Short-term interval baiting to combat the re-emergence of fox rabies in Rhineland Palatinate (Germany) in 2005


In 2005, the final phase of terrestrial rabies eradication in Germany was put at risk by a severe setback due to re-introduction of the disease in Rhineland-Palatinate from neighbouring Hesse after seven years of absence. The rapid westward spread of the disease prompted veterinary authorities to react swiftly and apply a new yet unproven vaccination strategy to rapidly increase herd immunity in an almost unprotected fox population to stop the epidemic. The cornerstones of this emergency oral rabies vaccination strategy, i. e. vaccination intervals, identification of high risk spots, real time epidemiological assessment, capable to eliminate rabies within 13 months after incursion are described here. This strategy may be used as a template to tackle similar emergency situations in Europe in the future.
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Introduction

Oral rabies vaccination of foxes (ORV) is without doubt the most (cost-) effective method of rabies control in wildlife. During the past 25 years, the implementation of ORV considerably improved the rabies situation in Europe (Müller, 2000). Since 1978 a continuous decrease in rabies incidence has been reported Europe-wide and eventually the rabies front was repelled eastwards and elimination of terrestrial rabies was achieved for the most part of Western and Central Europe. To date, as a result of ORV, several European countries have been officially recognised as being free of terrestrial rabies, i.e. Finland (achieved rabies free status in 1991), the Netherlands (1991), Italy (1997), Switzerland (1998), France (2000), regained status in 2010), Belgium and Luxembourg (2001), the Czech Republic (2004), Germany (2008), and Austria (2008) (Anonymous, 2008; Clquet and Aubert, 2004; European Commission, 2002; Matouch and Vitasek, 2005). Other Middle and East European countries have made considerable progress in rabies control in wildlife too, resulting in a significant decrease in rabies incidence in recent years. However, there are still years to go in order to reach the goal of complete elimination of terrestrial rabies in Europe.

Once fox rabies is successfully eradicated in a given area, re-emergence of the disease is considered a permanent threat. Re-emergence of rabies in free(d) areas can be caused by two different scenarios, e.g. local disease spread or a sudden emergence without apparent connection to an epidemic. A local disease spread is always associated with the persistence of the disease in neighbouring regions resulting in a frequent invasion of infected foxes due to the permanent infection pressure into already freed areas. On condition of a proper surveillance system, a local disease spread can be well anticipated and prevented by an on time establishment of an effective vaccination cordon (European Commission, 2002). In contrast, the introduction of single rabies infected animals into a rabies free area most likely due to the importation/translocation of a rabid animal and subsequent spillovers into wildlife or a long distance migration of an infected reservoir animal, are unexpected events. Such a scenario can occur at any place at any time independent of the rabies situation in neighbouring regions. Existing WHO and EU recommendations for ORV mainly address situations in which endemic rabies is to control (European Commission, 2002; World Health Organisation, 2005). Unfortunately, emergency situations have never been contemplated in detail and it remains open whether current recommendations can be considered adequate bearing in the mind the fact that whatever the reasons for a re-emergence of rabies is, in such a worst case scenario the disease would meet a totally unprotected, i.e. susceptible fox population likely characterized by a high density. On these conditions rabies is expected to spread rapidly at high incidence and all measure would need to focus on rapid response planning to eliminate the disease as quickly as possible.

During the past three decades Germany’s efforts to achieve a rabies free status had often been challenged and was put at risk by a severe setback in the final phase of rabies elimination. Although rabies had already been eliminated from much of its territory, fox rabies re-emerged in Rhineland-Palatinate (RP) in 2005 after a long period of absence (Müller et al., 2005; Müller et al., 2012a). The rapid westward spread prompted veterinary authorities to react swiftly and apply a new yet unproven vaccination strategy that was developed from a vaccination approach used after re-infection of Carinthia, Austria in 2002 (Vogl, 2002). This paper aims at presenting the ORV strategy developed and successfully used that time. This strategy may be used as a template to tackle similar emergency situations in Europe in the future.

Case scenario – chain of events

After more than 20 years of vaccination, the last rabies cases occurred in 1998 and eventually rabies could be eliminated in Rhineland-Palatinate (RP). Nevertheless, ORV campaigns continued in border areas in the southeast for more than three years, because rabies had not been successfully controlled in neighbouring Hesse (HE). Despite large-scale and long-term vaccination rabies had persisted in urban and periurban areas of southern HE (Müller et al., 2005; Müller et al., 2012a).

