cows prefer ambient temperatures within the thermoneutral zone, that is, temperatures between 5°C and 25°C, which is considered as the zone of minimal heat production at normal RT (Kadzere *et al.*, 2002). As ambient temperature and humidity are interrelated, the magnitude of their combined effects should be considered together (West, 1999), and the impact of heat stress on dairy cows is often quantified in the THI (Bouraoui *et al.*, 2002; Dikmen and Hansen, 2009), a single value representing the combined effects of air temperature and humidity associated with the level of thermal stress (Bohmanova *et al.*, 2007). Although indices combining other variables, for example wind speed, thermal radiation received by the animal and so on, have also been proposed, because of the availability of such data their use is limited (Bohmanova *et al.*, 2007). Similarly, there are several equations used to calculate THI (Bohmanova *et al.*, 2007; Dikmen and Hansen, 2009), but their ability to detect heat stress under different climate conditions differ (Bohmanova *et al.*, 2007; for further details see the section 'Options for tolerance to heat stress from new strategies in dairy cattle breeding').

The critical upper ambient temperature (25°C to 26°C) or the critical upper limit of the THI (THI: 72, corresponds to 25°C and 50% relative humidity) at which dairy cows can still maintain stability of body temperature (Berman, 2006; Legrand *et al.*, 2009), are already in the observed temperature ranges in the Central Europe. Increases in the number of hot days with temperatures above the upper critical THI levels and higher frequency of warm spells of weather will surely worsen effects of heat stress. As reviewed by Nardone *et al.* (2010), the overall impact of direct and indirect effects of global warming on animal performance, welfare and health are expected to result in different consequences on a world wide scale.

Nevertheless, some indications of the impact of global warming in Central Europe may be gained from considering experiences that are established in already warmer parts of the World. Experiences in alleviating the effects of heat stress on dairy cows in the warmer regions of the World provide the best examples. Thus, structural and management alterations/adaptations in the dairy production systems, as responses to the changing climatic conditions, are expected to play important roles in future husbandry and management

practices. Structural alterations/adaptations may include using different cooling techniques, whereas functional changes may focus on the management of feeding (as described earlier), grazing and reproduction to alleviate heat stress in dairy cows.

To mitigate the negative effects on milk production, reproductive efficiency, health and cow comfort, different management techniques exist. These include cooling through shade, evaporative cooling, ventilation and combinations of them (Armstrong, 1994; West, 2003; Smith *et al.*, 2006a and 2006b). Dairy cattle with access to pasture are perceived as experiencing higher welfare because the animals have freedom to express natural behaviours such as grazing and exploration (von Keyserlingk *et al.*, 2009). However, high temperatures and humidity have adverse effects on the grazing preferences of dairy cattle. Legrand *et al.* (2009) reported that cows allowed free-choice access, either to an indoor free stall or to pasture next to the stall, showed a strong preference for access to pasture at night and for access to indoor during the day when temperature and humidity increased. As shown by the studies of pasture-based systems, the provision of shade can be an effective method to reduce heat stress. Brown-Brandl *et al.* (2005) reported that shade lowered respiration rate and core body temperature when temperatures peaked during the day. Furthermore, shade reduced the mean vaginal temperature and increased daily milk yield (Kendall *et al.*, 2006). Shade is not as efficient as sprinklers in terms of decreasing body surface temperature and respiration rate of dairy cows after exposure to heat load through walking in pasture-based systems during summer. However, despite the lower efficiency of shade, most of the cows (65%) preferred shade over sprinklers (Schütz *et al.*, 2011). The use of shade by cattle is not solely related to higher temperatures, but more pronouncedly with solar radiation. Tucker *et al.* (2008) reported that cows provided with different levels of shade to protect against solar radiation used shade longer and had lower minimum body temperature as the level of protection increased.

Evaporative cooling reduces air temperature and at the same time increases humidity. In the study by Smith *et al.* (2006a), evaporative cooling increased humidity by 22%. Thus, this method is most appropriate for dry climates (Berman, 2006). When compared with no cooling, evaporative cooling lowered RT and respiration rate (Khongdee *et al.*, 2006) and increased milk and milk protein yield (Brouček *et al.*, 2006). Kendall *et al.* (2007) studied the reduction of the heat load by the use of three cooling systems: shade, sprinklers and combination of shade and sprinklers. Shade reduced respiration rate by 30% compared with the non-cooled control group, whereas sprinklers and the combination of both reduced respiration rate by 60% and 67%, respectively.

Meyer *et al.* (2002) compared three ventilation systems. Milk production was highest in the pen with a row of 0.9 m fans over the free stalls and the feed line (40.1 kg/day) than in the pens with poly-tube longitudinal cooling (37.6 kg/day) or with 1.4 m ceiling fans (37.1 kg/day). A single row of 0.9 m fans system also resulted in lower respiration rates (75.3 bpm) compared with 1.4 m ceiling fans system (83.5 bpm) and poly-tube longitudinal cooling (82.3 bpm).

Combinations of different cooling systems have been studied extensively. In a study from Israel, an automated system with sprinkling (30 s) followed by forced ventilation (4.5 min) for 30-min periods was used (Her *et al.*, 1988; Wolfenson *et al.*, 1988). The results demonstrated this cooling system to be effective in order to alleviate heat stress in dairy cattle and to improve their thermal balance, as well as their productive and reproductive performance (body temperature, milk production, oestrous behaviour, conception and pregnancy rate). Studies in the United States indicated the potential of evaporative tunnel cooling, a combination of tunnel ventilation and evaporative cooling, to reduce heat stress and improve milk production of lactating dairy cattle during the summer season (Smith *et al.*, 2006b). In comparison with traditional cooling technologies (2001: cooling by fans and sprinklers; 2003: cooling by shade and fans), evaporative tunnel ventilation decreased exposure to conditions of moderate heat stress by 84%. The respiration rate and the RT of cows cooled by evaporative tunnel ventilation was reduced (Smith *et al.*, 2006a). Furthermore, evaporative tunnel ventilation positively affected feed intake (+12% in 2001 and +11% in 2003), milk yield ($+2.6 \pm 0.27$ and 2.8 ± 0.19 kg/cow per day in 2001 and 2003) and somatic cell count, whereas milk composition remained unaffected (Smith *et al.*, 2006b). Regarding the time of cooling RT and respiration rate of cattle cooled during day time were lower when compared with cattle cooled during night time (Gaughan *et al.*, 2008).

In the EU dairy production, calving tends to be year around; however, slightly shifted calving periods with an aim to match the peak production with the perception of higher milk prices in certain seasons can also be observed (van Arendonk and Liinamo, 2003). The effects of heat stress on thermoregulatory responses of dry and lactating cows as well as between cows at different lactation stage and numbers differ (Zähner *et al.*, 2004; Novak *et al.*, 2009; Nardone *et al.*, 2010). As shown by Novak *et al.* (2009), dairy cows at higher parities are affected by high ambient temperatures more than first-lactation heifers. Mid-lactating dairy cows are the most heat sensitive animals when compared with their early and late-lactating counterparts, and heat stress-induced milk losses (−38%) are highest in these animals (Nardone *et al.*, 2010). At the early stage of lactation, dairy cows are in negative energy balance, and increase their feed intake to sustain milk production and to compensate for the mobilized body energy reserves (Coppock, 1985; Sutter and Beever, 2000). Body heat production associated with milk yield, however, increases as metabolic processes, feed intake and digestive requirements increase with yield (West, 2003). This may imply an additional source of heat load at the early stage of lactation. Therefore, management of the calving season, so that the cow spends the late lactation period or the dry period in the hottest season, may be considered as an effective tool to reduce losses in milk production associated with heat stress. However, under extreme hot conditions, *post-partum* productivity and reproductive performance may be impaired. Avendano-Reyes *et al.* (2006) revealed that the use of effective cooling systems, that is, fans with water

spray operated from 10.00 to 18.00 h, compared with no cooling can improve milk production, milk fat content, calf weight and reproductive performance of cooled dairy cows exposed to heat stress during the dry period (60 days).

As listed above, there is a wide range of available management tools that may alleviate direct effects of heat stress on dairy cow welfare. Providing shade, cooling through different techniques and certain management factors have the potential to lower the impact of heat stress. However, heat stress is not the only factor that will threaten the welfare and health of the animals. Indirect effects of global warming on the health and welfare of animals seem to be more complicated and thus are less predictable. Apart from heat stress-related discomfort and indirectly induced metabolic disorders, climate change has the potential to affect the occurrence and distribution of diseases (Gale *et al.*, 2009) and to increase the transmission intensity of highly pathogenic, ubiquitous parasites to levels uncontrollable by current management strategies (van Dijk *et al.*, 2010).

Health risks from parasites and pasture borne diseases

Dairy cattle and their offspring are ubiquitously exposed to pasture-borne helminth infections in all geographical regions of Europe. Infections with gastrointestinal nematodes (GIN) and the trematode *Fasciola hepatica* are considered to be the two major factors causing considerable production losses in ruminants as reported by a recent EU project, DISCONTTOOLS (<http://www.discontools.eu/home/index>). Helminth infections in dairy farms are highly prevalent and represent severe health threats as well as causing economically important risks (Charlier *et al.*, 2009 and 2010). The epidemiologies of GIN and liver fluke (*F. hepatica*) infections are mainly influenced through the specific environmental conditions to which their respective free living (both GIN and liver fluke) and intermediate host (only liver fluke) developmental stages are exposed. Key environmental factors, upon which the success rates of larval development in these helminths depend, are temperature and humidity. Accordingly, changes in rainfall patterns, rising temperatures and altered seasonal variability contribute to shifts in parasite development, amplification (liver fluke) and spatial distribution (Polley and Thompson, 2009). Despite the description of potential effects of climate on developmental stages of GIN and liver fluke in few publications (Kao *et al.*, 2000; Mas-Coma *et al.*, 2005 and 2007; O'Connor *et al.*, 2006; Kenyon *et al.*, 2009), the current knowledge on the respective consequences for GIN epidemiology and dairy production is still very scarce. However, recent evidence from a retrospective study in the United Kingdom demonstrates that both the spatial and seasonal patterns of GIN of ruminants changed during the past 5 to 10 years and the most probable cause for these alterations is climate change (van Dijk *et al.*, 2008). Similarly, recent outbreaks of liver fluke in fluke-free regions of Scotland have been attributed to climatic change (Kenyon *et al.*, 2009). These findings demonstrate that there is an urgent need for an improved understanding of the current and

potential future epidemiological, clinical and economical consequences of climate change-driven alterations of pasture-borne helminth infections.

As a response to the need in the research area, an evaluation of recent work indicates that there is growing interest in investigating relations between climate change and disease dynamics, particularly in an interdisciplinary way (van Dijk *et al.*, 2010), to determine long-range prognoses for health risks and to develop pest control strategies. New approaches include the combination of threshold and tolerance range of parasites as well as (where applicable) their intermediate hosts determined in laboratory studies (Yang *et al.*, 2007). Geographical and climate databases (Malone *et al.*, 1998), together with the distribution of parasites obtained from field studies including seasonal patterns (Waller *et al.*, 2004; Altizer *et al.*, 2006) provide a broader picture of changes in the patterns of helminth infections. Retrospective interpretation of available data such as natural phenomena and linked cases (van Dijk *et al.*, 2008; Wu *et al.*, 2008) or creation of climate model predictions (Rausch *et al.*, 2007; Zhou *et al.*, 2008; Fox *et al.*, 2011) are further promising approaches being applied.

To achieve more precise epidemiological forecasts, risk maps, extended long-term data sets and improved statistical approaches connecting different information, for example thresholds or tolerances of parasite developmental stages to real environmental conditions, are needed (Weaver *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore, it is necessary to define adequate spatial dimensions for the evaluation of seasonal patterns (Pascual and Dobson, 2005) and, in addition, there is the question which environmental drivers are really relevant for any potential changes in disease risk and which factors affect them (Altizer *et al.*, 2006). Geographical Information System-based approaches appear to be useful for the investigation of the impact of climate change on parasite epidemiology (Dutra *et al.*, 2009; Zhou *et al.*, 2009).

As discussed above, certain GIN and liver fluke seem to benefit from climate change as their epidemiology is favourably influenced by the increased temperature and humidity. To what extent the pattern and distribution of gastrointestinal parasites will be affected by climate change is mainly unknown. Nevertheless, optimal mitigation strategies to deal with parasitic infections will be highly system specific and depend on the management practices adopted (Morgan and Wall, 2009). Therefore, further research should also include immunity to parasites, breeding opportunities for resistant/resilient individuals, nutrition and grazing management of the host animals under influences of climate change. Hudson *et al.* (2006) predicted that climate warming will lead to increased frequency and intensity of parasitic infections, which are not regulated by acquired immunity. As also emphasized by Morgan and Wall (2009), host immunity to parasites under changing climate is of key importance, and should be considered as an effective biological control mechanism that may significantly contribute to mitigate the overall impact of parasites. Similarly, many other quantitative traits of farm animals, genetic selection, taking advantage of

the natural variation in host resistance/resilience to parasites, may provide an important long-term strategy for combating parasites under changing climate conditions in the future. As reported by Morris (2007), heritability estimates for faecal egg counts (FEC) in calves after weaning range from 0.29 to 0.60. Although selection of potentially resistant/resilient individuals is possible in sheep, FEC in calves are highly variable and not repeatable, leading to advice against using FEC in genetic selection in cattle. As the resistance status of the host animal is profoundly influenced by nutrition (Coop and Kyriazakis, 1999; Kyriazakis and Houdijk, 2006), it may be expected that climate change will also have adverse effects on the immune status of the host, mainly through heat stress-associated decrease in feed intake (West, 2003). Global warming may provide favourable conditions for infective parasitic stages, that is, eggs or free-living stages on pasture (Hudson *et al.*, 2006; Morgan and Wall, 2009). As cattle are able to reduce intake of infective parasitic stages by selective grazing during the day (Michel, 1955), changes in the grazing preferences of the animals, for example, more intense night grazing during warmer periods (Legrand *et al.*, 2009), may also increase exposure levels of the host to the parasitic stages during grazing.

Effects of hyperthermia on DNA integrity and embryonic development

It is well accepted that elevated temperatures impair the reproductive performance of dairy cows (Erb *et al.*, 1940; Royal *et al.*, 2000). Initially, it was assumed that heat stress only occurs under high temperatures. However, it was shown that a moderate temperature increase (25°C to 26°C) already caused heat stress in high yielding dairy cows (Berman *et al.*, 1985). Until now, the effects of heat stress were mainly investigated in cows (de la Sota *et al.*, 1998; Chebel *et al.*, 2004). However, studies have also been undertaken to analyse the effects of hyperthermia on bulls (Setchell, 1998). Ejaculates from bulls exposed to high ambient temperature had lower motile and higher morphologically abnormal percentages of sperm (Cassady *et al.*, 1953; Skinner and Louw, 1966). Furthermore, *Bos indicus* bulls were much less affected by heat stress compared with *Bos taurus* bulls (Skinner and Louw, 1966).

The effects of testicular hyperthermia artificially induced by scrotal insulation have been examined on sperm quality (Wildeus and Entwistle, 1983; Vogler *et al.*, 1991; Karabinus *et al.*, 1997). In all the studies, adverse effects on conventional sperm parameters and DNA integrity were documented (Karabinus *et al.*, 1997). There is evidence that sperm with an impaired DNA integrity is capable of fertilizing oocytes, but the subsequent embryonic development is impaired (Sakkas *et al.*, 1998; Larson *et al.*, 2000). Setchell (1998) reported a decrease in fertilization rate of sheep ova obtained from abattoir and fertilized with sperm from scrotal-insulated rams. The murine model has been used to study the effects of whole body heating (Yaeram *et al.*, 2006) and scrotal insulation (Jannes *et al.*, 1998; Paul *et al.*, 2008) on

developmental ability of embryos. In all cases, blastocyst rates were reduced – even resulting in no blastocyst formation at all (Paul *et al.*, 2008) – if heat-stressed semen was used for fertilization *in vitro*. Similar results have been reported for the bovine species (Walters *et al.*, 2004 and 2005a). Cleavage rates, though, seemed hardly affected when using sperm from ejaculates collected in the first 20 days after hyperthermia (Fernandes *et al.*, 2008). First effects on blastocyst formation could be detected as early as 2 weeks after scrotal insulation. However, deleterious effects on fertilization were already described as soon as 18 h after scrotal insulation (Walters *et al.*, 2006). It was possible to relate differences in cleavage and blastocyst rates to various sperm parameters such as nuclear vacuoles, head defects and abnormal chromatin structure (Fernandes *et al.*, 2008). Furthermore, the success of *in vitro* fertilization seems dependent on individual bull resistance to testicular hyperthermia (Walters *et al.*, 2005b and 2006).

Previous studies reported a genetic variation in fertility of heat-stressed murine males (Cammack *et al.*, 2006). A total of 225 genes were differentially expressed in heat stress resistant and susceptible males (Cammack *et al.*, 2009). Hansen (2007) postulated that identification of the genes that are responsible for heat tolerance in cattle could diminish the risk of embryonic mortality, which is currently the leading cause of fertility problems in high yielding dairy cows (Diskin and Morris, 2008). Data comparing non-return rates at day 45 after artificial insemination (AI) in Holstein/Friesian cows in different states of the United States showed that resistance to heat stress varies possibly because of a genetic variability in heat tolerance within the breed (Ravagnolo and Misztal, 2002). Unravelling the underlying mechanisms by which heat stress impairs male fertility may help to alleviate the effects on sperm quality and subsequent embryo development.

Direct effects of heat stress on sperm quality can be mitigated by nutritional and management strategies, as mentioned in earlier sections. As almost all dairy farms in the main dairy production areas of Europe routinely practice AI (van Arendonk and Liinamo, 2003), use of sperm from bulls with high genetic merit including heat tolerance components will play an important role to deal with the effects of climate change in a sustainable way.

Options for tolerance to heat stress from new strategies in dairy cattle breeding

This section discusses possibilities to include heat stress tolerance as a functional trait in breeding programmes and addresses two major points concerning appropriate stress indicators and interpretation of genetic parameters. Most studies have focussed on investigating the impact of heat stress in terms of temperature, humidity, or a combination of both components on production traits, overall welfare, health and reproduction (see above). The amounts of these losses are also valid for temperate climates, and depend on breed, region, production level and diverse heat dissipation mechanisms (Kadzere *et al.*, 2002; Nardone *et al.*, 2006).