Rabies paved its way through urban and periurban settlements in HE reaching metropolitan Frankfurt/Main in 2001 and the border triangle between RP, HE, Bavaria (BY) and Baden-Wuerttemberg (BW) another two years later. In 2004 the rabies situation deteriorated in the southernmost districts of HE (Müller et al., 2005). Although BY and BW had maintained a preventive vaccination belt along the common border with HE for over three years, an adjacent area in BW finally became re-infected in December 2004. Also, an additional vaccination campaign in winter time in HE could not prevent rabies closing in on the Rhine River. As a result, veterinary authorities in RP established a 25 km deep preventive vaccination cordon (cordon sanitaire) along the Rhine River in November 2004 (Fig. 1). Unfortunately,
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**FIGURE 1:** Rabies situation in Germany in 2004 with rabies cases (dots) and ORV areas in Hesse (HE), Baden-Wuerttemberg (BW), and Rhineland-Palatinate (RP) indicated (shaded).
TABLE 1: Number and time points of ORV campaigns and number of baits distributed in Rhineland-Palatinate in 2005 (cw – calendar week)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccination campaign</th>
<th>Time point</th>
<th>Size of vaccination area in km²</th>
<th>No. of baits for Aerial distribution</th>
<th>No. of baits for hand distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>09.03.2005 (10 cw)</td>
<td>6800</td>
<td>177 600</td>
<td>4800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.04.2005 (16 cw)</td>
<td>6250</td>
<td>186 400</td>
<td>11 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.05.2005 (22 cw)</td>
<td>6250</td>
<td>186 400</td>
<td>9 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.07.2005 (28 cw)</td>
<td>6250</td>
<td>186 400</td>
<td>15 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>19.09.2005 (38 cw)</td>
<td>6250</td>
<td>186 400</td>
<td>15 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>07.11.2005 (45 cw)</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vaccine baits were distributed using fixed-wing aircraft equipped with a satellite navigated and computer-supported fully automatic dropping device (SURVIS, Vos et al., 2001; Müller et al., 2012b) using a flight line distance of 500 meters. Coordinates on the precise location of vaccine bait drops were transmitted on-line on a daily basis by the flight services to the NRL for quality assessment of aerial distribution and identification of areas with suboptimal bait density (< 25 baits per km²) and none-flying zones (urban settlements and military areas) using GIS software (ArcView) as described (Mulatti et al., 2011; Müller et al., 2012b). Maps showing the calculated bait density on the ground were sent electronically within two days both to district veterinary authorities and flight services for immediate organization of complementary hand distribution of baits at a local level and optimization of aerial distribution in consecutive campaigns, respectively. Hunters were briefed in regular meetings and workshops to increase awareness, to enhance surveillance and sample submission of ORV monitoring, and to prepare hand distribution of vaccine baits in urban areas and none-flying zones. Because aerial distribution of baits was scheduled up to five times (Tab. 1), high risk spots within the ORV area were defined as 1 km² grid cells in ArcView that did exhibit no bait drops in four out of five consecutive ORV campaigns and where herd immunity was considered low. In those high-risk areas an additional hand distribution campaign of baits was planned (Tab. 1).

Monitoring of ORV campaigns

Stability of the bait/vaccine (Fuchsortal®, SAD-B19) was tested by regulatory authorities at the Paul-Ehrlich-Institute (PEI) following international recommendations before and after distribution in the field. Rabies survei-
of ORV campaigns (dark columns: using aerial distribution, light gray: line) was calculated as number of new cases until three weeks after the start (dots) displayed on a time-axis (days after 1st case). Rabies incidence (black Cumulative number of rabies cases in Rhineland-Palatinate Figure 3: the 14th of January 2005 15 cases were reported in that Within 50 days after the incursion of rabies into RP on Impact of the emergency vaccination size of eight foxes/100 km²/year with priority on ani-
detection of rabid animals (Fig. 3). Due to this drastic sporadically, with intervals of up to 50 days between second ORV campaign, rabies cases were reported only the entire vaccination area (Fig. 2A). Already after the (N = 199), respectively, taking into account samples from seroconversion increased to 48.5% (N = 299) and 57.8% 2005. After three and five ORV campaigns in 2005 the average herd immunity in foxes (virus neutralising 2 times and one time, respectively (Fig. 2B). The six week interval vaccination campaigns till the outbreak was brought under control (Tab. 1). Because of the detection of a possible vaccine associated rabies case in a fox at the edge of the first ORV area the size had to be re-adjusted. By CW 45 the core area of 4900 km² had been vaccinated five times, and an area of 6250 km² and 550 km² four In the small area vaccinated in November 2004 (Fig. 2A) the average herd immunity in foxes remained at a high level with 49% (N = 618) in 2006 but decreased to 37% (N = 712) in 2007.