Even though functional traits have been brought into focus in recent years, selection for heat tolerance within breeds has been ignored. As an alternative, imported Holsteins have been crossed with locally adapted breeds to increase their low ability to withstand heat stress (Boonkum *et al.*, 2011; Molee *et al.*, 2011). Dikmen *et al.* (2009) focussed on the cow's physiology, and showed effects of genotypes and heterosis on regulation of body temperature. Those findings were associated with single genes.

The slick hair gene, as found in local breeds in Central and South America, is responsible for producing a very short, sleek hair coat (Olson *et al.*, 2003). Slick-haired crossbred calves of Carora (Brown Swiss × Venezuelan Criollo breed) and Holstein had lower RT compared with normal-haired contemporaries (0.18°C to 0.4°C). Liu *et al.* (2010) investigated an ATP1A1 gene polymorphism in six genotypes. The heterozygous AC genotype showed the highest heat tolerance coefficient and lowest respiration rate, and therefore was the most favourable at the ATP1A1-P14 locus for the anti-heat stress trait in the population. However, considerable loss of production traits was found in the crossbreds. To have a proper local breed for crossbreeding, Hoffmann (2010) suggested the implementation of breeding programmes for the conservation and improvement of locally adapted breeds.

From the genetic point of view, another way for the improvement of heat tolerance is to select within breeds by including correlated traits such as RT or panting score into selection indices. Collecting these new traits might be concentrated on a system of contract herds, which have the technical prerequisites for collecting the additional innovative traits (Schierenbeck *et al.*, 2010).

The most important tasks when modelling the effect of heat stress are the identification of an appropriate heat stress indicator and the availability of a heat stress function with detailed information regarding the stress threshold. The most widespread indicator for heat stress is the THI (see the section 'Husbandry systems, management and climate change'). Most genetic studies have used the formula provided by the National Research Council (NRC) (1971) for calculating THI. For example, Bohmanova *et al.* (2005) estimated breeding values for heat tolerance in a national genetic evaluation in the United States, using THI 72 for the onset of heat stress, as this was the threshold identified by Ravagnolo *et al.* (2000). In addition, in Europe under more temperate climates, this threshold is used in heat stress studies, regardless of whether the THI calculation is based on maximum daily temperatures or averages. Criteria to choose the right index are coefficient of determination, sum of squares, residual sum of square (Ravagnolo *et al.*, 2000) and rate of milk decline (Bohmanova *et al.*, 2007). Novak *et al.* (2009) found 86 days with a THI above 72 from May to September in the Czech Republic in the years 2002 to 2004. Similarly, Broucek *et al.* (2007) recorded 80 days with a THI higher than 72 in the southern part of Slovakia in 2003. Solymosi *et al.* (2010) applied a THI threshold of 68 for defining heat stress following Reiczigel *et al.* (2009).

In Hungary, Reiczigel *et al.* (2009) observed that two of the six indices were able to indicate milk production losses (1.5 to 2 kg/cow per day) due to heat stress. Generally, a threshold of 68 would fit better to European conditions than 72. Differing thresholds for the onset of heat stress to be used in genetic studies were determined on German data (Brügemann *et al.*, 2012): based on random regression analysis the thresholds for three different production systems were 62, 62 and 60 for THI calculated with average temperatures (no records available above THI 70) and 74, 73 and 67, if maximum temperatures were used (16% to 30% of the records above THI 70). In addition, Bohmanova *et al.* (2007) found differences in optimal thresholds between 68 and 79 for Athens, Georgia, USA, and between 73 and 83 in Phoenix, Arizona, USA, by applying regression models. Dikmen and Hansen (2009) explained the differences in THI thresholds in different regions with more adapted cows or special housing features. Assuming a RT of 38.5°C above which hyperthermia is experienced, the authors (Dikmen and Hansen, 2009) found an average ambient temperature of 28.4°C that is associated with this RT. In contrast, Berman *et al.* (1985) measured upper critical ambient temperatures from 25°C to 26°C in Israel. The antagonistic effect of heat (−0.38 kg milk/°C and −0.01 kg protein/°C) and photoperiod (1.2 kg milk and 0.02 kg protein/1 h longer daily light) has been calculated by Barash *et al.* (2001) in Israeli Holstein cows. The estimates of Berman (2005) on Israeli Holstein cows showed that higher-yielding dairy cows are more sensitive to heat stress. Increasing daily milk production from 35 to 45 kg decreased the heat stress relief threshold by 5°C.

Studies on the genetic components of heat stress tolerance modelled heritability as a function of THI, or included as a slope of decline in milk production per THI for a chosen threshold in the statistical models. A large quantity of these studies has been conducted in the United States, but until now none in Europe. Ravagnolo and Misztal (2000) found increasing heritabilities for protein yield with increasing THI, but some of these reactions might be artefacts of random regression models. The genetic correlation between production and heat tolerance was −0.3. Such a negative value implies that a decreasing heat tolerance will be the consequence of continual selection for production without accounting for heat tolerance. Aguilar *et al.* (2009) found that heritability estimates for milk yield were lower at the beginning of lactation but increased with days in milk and THI. Aguilar *et al.* (2010) estimated genetic trends for milk yield without heat stress of 0.140 kg/year, 0.172 kg/year and 0.168 kg/year for first, second and third lactation, respectively. When including a heat stress component, genetic trends were negative. Hammami *et al.* (2008) studied genotype by environment interactions for milk yield between Luxembourg and Tunisian Holsteins within different management levels. Heritabilities were lower in production systems in Tunisia reflecting differences in milk production levels. Low genetic correlations of 0.60 for 305-day milk yield between countries indicated re-ranking of sires. Bohmanova *et al.* (2008) analysed genotype by environment interaction due to heat stress.

The correlation between estimated breeding values (EBV) only increased by 0.01 when heat stress was considered in the model. The correlations of heat stress EBV between two regions of the United States for sires with ≥ 100 , ≥ 300 and ≥ 700 daughters were 0.58, 0.72 and 0.81, respectively. For 17 countries, Zwald *et al.* (2003) examined genotype by environment interactions between production systems characterized by 13 descriptive variables related to genetics, farm management and climatic conditions. The genetic correlation between groups of herds from cold climates and hot climates was only 0.66. Such low correlation suggests an international dairy sire evaluation for distinct production systems instead of using country borders.

The economics of dairy farming under climate change

Given that climate change influences dairy farming in multiple ways (directly, e.g. the performance and well-being of cows, or indirectly, e.g. via fodder crops), it is surprising that relatively few studies have explored cost and benefits arising from climate change for dairy farming in monetary terms. This is particularly important, as climate will become a relatively more important determinant with regard to the economics of dairy farming, given the process of liberalization in the (EU) dairy sector and the accompanying withdrawal of policy interventions. Empirical findings in Germany indicate that, as quotas are tradable within national borders, they move towards pasture-based locations – for instance, to the northern coastal areas (Lassen and Busch, 2009; Bäurle and Windhorst, 2010). Thus, it can be expected that the liberalization of the (EU) dairy industry and the abolition of milk quotas will further weight climatic and other site-specific conditions.

A recent literature review by Martinsohn and Hansen (2012) in the field of climate change impacts on the economics of dairy farming reveals that, worldwide, only a narrow range of geographical and climatic zones has been considered: three EU countries, the United States of America, Australia and Argentina (Figure 2). Countries with a rapidly increasing dairy industry (e.g. China, India, Brazil) and those that are supposed to suffer or benefit heavily from climate change (e.g. South America, Africa, Scandinavia, northern parts of Russia) are not well represented so far. The majority of studies dealing with climate change and dairy farming analyses either direct or indirect impacts. It is striking that economic consequences can differ significantly for one region depending on which and how many of these impacts are integrated. This is due to the fact that there are often both positive and negative impacts at the regional (and even farm) level, which may counterbalance each other. Furthermore, it can be assumed that some crucial impacts are still omitted in most of the studies on climate change and the economics of dairy farming, owing to a lack of knowledge and research. The interaction of pathogens and climate change, for example, is so complex (Gale *et al.*, 2009; Van Dijk *et al.*, 2010) that potential economic consequences are hardly measurable in this field. However, the incidence of new



Figure 2 Countries where Climate Change Impact Assessment (CCIA) on dairy farming has been carried out; white: no study, light grey: one study, dark grey: two and more studies. *Source:* own illustration based on Martinsohn and Hansen (2012).

or more dangerous pathogens in the course of climate change can have significant indirect impacts on dairy farming.

Methodologically, two different approaches can be distinguished with regard to an analysis of climate change and the economics of dairy farming. On the one hand, physiological, chemical or physical functions and relations are applied to model the responses of plants and animals to adjustments in climatic variables. The resulting gains or losses, respectively, in milk yield or growth of fodder crops are then evaluated economically. On the other hand, only two studies have, to our knowledge, used an econometric approach to project the future impact of climate change on the economics of dairy farming. Mayer *et al.* (1999) used time series data from Australia in order to derive expected changes in milk yield due to future climate change. Seo and Mendelsohn (2008) assessed cross-sectional data of animal farmers over several climatic zones in Africa and showed the impacts of climate change on the economics of livestock farming.

Owing to the large variety of different methods (experimental and empirical methods), regions analysed (Europe, Northern America, etc.), reference unit (kg per cow, \$ per farm, etc.) and assumptions (emission scenarios, time horizon, etc.), it is hardly possible to compare (concrete financial) results directly. Yet, it is possible to generalize main tendencies. Most regions with already hot climate today are likely to suffer major milk yield losses from heat stress (Mayer *et al.*, 1999; St-Pierre *et al.*, 2003). However, mitigation measures can reach a reduction of these negative impacts of over 70% (Mayer *et al.*, 1999), so that heat stress is a manageable threat, at least for indoor housed dairy cows in a dry climate (see West, 2003). Temperate regions such as Great Britain, Ireland, Germany and the northern part of the United States will be able to mitigate potential negative impacts by (cost-effective) adaptation measures (Hossel, 2002; St-Pierre *et al.*, 2003; Fitzgerald *et al.*, 2009; Mader *et al.*, 2009, USA; Moran *et al.*, 2009; Walter and Löpmeier, 2010).

It is generally acknowledged in the field of climate change impact assessment that potential adaptation to the expected climate change impacts must be considered. However, there is little knowledge on how farmers perceive climate change and the associated challenges. Ignoring practitioners' realities and attitudes, however, can mean missing important

impacts as well as adaptation measures. Studies providing data on the role of adaptation to the climate change indicate that the economic impact tend to be neutral or even positive if adaptation is included (Parsons *et al.*, 2001; Hossel, 2002; Fitzgerald *et al.*, 2009), whereas the impact is negative when no adaptation is included (Leva *et al.*, 1996; Mader *et al.*, 2009; Moran *et al.*, 2009; Walter and Löpmeier, 2010). Similar results can be observed in cropping studies (Kaiser *et al.*, 1993; Segerson and Dixon, 1999). As concerned stakeholders like farmers will very likely react to climate change, assuming no adaptation would cause biased results. By reviewing the relevant literature it becomes clear that often neutral or even positive economic impacts are identified if adaptation is included.

Conclusions

Under current conditions, climate change associated economic impacts are estimated to be neutral if some form of adaptation is integrated. Therefore, it is essential to establish future mitigation and adaptation-oriented strategies covering available tools from management, nutrition, health as well as plant and animal breeding to cope with the future consequences of climate change on dairy farming. With the current understanding of the effects of climate change, it is expected that fodder quantity will be influenced positively, whereas quality will mainly depend on water availability and soil characteristics, which will simultaneously be affected by climate change. Thus, the botanical composition of future grassland should include species that are able to withstand the changing conditions. In this context, legume species (e.g. lucerne or bird's foot trefoil) and deep-rooting plants such as chicory may offer high potential. Substantial effects of changing climatic parameters on the nutrient concentration of plants are also to be expected and have to be taken into account in future diet formulation. Changes in nutrient concentration of forage plants and rising heat loads may influence rumen physiology, mainly due to altered feeding pattern by consuming more concentrate in relation to roughage, which results in lower total concentrations of SCFA and changes composition of the microbiota in the rumen. Several promising nutritional strategies are available

to reduce the negative impacts of climate change on dairy cow nutrition and performance. The adjustment of feeding and drinking regimes, diet composition and additive supplementation can merely contribute to the maintenance of adequate dairy cow feeding and performance. Providing shade and cooling through different techniques have the potential to lower the impact of direct effects of heat stress. As estimated genetic parameters are promising, heat stress tolerance as a functional trait may be included into breeding programmes. Use of sperm from bulls with high genetic merit including heat tolerance components will play important roles to deal with the effects of climate change in a sustainable way. Indirect effects of global warming on the health and welfare of animals seem to be more complicated and thus are less predictable. Parasites are one of the pathogens that should be followed closely regarding climate change, as certain GIN and liver fluke seem to benefit from it as their epidemiology is influenced favourably by the changing temperature and humidity.

Acknowledgement

The study was supported by the Ministry for Science and Culture of Lower Saxony within the network KLIFF – climate impact and adaptation research in Lower Saxony.

References

- Aguilar I, Misztal I and Tsuruta S 2009. Genetic components of heat stress for dairy cattle with multiple lactations. *Journal of Dairy Science* 92, 5702–5711.
- Aguilar I, Tsuruta S and Misztal I 2010. Computing options for multiple-trait test-day random regression models while accounting for heat tolerance. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics* 127, 235–241.
- Aharoni Y, Brosh A and Harari Y 2005. Night feeding for high-yielding dairy cows in hot weather: effects on intake, milk yield and energy expenditure. *Livestock Production Science* 92, 207–219.
- Altizer S, Dobson A, Hosseini P, Hudson P, Pascual M and Rohani P 2006. Seasonality and the dynamics of infectious diseases. *Ecology Letters* 9, 467–484.
- Armstrong DV 1994. Heat stress interaction with shade and cooling. *Journal of Dairy Science* 77, 2044–2050.
- Atadie-Dias R, Mahon G and Dore G 2008. EU Cattle Population in December 2007 and Production Forecasts for 2008. Eurostat, Statistics in Focus: 49/2008. Retrieved March 11, 2011, from http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_OFFPUB/KS-SF-08-049/EN/KS-SF-08-049-EN.PDF.
- Attebery JT and Johnson HD 1969. Effects of environmental temperature, controlled feeding and fasting on rumen motility. *Journal of Animal Science* 29, 734–737.
- Avendano-Reyes L, Alvarez-Valenzuela FD, Correa-Calderon A, Saucedo-Quintero JS, Robinson PH and Fadel JG 2006. Effect of cooling Holstein cows during the dry period on postpartum performance under heat stress conditions. *Livestock Science* 105, 198–206.
- Barash H, Silanikove N, Shamay A and Ezra E 2001. Interrelationships among ambient temperature, day length, and milk yield in dairy cows under a Mediterranean climate. *Journal of Dairy Science* 84, 2314–2320.
- Bäurle H and Windhorst HW 2010. Structural changes in German dairy farming from 1992 to 2007 [in German: Strukturwandlungen in der deutschen Milchkühhaltung zwischen den Jahren 1992 und 2007]. The Lower Saxony Competence Centre for the Food Industry. Weiße Reihe ISPA, 33.
- Beatty DT, Barnes A, Taylor E and Maloney SK 2008. Do changes in feed intake or ambient temperature cause changes in cattle rumen temperature relative to core temperature? *Journal of Thermal Biology* 33, 12–19.
- Beatty DT, Barnes A, Taylor E, Pethick D, McCarthy M and Maloney SK 2006. Physiological responses of *Bos taurus* and *Bos indicus* cattle to prolonged, continuous heat and humidity. *Journal of Animal Science* 84, 972–985.
- Beede DK and Collier RJ 1986. Potential nutritional strategies for intensively managed cattle during thermal stress. *Journal of Animal Science* 62, 543–554.
- Benyo Z, Andreas G, Clare LB, Clausen BE and Offermanns S 2006. Nicotinic acid-induced flushing is mediated by activation of epidermal Langerhans cells. *Molecular Pharmacology* 70, 1844–1849.
- Bergman EN, Reid RS, Murray MG, Brockway JM and Whitelaw FG 1965. Interconversions and production of volatile fatty acids in the sheep rumen. *Biochemical Journal* 97, 53–58.
- Berman A 2005. Estimates of heat stress relief needs for Holstein dairy cows. *Journal of Animal Science* 83, 1377–1384.
- Berman A 2006. Extending the potential of evaporative cooling for heat-stress relief. *Journal of Dairy Science* 89, 3817–3825.
- Berman A, Folman Y, Kaim M, Mamen M, Herz Z, Wolfenson D, Arieli A and Graber Y 1985. Upper critical temperatures and forced ventilation effects for high-yielding dairy cows in a subtropical climate. *Journal of Dairy Science* 68, 1488–1495.
- Bernabucci U, Bani P, Ronchi B, Lacetera N and Nardone A 1999. Influence of short- and long-term exposure to a hot environment on rumen passage rate and diet digestibility by Friesian heifers. *Journal of Dairy Science* 82, 967–973.
- Bernabucci U, Lacetera N, Danieli PP, Bani P, Nardone A and Ronchi B 2009. Influence of different periods of exposure to hot environment on rumen function and diet digestibility in sheep. *International Journal of Biometeorology* 53, 387–395.
- Bloor JMG, Pichon P, Falcimagne R, Leadley P and Soussana J-F 2010. Effects of warming, summer drought, and CO₂ enrichment on aboveground biomass production, flowering phenology, and community structure in an upland grassland ecosystem. *Ecosystems* 13, 888–900.
- Bohmanova J, Misztal I and Cole JB 2007. Temperature-humidity indices as indicators of milk production losses due to heat stress. *Journal of Dairy Science* 90, 1947–1956.
- Bohmanova J, Misztal I, Tsuruta S, Norman HD and Lawlor TJ 2005. National genetic evaluation of milk yield for heat tolerance of United States Holsteins. *Interbull Bulletin* 33, 160–162.
- Bohmanova J, Misztal I, Tsuruta S, Norman HD and Lawlor TJ 2008. Short Communication: genotype by environment interaction due to heat stress. *Journal of Dairy Science* 91, 840–846.
- Boonkum W, Misztal I, Duangjinda M, Pattarajinda V, Tumwasorn S and Sanpote J 2011. Genetic effects of heat stress on milk yield of Thai Holstein crossbreds. *Journal of Dairy Science* 94, 487–492.
- Bouraoui R, Lahmar M, Majdoub A, Djemali M and Belyea R 2002. The relationship of temperature-humidity index with milk production of dairy cows in a Mediterranean climate. *Animal Research* 51, 479–491.
- Brody S, Dale HE and Steward RE 1955. Interrelations between temperatures of rumen (at various depths), rectum, blood, and environmental air, and the effects of an antipyretic, feed and water consumption. *Research Bulletin (University of Missouri Agricultural Experiment Station)* 593.
- Brouček J, Mihina Š, Ryba Š, Tongel P, Kišac P, Uhrinčat' M and Hanus A 2006. Effects of high air temperatures on milk efficiency in dairy cows. *Czech Journal of Animal Science* 51, 93–101.
- Brouček J, Ryba S, Mihina S, Uhrinčat M and Kisac P 2007. Impact of thermal-humidity index on milk yield under conditions of different dairy management. *Journal of Animal and Feed Sciences* 16, 329–344.
- Brown-Brandl LM, Eigenberg RA, Nienaber JA and Hahn GL 2005. Dynamic response indicators of heat stress in shaded and non-shaded feedlot cattle – Part 1: Analyses of indicators. *Biosystems Engineering* 90, 451–462.
- Brügemann K, Gernand E, König v. Borstel U and König S 2012. Defining and evaluating heat stress thresholds in different dairy cow production systems. *Archives Animal Breeding* 55, 13–24.
- Caldeira MC, Ryel RJ, Lawton JH and Pereira JS 2001. Mechanisms of positive biodiversity–production relationships: insights provided by d¹³C analysis in experimental Mediterranean grassland plots. *Ecology Letters* 4, 439–443.
- Cammack KM, Mesa H and Lamberson WR 2006. Genetic variation in fertility of heat-stressed male mice. *Theriogenology* 66, 2195–2201.
- Cammack KM, Antoniou E, Hearne L and Lamberson WR 2009. Testicular gene expression in male mice divergent for fertility after heat stress. *Theriogenology* 71, 651–661.
- Cassady RB, Myers RM and Legates JE 1953. The effect of exposure to high ambient temperature on spermatogenesis in the dairy bull. *Journal of Dairy Science* 36, 14–19.