Discussion

With this emergency vaccination strategy rabies could be eliminated in RP within 13 months after re-infection of an almost unprotected fox population (Fig. 3). In contrast to the Austrian approach that considered enlargement of vaccination areas within a short period of time to avoid further spread of the disease (Vogl, 2002) our approach aimed at a consecutive vaccination of one and the same area using reduced intervals. The six week interval ORV campaigns (Tab. 1, Fig. 2A) was chosen considering the incubation period and the development of the immune response in foxes after vaccination assuming that all foxes incubating rabies would die within six weeks anyway. This approach proved to be highly efficient to build sufficiently high herd immunity within a short period of time (18 weeks). The herd immunity remained stable for the following year even when the standard ORV scheme was used. Generally, the measures taken resulted in an abrupt halt of the fox epidemic both in terms of the number of animals infected and the size of the area affected. In fact, rabies cases never spread beyond the initial core of 1300 km² area preventively vaccinated in November 2004 (Fig. 2B). Based on German legislation, the detection of a possible vaccine associated rabies case forced veterinary authorities to enlarge the vaccination area although this case did not have any epidemiological consequence (Müller et al. 2009). In the long-term vaccinated neighbouring regions of HE and BW rabies also disappeared in 2005 but using the standard ORV strategy.

In RP, after initial increase the seroconversion rates remained stable and later dropped by 12% in 2007, even though ORV was performed with increased bait density. While the reason for this remains elusive, seroconversion rates in wildlife may always be biased by non-homo-
genous sampling. The fact that the last rabies case was detected less than one year after the beginning of the emergency campaigns proved the success of this strategy and underlines that the incidence of rabies is the crucial figure for evaluating rabies control efforts (Cliquet et al., 2010). Also, it was theoretically shown that rabies elimination is possible with vaccination coverage lower than the gold standard of 70% (Thulke and Eisinger, 2008). As this strategic approach turned out to be successful it remains open whether a reduction in the number of ORV campaigns or a longer interval would have been as successful in RP at the time. Basic preconditions for such counteraction are the availability of financial resources on the one hand and guaranteed supply of sufficient vaccine baits on the other hand. Fortunately, both were given and allowed a swift action within a short period of time.

How crucial this immediate response was is seen in Italy, where fox rabies re-emerged in October 2008 (de Benedictis et al., 2008). Initial control started early 2009 with three emergency oral vaccinations on a small scale using manual distribution (de Benedictis et al., 2009). However, fox rabies spread westward to Veneto region and reached the autonomous provinces of Trento and Bolzano in spring 2010, necessitating corrective actions. Aerial emergency oral fox vaccination was implemented in the winter 2009/10 using a similar strategy as in Rhineland-Palatinate, Germany, but fewer campaigns to contain the epidemic (Capello et al., 2010). The changes to a large-scale vaccination led to a drastic decrease in rabies incidence and eventual elimination (Mulatti et al., 2011; de Benedictes et al., 2009) indicating that even a smaller number of ORV campaigns might work as well.

In Italy, the Alpine Mountains proved to be another challenge for rabies control (Mulatti et al., 2011), under-scoring the need for epidemiological counseling and evaluation and eventual adaptation of the strategy.

The applied strategy was successful in the concrete situation in RP in Germany, and it is likely that it can be successfully applied in similar situations. Since now large parts of Western Europe are considered free from rabies, it is necessary to prepare for emergency situations due to re-introduction of rabies. Although the EU has implemented strong regulations concerning animal health requirements applicable to the non-commercial movement of pet animals with respect to rabies (998/2003 EEC), a risk of a punctual re-introduction of rabies cannot completely be ruled out as shown by recent reports of illegally imported rabid dogs into the European Union and Switzerland (Johnson et al., 2011). Therefore, this strategy should be taken into account for contingency planning but would require the establishment of a vaccine bank on national or European level as a guaranteed immediate supply by manufacturers.

Ideally such emergency vaccination should aim at containing and eventually eliminating the rabies epidemic in the core area (Thulke et al., 2008). Although admittedly the emergency ORV strategy described here requires allocation of sufficient high financial resources it is likely that money will be saved in the long run by the rapid elimination of rabies.

A similar approach could also be used not in an emergency situation but in a nationwide fox rabies control program. Considering the results in Germany as well as in Italy it seems feasible that fox rabies can be eliminated in less than two years using high density interval baiting.
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