- Charlier J, Demeler J, Höglund J, von Samson-Himmelstjerna G, Dorny P and Vercruyse J 2009. *Ostertagia ostertagi* in first-season grazing cattle in Belgium, Germany and Sweden: general levels of infection and related management practices. *Veterinary Parasitology* 171, 91–98.
- Charlier J, Höglund J, von Samson-Himmelstjerna G, Dorny P and Vercruyse J 2010. Gastrointestinal nematode infections in adult dairy cattle: impact on production, diagnosis and control. *Veterinary Parasitology* 164, 70–79.
- Chebel RC, Santos JE, Reynolds JP, Cerri RL, Juchem SO and Overton M 2004. Factors affecting conception rate after artificial insemination and pregnancy loss in lactating dairy cows. *Animal Reproduction Science* 84, 239–255.
- Chen S, Bai Y, Lin G, Huang J and Han X 2007. Isotopic carbon composition and related characters of dominant species along an environmental gradient in Inner Mongolia, China. *Journal of Arid Environments* 71, 12–28.
- Christopherson RJ and Kennedy PM 1983. Effect of the thermal environment on digestion in ruminants. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* 63, 477–496.
- Ciais P, Reichstein M, Viovy N, Granier A, Ogee J, Allard V, Aubinet M, Buchmann N, Bernhofer C, Carrara A, Chevallier F, De Noblet N, Friend AD, Friedlingstein P, Grunwald T, Heinesch B, Keronen P, Knohl A, Krinner G, Loustau D, Manca G, Matteucci G, Miglietta F, Ourcival JM, Papale D, Pilegaard K, Rambal S, Seufert G, Soussana JF, Sanz MJ, Schulze ED, Vesala T and Valentini R 2005. Europe-wide reduction in primary productivity caused by the heat and drought in 2003. *Nature* 437, 529–533.
- Cleland EE, Chiariello NR, Loarie SR, Mooney HA and Field CB 2006. Diverse responses of phenology to global changes in a grassland ecosystem. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 103, 13740–13744.
- Collier RJ, Dahl GE and Van Baale MJ 2006. Major advances associated with environmental effects on dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science* 89, 1244–1253.
- Coop RL and Kyriazakis I 1999. Nutrition–parasite interaction. *Veterinary Parasitology* 84, 187–204.
- Coppock CE 1985. Energy nutrition and metabolism of the lactating dairy cow. *Journal of Dairy Science* 68, 3403–3410.
- De Boeck HJ, Lemmens CMHM, Zavalloni C, Gielen B, Malchair S, Carnol M, Merckx R, Van den Berge J, Ceulemans R and Nijs I 2008. Biomass production in experimental grasslands of different species richness during three years of climate warming. *Biogeosciences* 5, 585–594.
- De la Sota RL, Burke JM, Risco CA, Moreira F, DeLorenzo MA and Thatcher WW 1998. Evaluation of timed insemination during summer heat stress in lactating dairy cattle. *Theriogenology* 49, 761–770.
- Di Costanzo A, Spain JN and Spiers DE 1997. Supplementation of nicotinic acid for lactating Holstein cows under heat stress conditions. *Journal of Dairy Science* 80, 1200–1206.
- Dikmen S and Hansen PJ 2009. Is the temperature-humidity index the best indicator of heat stress in lactating dairy cows in a subtropical environment? *Journal of Dairy Science* 92, 109–116.
- Dikmen S, Martins L, Pontes E and Hansen PJ 2009. Genotype effects on body temperature in dairy cows under grazing conditions in a hot climate including evidence for heterosis. *International Journal of Biometeorology* 53, 327–331.
- Dillon P 2006. Achieving high dry-matter intake from pasture with grazing dairy cows. In *Fresh herbage for dairy cattle* (ed. A Elgersma, J Dijkstra and S Tamminga), pp. 1–26. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Diskin MG and Morris DG 2008. Embryonic and early foetal losses in cattle and other ruminants. *Reproduction in Domestic Animals* 43 (suppl. 2), 260–267.
- Dutra LH, Molento MB, Naumann CRC, Biondo AW, Fortes FS, Savio D and Malone JB 2009. Mapping risk of bovine fasciolosis in the south of Brazil using Geographic Information Systems. *Veterinary Parasitology* 169, 76–81.
- DWD 2011. Regional Climate Change – Climate Models in Comparison [in German: Regionaler Klimawandel – Klimamodelle im Vergleich]. Germany's National Meteorological Service. Retrieved October 10, 2011, from www.dwd.de/bvbw/appmanager/bvbw.
- Ehlers W and Goss M 2003. *Water dynamics in plant production*. CAB International, Wallingford.
- Erb R, Wilbur JW and Hilton JH 1940. Some factors affecting breeding efficiency in dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science* 23, 549.
- Fernandes CE, Dode MA, Pereira D and Silva AE 2008. Effects of scrotal insulation in Nelore bulls (*Bos taurus indicus*) on seminal quality and its relationship with in vitro fertilizing ability. *Theriogenology* 70, 1560–1568.
- Fitzgerald JB, Brereton AJ and Holden NM 2009. Assessment of the adaptation potential of grass-based dairy systems to climate change in Ireland – the maximised production scenario. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 149, 244–255.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) 2006. *Livestock's long shadow – environmental issues and options*, p. 416. FAO, Rome.
- Fox NJ, White PCL, McClean CJ, Marion G, Evans A and Hutchings MR 2011. Predicting impacts of climate change on *Fasciola hepatica* risk. *PLoS ONE* 6, e16126. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0016126.
- Frame J, Charlton JFL and Laidlaw AS 1998. *Temperate forage legumes*, 327pp. CAB International, Wallingford, Oxon.
- Gale P, Drew T, Phipps LP, David G and Wooldridge M 2009. The effect of climate change on the occurrence and prevalence of livestock diseases in Great Britain: a review. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 106, 1409–1423.
- Gaughan JB, Mader TL and Holt SM 2008. Cooling and feeding strategies to reduce heat load of gain-feed beef cattle in intensive housing. *Livestock Science* 113, 226–233.
- Gengler WR, Martz FA, Johnson HD, Krause GF and Hahn L 1970. Effect of temperature on food and water intake and rumen fermentation. *Journal of Dairy Science* 53, 434–437.
- Grubb JA and Dehority BA 1975. Effects of an abrupt change in ration from all roughage to high concentrate upon rumen microbial numbers in sheep. *Applied Microbiology* 30, 404–412.
- Hammami H, Rekkik B, Bastin C, Soyeurt H, Bastin J, Stoll J and Gengler N 2008. Genotype × environment interaction for milk yield in Holsteins using Luxembourg and Tunisian populations. *Journal of Dairy Science* 91, 3661–3671.
- Hansen PJ 2007. Exploitation of genetic and physiological determinants of embryonic resistance to elevated temperature to improve embryonic survival in dairy cattle during heat stress. *Theriogenology* 68 (suppl. 1), 242–249.
- Hayes RC, Dear BS, Li GD, Virgona JM, Conyers MK, Hackney BF and Tidd J 2010. Perennial pastures for recharge control in temperate drought-prone environments. Part 1: productivity, persistence and herbage quality of key species. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research* 53, 283–302.
- Her E, Wolfenson D, Flamenbaum I, Folman Y, Kaim M and Berman A 1988. Thermal, productive and reproductive response of high yielding cows exposed to short-term cooling in summer. *Journal of Dairy Science* 71, 1085–1092.
- Hoffmann I 2010. Climate change and the characterization, breeding and conservation of animal genetic resources. *Animal Genetics* 41 (suppl. 1), 32–46.
- Holden NM, Brereton AJ and Fitzgerald JB 2008. Impact of climate change on Irish agricultural production systems. In *Climate change – refining the impacts for Ireland* (ed. Environmental Protection Agency), pp. 82–131. Environmental Protection Agency, Wexford.
- Holter JB and Young AJ 1992. Methane prediction in dry and lactating Holstein cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 75, 2165–2175.
- Hossel J 2002. Identifying and costing agricultural responses under climate change scenarios (ICARUS). Final Project Report of MAFF Project CC0357, ADAS Ltd, Wolverhampton.
- Hudson PJ, Cattadori IM, Boag B and Dobson AP 2006. Climate disruption and parasite-host dynamic: patterns and processes associated with warming and the frequency of extreme climatic events. *Journal of Helminthology* 80, 175–182.
- Hungate RE 1966. *The rumen and its microbes*. Academic Press, New York.
- Ineson P, Benham DG, Poskitt J, Harrison AF, Taylor K and Woods C 1998a. Effects of climate change on nitrogen dynamics in upland soils. 2. A soil warming study. *Global Change Biology* 4, 153–161.
- Ineson P, Taylor K, Harrison AF, Poskitt J, Benham DG, Tipping E and Woof C 1998b. Effects of climate change on nitrogen dynamics in upland soils. 1. A transplant approach. *Global Change Biology* 4, 143–152.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 2007. *Climate change 2007: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Jannes PC, Spiessens C, Van der Auwera I, D'Hooghe T, Verhoeven G and Vanderschueren D 1998. Male subfertility induced by acute scrotal heating affects embryo quality in normal female mice. *Human Reproduction* 13, 372–375.
- Kadzere CT, Murphy MR, Silanikove N and Maltz E 2002. Heat stress in lactating dairy cows: a review. *Livestock Production Science* 77, 59–91.
- Kaiser HM, Riha SJ and Wilks DS 1993. A farm-level analysis of economic and agronomic impacts of gradual climate warming. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 75, 387–398.

- Kao RR, Leathwick DM, Roberts MG and Sutherland IA 2000. Nematode parasites of sheep: a survey of epidemiological parameters and their application in a simple model. *Parasitology* 121, 85–103.
- Karabinus DS, Vogler CJ, Saacke RG and Evenson DP 1997. Chromatin structural changes in sperm after scrotal insulation of Holstein bulls. *Journal of Andrology* 18, 549–555.
- Kelley RO, Martz FA and Johnson HD 1967. Effects of environmental temperature on ruminal volatile fatty acid levels with controlled feed intake. *Journal of Dairy Science* 50, 531–533.
- Kendall PE, Verkerk GA, Webster JR and Tucker CB 2007. Sprinklers and shade cool cows and reduce insect-avoidance behavior in pasture-based dairy systems. *Journal of Dairy Science* 90, 3671–3680.
- Kendall PE, Nielsen PP, Webster JR, Verkerk GA, Littlejohn RP and Matthews RW 2006. The effects of providing shade to lactating dairy cows in a temperate climate. *Livestock Science* 103, 148–157.
- Kenyon F, Sargison ND, Skuce PJ and Jackson F 2009. Sheep helminth parasitic disease in south eastern Scotland arising as a possible consequence of climate change. *Veterinary Parasitology* 163, 293–297.
- Khongdee S, Chaiyabutr N, Hinch G, Markvichitr K and Vajrabukka C 2006. Effects of evaporative cooling on reproductive performance and milk production of dairy cows in hot wet conditions. *International Journal of Biometeorology* 50, 253–257.
- Köhler IH, Poulton PR, Auerswald K and Schnyder H 2010. Intrinsic water-use efficiency of temperate seminatural grassland has increased since 1857: an analysis of carbon isotope discrimination of herbage from the Park Grass Experiment. *Global Change Biology* 16, 1531–1541.
- Kyriazakis I and Houdijk J 2006. Immunonutrition: nutritional control of parasites. *Small Ruminant Research* 62, 79–82.
- Larson KL, DeJonge CJ, Barnes AM, Jost LK and Evenson DP 2000. Sperm chromatin structure assay parameters as predictors of failed pregnancy following assisted reproductive techniques. *Human Reproduction* 15, 1717–1722.
- Lassen B and Busch G 2009. Development perspectives for milk production in different regions of Lower Saxony: an *agribenchmark* dairy-project. Report from vTI-Agrarökonomie, Braunschweig [in German: Entwicklungsperspektiven der Milchproduktion in verschiedenen Regionen Niedersachsens: ein agribenchmark dairy-Projekt. Arbeitsberichte aus der vTI-Agrarökonomie, Braunschweig].
- Legrand AL, von Keyserlingk MAG and Weary DM 2009. Preference and usage of pasture versus free-stall housing by lactating dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science* 92, 3651–3658.
- Leser TD, Amenuvor JZ, Jensen TK, Lindercrona RH, Boye M and Møller K 2002. Culture-independent analysis of gut bacteria: the pig gastrointestinal tract microbiota revisited. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 68, 673–690.
- Lesschen JP, van den Berg M, Westhoek HJ, Witzke HP and Oenema O 2011. Greenhouse gas emission profiles of European livestock sectors. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 166–167, 16–28.
- Leva PE, Valtorta SE and Fornasero LV 1996. Milk production decline during summer in Argentina: present situation and expected effects of global warming. *Proceedings of 14th International Congress of Biometeorology, Ljubljana, Slovenia, Part 2, vol. 2, pp. 395–401.*
- Lippke H 1975. Digestibility and volatile fatty acids in steers and wethers at 21 and 32 C ambient temperature. *Journal of Dairy Science* 58, 1860–1864.
- Liu YX, Zhou X, Li DQ, Cui QW and Wang GL 2010. Association of ATP1A1 gene polymorphism with heat tolerance traits in dairy cattle. *Genetics and Molecular Research* 9, 891–896.
- Liu ZL, Chen P, Li JM, Lin SB, Wang DM, Zhu LP and Yang DP 2008. Conjugated linoleic acids (CLA) moderate negative responses of heat-stressed cows. *Livestock Science* 118, 255–261.
- Lu CD 1989. Effects of heat stress on goat production. *Small Ruminant Research* 2, 151–162.
- Lüscher A, Fuhrer J and Newton PCD 2005. Global atmospheric change and its effect on managed grassland systems. In *Grassland – a global resource* (ed. DA McGilloway), pp. 251–264. Wageningen Academic Publishers, Wageningen.
- Mader TL, Frank KL, Harrington JA and Hahn GL 2009. Potential climate change effects on warm-season livestock production in the Great Plains. *Climate Change* 97, 529–541.
- Malone JB, Gommers R, Hansen J, Yilma JM, Slingenberg J, Snijders F, Nachtergaele F and Ataman E 1998. A geographic information system on the potential distribution and abundance of *Fasciola hepatica* and *F. gigantica* in east Africa based on Food and Agriculture Organization databases. *Veterinary Parasitology* 78, 87–101.
- Martinson M and Hansen H 2012. The impact of climate change on the economics of dairy farming – a review and evaluation. *German Journal of Agricultural Economics* 61, 80–95.
- Martz FA, Payna CP, Matches AG, Belyea RL and Warren WP 1990. Forage intake, ruminal dry matter disappearance and ruminal blood volatile fatty acids for steers in 18 and 32°C temperatures. *Journal of Dairy Science* 73, 1280–1287.
- Mas-Coma S, Bargues MD and Valero MA 2005. Fascioliasis and other plant-borne trematode zoonoses. *International Journal for Parasitology* 35, 1255–1278.
- Mas-Coma S, Bargues MD and Valero MA 2007. Plant-borne trematode zoonoses: fascioliasis and fasciolopsiasis. In *Food-borne parasitic zoonoses* (ed. KD Murell and B Fried), pp. 293–334. Springer, New York, USA.
- Mayer DG, Davison TM, McGowan MR, Young BA, Matschoss AL, Hall AB, Goodwin PJ, Jonsson NN and Gaughan JB 1999. Extent and economic effect of heat loads on dairy cattle production in Australia. *Australian Veterinary Journal* 77, 804–808.
- McDowell RE, Moody EG, Van Soest PJ, Lehmann RP and Ford GL 1969. Effect of heat stress on energy and water utilization of lactating cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 52, 188–194.
- Meyer MJ, Smith JF, Harner JP, Shirley JE, Titgemeyer EC and Brouk MJ 2002. Performance of lactating dairy cattle in three different cooling systems. *Applied Engineering in Agriculture* 18, 341–345.
- Meyer U, Stahl W and Flachowsky G 2006. Investigations on the water intake of growing bulls. *Livestock Science* 103, 186–191.
- Meyer U, Everinghoff M, Gaden D and Flachowsky G 2004. Investigations on the water intake of lactating dairy cows. *Livestock Production Science* 90, 117–121.
- Miaron JOO and Christopherson RJ 1992. Effect of prolonged thermal exposure on heat production, reticular motility, rumen-fluid and -particulate passage-rate constants, and apparent digestibility in steers. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* 72, 809–819.
- Michel JF 1955. Parasitological significance of bovine grazing behaviour. *Nature* 175, 1088–1089.
- Milam KZ, Coppock CE, West JW, Lanham JK, Nave DH, Labore JM, Stermer RA and Brasington CF 1986. Effects of drinking-water temperature on production responses in lactating Holstein cows in summer. *Journal of Dairy Science* 69, 1013–1019.
- Misztal A and Zarzycki J 2010. Evapotranspiration from grassland with contact to groundwater. *Grassland Science in Europe* 15, 69–71.
- Molee A, Bundasak B, Kuadsantant P and Memkrathoke P 2011. Suitable percentage of Holstein in crossbred dairy cattle in climate change situation. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances* 10, 828–831.
- Moore KJ and Jung HJG 2001. Lignin and fiber digestion. *Journal of Range Management* 54, 420–430.
- Moran D, Topp K, Wall E and Wreford A 2009. Climate change impacts on the livestock sector. Draft Final Report AC 0307, SAC Commercial Ltd, SAC Research.
- Morgan ER and Wall R 2009. Climate change and parasitic disease: farmer mitigation? *Trends in Parasitology* 25, 308–313.
- Morison JIL and Lawlor DW 1999. Interactions between increasing CO₂ concentration and temperature on plant growth. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 22, 659–682.
- Morris CA 2007. A review of genetic resistance to disease in *Bos taurus* cattle. *The Veterinary Journal* 174, 481–491.
- Myneni RB, Keeling CD, Tucker CJ, Asrar G and Nemani RR 1997. Increased plant growth in the northern high latitudes from 1981 to 1991. *Nature* 386, 698–702.
- Nardone A, Ronchi B, Lacetera N and Bernabucci U 2006. Climatic effects on productive traits in livestock. *Veterinary Research Communications* 30 (suppl. 1), 75–81.
- Nardone A, Ronchi B, Lacetera N, Ranieri MS and Bernabucci U 2010. Effects of climate changes on animal production and sustainability of livestock systems. *Livestock Science* 130, 57–69.
- National Research Council (NRC) 1971. National Research Council: a guide to environmental research on animals. National Academy of Sciences, Washington.
- Nikkhah A, Furedi CJ, Kennedy AD, Scott SL, Wittenberg KM, Crow GH and Plaizier JC 2011. Morning vs. evening feed delivery for lactating dairy cows. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* 91, 113–122.
- Niklaus P, Wardle D and Tate K 2006. Effects of plant species diversity and composition on nitrogen cycling and the trace gas balance of soils. *Plant and Soil* 282, 83–98.

- Novak P, Vokralova J and Broucek J 2009. Effects of the stage and number of lactation on milk yield of dairy cows kept in open barn during high temperatures in summer months. *Archiv für Tierzucht* 52, 574–586.
- O'Brien MD, Rhoads RP, Sanders SR, Duff GC and Baumgard LH 2010. Metabolic adaptations to heat stress in growing cattle. *Domestic Animal Endocrinology* 38, 86–94.
- O'Connor LJ, Walkden-Brown SW and Kahn LP 2006. Ecology of the free-living stages of major trichostrongylid parasites of sheep. *Veterinary Parasitology* 142, 1–15.
- Olesen JE and Bindi M 2002. Consequences of climate change for European agricultural productivity, land use and policy. *European Journal of Agronomy* 16, 239–262.
- Olson TA, Lucena C, Chase CC Jr and Hammond C 2003. Evidence of a major gene influencing hair length and heat tolerance in *Bos taurus* cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* 81, 80–90.
- Ominski KH, Kennedy AD, Wittenberg KM and Nia SAM 2002. Physiological and production responses to feeding schedule in lactating dairy cows exposed to short-term, moderate heat stress. *Journal of Dairy Science* 85, 730–737.
- Osterburg B, Isemeyer F, Lassen B and Röder N 2010. Impact of economic and political drivers on grassland use in the EU. *Grassland Science in Europe* 15, 14–28.
- Owensby CE, Coyne PI, Ham JM, Auen LM and Knapp AK 1993. Biomass production in a tallgrass prairie ecosystem exposed to ambient and elevated CO₂. *Ecological Applications* 3, 644–653.
- Parsons DJ, Armstrong AC, Turnpenny JR, Matthews AM, Cooper K and Clark JA 2001. Integrated models of livestock systems for climate change studies. 1. Grazing systems. *Global Change Biology* 7, 93–112.
- Pascual M and Dobson A 2005. Seasonal patterns of infectious disease. *PLoS Medicine* 2, e5. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0020005.
- Paul C, Murray AA, Spears N and Saunders PT 2008. A single, mild, transient scrotal heat stress causes DNA damage, subfertility and impairs formation of blastocysts in mice. *Reproduction* 136, 73–84.
- Perring MP, Cullen BR, Johnson IR and Hovenden MJ 2010. Modelled effects of rising CO₂ concentration and climate change on native perennial grass and sown grass-legume pastures. *Climate Research* 42, 65–78.
- Polley L and Thompson RCA 2009. Parasite zoonoses and climate change: molecular tools for tracking shifting boundaries. *Trends in Parasitology* 25, 285–291.
- Purwanto BP, Harada M and Yamamoto S 1996. Effect of drinking-water temperature on heat balance and thermoregulatory responses in dairy heifers. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 47, 505–512.
- Rausch RL, George JC and Brower HK 2007. Effect of climatic warming on the Pacific walrus, and potential modification of the helminth fauna. *The Journal of Parasitology* 93, 1247–1251.
- Ravagnolo O and Misztal I 2000. Genetic component of heat stress in dairy cattle, parameter estimation. *Journal of Dairy Science* 83, 2126–2130.
- Ravagnolo O and Misztal I 2002. Effect of heat stress on nonreturn rate in Holsteins: fixed-model analyses. *Journal of Dairy Science* 8, 3101–3106.
- Ravagnolo O, Misztal I and Hoogenboom G 2000. Genetic component of heat stress in dairy cattle, development of heat index function. *Journal of Dairy Science* 83, 2120–2125.
- Reiczgel J, Solymosi N, Könyves L, Maróti-Agóts A, Kern A and Bartyik J 2009. Examination of heat stress caused milk production loss by the use of temperature-humidity indices. *Magyar Állatorvosok Lapja* 131, 137–144.
- Royal M, Darwash AO, Flint APE, Webb R, Woolliams JA and Lamming GE 2000. Declining fertility in dairy cattle: changes in traditional and endocrine parameters of fertility. *Animal Science* 70, 487–501.
- Sakkas D, Umer F, Bizzaro D, Manicardi G, Bianchi PG, Shoukir Y and Campana A 1998. Sperm nuclear DNA damage and altered chromatin structure: effect on fertilization and embryo development. *Human Reproduction* 13 (Suppl. 4), 11–19.
- Sardans J, Peñuelas J and Estiarte M 2006. Warming and drought alter soil phosphatase activity and soil P availability in a Mediterranean shrubland. *Plant and Soil* 289, 227–238.
- Schierenbeck S, Reinhardt F, Reents R, Simianer H and König S 2010. Identification of informative cooperators herds for progeny testing based on yield deviations. *Journal of Dairy Science* 94, 2071–2082.
- Schindler U, Steidl J, Müller L, Eulenstein F and Thiery J 2007. Drought risk to agricultural land in Northeast and Central Germany. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science* 170, 357–362.
- Schneider PL, Beede DK and Wilcox CJ 1988. Nycterohemeral patterns of acid-base status, mineral concentrations and digestive function of lactating cows in natural or chamber heat stress environments. *Journal of Animal Science* 66, 112–125.
- Schütz KE, Rogers AR, Cox NR, Webster JR and Tucker CB 2011. Dairy cattle prefer shade over sprinklers: effects on behaviour and physiology. *Journal of Dairy Science* 94, 273–283.
- Segerson K and Dixon BL 1999. Climate change and agriculture: the role of farmer adaptation. In *The impact of climate change on the United States economy* (ed. R Mendelsohn and JE Neumann), pp. 75–93. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Seo SN and Mendelsohn R 2008. Measuring impacts and adaptations to climate change: a structural Ricardian model of African livestock management. *Agricultural Economics* 38, 151–165.
- Setchell BP 1998. The Parkes Lecture: heat and the testis. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* 114, 179–194.
- Silanikove N 1992. Effects of water scarcity and hot environment on appetite and digestion in ruminants: a review. *Livestock Production Science* 30, 175–194.
- Skinner J and Louw G 1966. Heat stress and spermatogenesis in *Bos indicus* and *Bos taurus* cattle. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 21, 1784–1790.
- Smith TR, Chapa A, Willard S, Herndon C Jr, Williams RJ, Crouch J, Riley T and Pogue D 2006a. Evaporative tunnel cooling of dairy cows in the southeast. I: effects on body temperature and respiration rate. *Journal of Dairy Science* 89, 3904–3914.
- Smith TR, Chapa A, Willard S, Herndon C Jr, Williams RJ, Crouch J, Riley T and Pogue D 2006b. Evaporative tunnel cooling of dairy cows in the southeast. II: impact on lactation performance. *Journal of Dairy Science* 89, 3915–3923.
- Solymosi N, Torma C, Kern A, Maróti-Agóts Á, Barcza Z, Könyves L, Berke O and Reiczgel J 2010. Changing climate in Hungary and trends in the annual number of heat stress days. *International Journal of Biometeorology* 54, 423–431.
- Soussana J-F and Lüscher A 2007. Temperate grasslands and global atmospheric change: a review. *Grass and Forage Science* 62, 127–134.
- St-Pierre NR, Cobanov B and Schnitkey G 2003. Economic losses from heat stress by US livestock industries. *Journal of Dairy Science* 86, 52–77.
- Sutter F and Beever DE 2000. Energy and nitrogen metabolism in Holstein–Friesian cows during early lactation. *Animal Science* 70, 503–514.
- Tajima K, Nonaka I, Higuchi K, Takusari N, Kurihara M, Takenaka A, Mitsumori M, Kajikawa H and Aminov RI 2007. Influence of high temperature and humidity on rumen bacterial diversity in Holstein heifers. *Anaerobe* 13, 57–64.
- Topp CFE, Wreford A, Tolkamp BJ, Wu L and Moran D 2010. Impacts of climate change on the grazing period, and the conserved feeding costs of grazing systems in the UK. *Grassland Science in Europe* 15, 36–38.
- Tsialtas JT, Handley LL, Kassoumi MT, Veresoglou DS and Gagianas AA 2001. Interspecific variation in potential water-use efficiency and its relation to plant species abundance in a water-limited grassland. *Functional Ecology* 15, 605–614.
- Tucker CBA, Rogers AR and Schütz KE 2008. Effect of solar radiation on dairy cattle behaviour, use of shade and body temperature in a pasture-based system. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 109, 141–154.
- Uyeno Y, Sekiguchi Y, Tajima K, Takenaka A, Kurihara M and Kamagata Y 2010. An rRNA-based analysis for evaluating the effect of heat stress on the rumen microbial composition of Holstein heifers. *Anaerobe* 16, 27–33.
- Van Arendonk JAM and Liinamae AE 2003. Dairy cattle production in Europe. *Theriogenology* 59, 563–569.
- Van Dijk J, Sargison ND and Kenyon F 2010. Climate change and infectious disease: helminthological challenges to farmed ruminants in temperate regions. *Animal* 4, 377–392.
- Van Dijk J, David GP, Baird G and Morgan ER 2008. Back to the future: developing hypotheses on the effects of climate change on ovine parasitic gastroenteritis from historical data. *Veterinary Parasitology* 158, 73–84.
- Van Soest PJ 1965. Symposium on factors influencing the voluntary intake of herbage by ruminants: voluntary intake in relation to chemical composition and digestibility. *Journal of Animal Science* 24, 834–843.
- Vogler CJ, Saacke RG, Bame JH, Dejarnette JM and McGilliard ML 1991. Effects of scrotal insulation on viability characteristics of cryopreserved bovine semen. *Journal of Dairy Science* 74, 3827–3835.

- Von Keyserlingk MAG, Rushen J, de Passille AM and Weary DM 2009. The welfare of dairy cattle – key concepts and the role of science. *Journal of Dairy Science* 92, 4101–4111.
- Waller PJ, Rudby-Martin L, Ljungström BL and Rydzik A 2004. The epidemiology of abomasal nematodes of sheep in Sweden, with particular reference to over-winter survival strategies. *Veterinary Parasitology* 122, 207–220.
- Walter K and Löpmeier F-J 2010. The feeding and husbandry of high performance cows, Part 5. High performance cows and climate changes [in German: Fütterung und Haltung von Hochleistungskühen, 5. Hochleistungskühe und Klimawandel]. *Agriculture and Forestry Research* 1, 17–34.
- Walters AH, Saacke RG, Pearson RE and Gwazdauskas FC 2006. Assessment of pronuclear formation following in vitro fertilization with bovine spermatozoa obtained after thermal insulation of the testis. *Theriogenology* 65, 1016–1028.
- Walters AH, Eyestone WE, Saacke RG, Pearson RE and Gwazdauskas FC 2004. Sperm morphology and preparation method affect bovine embryonic development. *Journal of Andrology* 25, 554–563.
- Walters AH, Eyestone WE, Saacke RG, Pearson RE and Gwazdauskas FC 2005a. Bovine embryo development after IVF with spermatozoa having abnormal morphology. *Theriogenology* 63, 1925–1937.
- Walters AH, Saacke RG, Pearson RE and Gwazdauskas FC 2005b. The incidence of apoptosis after IVF with morphologically abnormal bovine spermatozoa. *Theriogenology* 64, 1404–1421.
- Warren WP, Martz FA, Asay KH, Hilderbrand ES, Payne CG and Vogt JR 1974. Digestibility and rate of passage by steers fed tall fescue, alfalfa and orchardgrass hay in 18 and 32°C ambient temperatures. *Journal of Animal Science* 39, 93–96.
- Weaver HJ, Hawdon JM and Hoberg EP 2010. Soil-transmitted helminthiasis: implications of climate change and human behaviour. *Trends in Parasitology* 26, 574–581.
- Weldy JR, McDowell RE, Van Soest PJ and Bond J 1964. Influence of heat stress on rumen acid levels and some blood constituents in cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* 23, 147–153.
- West JW 1999. Nutritional strategies for managing the heat-stressed dairy cow. *Journal of Animal Science* 77, 21–35.
- West JW 2003. Effects of heat-stress on production in dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science* 86, 2131–2144.
- West JW, Mullinix BG and Bernard JK 2003. Effects of hot, humid weather on milk temperature, dry matter intake, and milk yield of lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 86, 232–242.
- Wildeus S and Entwistle KW 1983. Spermogram and sperm reserves in hybrid *Bos indicus* × *Bos taurus* bulls after scrotal insulation. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* 69, 711–716.
- Wilson JR and Ng TT 1975. Influence of water stress on parameters associated with herbage quality of *Panicum maximum* var. *trichoglume*. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 26, 127–136.
- Wilson JR, Deinum B and Engels FM 1991. Temperature effects on anatomy and digestibility of leaf and stem of tropical and temperate forage species. *Netherlands Journal of Agricultural Science* 39, 31–48.
- Wolfenson D, Flamenbaum I and Berman A 1988. Hyperthermia and body energy store effects on estrous behavior, conception rate, and corpus luteum function in dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 71, 3497–3504.
- Wu XH, Zhang SQ, Xu XJ, Huang YX, Steinmann P, Utzinger J, Wang TP, Xu J, Zheng J and Zhou XN 2008. Effect of floods on the transmission of schistosomiasis in the Yangtze River valley, People's Republic of China. *Parasitology International* 57, 271–276.
- Yaeram J, Sutchell BP and Maddocks S 2006. Effect of heat stress on the fertility of male mice in vivo and in vitro. *Reproduction, Fertility and Development* 18, 647–653.
- Yang GJ, Utzinger J, Sun LP, Hong QB, Vounatsou P, Tanner M and Zhou XN 2007. Effect of temperature on the development of *Schistosoma japonicum* within *Oncomelania hupensis*, and hibernation of *O. hupensis*. *Parasitology Research* 100, 695–700.
- Zähner M, Schrader L, Hauser R, Langhans W and Wechsler B 2004. The influence of climatic conditions on physiological and behavioural parameters in dairy cows kept in open stables. *Animal Science* 78, 139–147.
- Zhou XN, Lv S, Yang GJ, Kristensen TK, Bergquist NR, Utzinger J and Malone JB 2009. Spatial epidemiology in zoonotic parasitic diseases: insights gained at the 1st International Symposium on Geospatial Health in Lijiang, China, 2007. *Parasites and Vectors* 2, 10pp.
- Zhou XN, Yang GJ, Yang K, Wang XH, Hong QB, Sun LP, Malone JB, Kristensen TK, Bergquist NR and Utzinger J 2008. Potential impact of climate change on schistosomiasis transmission in China. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 78, 188–194.
- Zimelman RB, Baumgard LH and Collier RJ 2010. Effects of encapsulated niacin on evaporative heat loss and body temperature in moderately heat-stressed lactating Holstein cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 93, 2387–2394.
- Zwald NR, Weigel KA, Fikse WF and Rekaya R 2003. Identification of factors that cause genotype by environment interaction between herds of Holstein cattle in seventeen countries. *Journal of Dairy Science* 86, 376–382.